Three new species of subterranean asellids are described from western North America: *Lirceolus nidulus* (Border Cave, Culberson Co., Texas), *Lirceolus cocytus* (Texas and Coahuila, Mexico), and *Salmasellus howarthi* (Deadhorse Cave, Skamania Co., Washington). Two other undescribed species are reported, *Lirceolus* sp. (Dandridge Spring, Val Verde Co., Texas) and *Calasellus* sp. (Malheur Cave, Harney Co., Oregon), but the existing specimens are too damaged for descriptive purposes. New collection localities are given for several other western species: *Lirceolus hardeni*, *Salmassellus steganothrix*, *Calasellus californicus*, *Caecidotea sequoiae*, *C. reddelli*, and *C. bilineata*. A total of 18 subterranean asellid species are now known to occur in western North America.

**INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this paper is to describe three new species as well as provide a summary of the taxa of subterranean asellid isopods known to occur in the western part of North America. The area covered herein starts in the tier of states encompassing Texas and includes 17 western states, southwestern Canada, and a part of Coahuila, Mexico (figure 1). Thirteen obligate subterranean species of asellids are presently described from this region, with four of the six known genera occurring in groundwaters of the U.S. represented: *Caecidotea*, *Lirceolus*, *Salmasellus* and *Calasellus*. All but *Caecidotea* are endemic to western North America. *Caecidotea* contains five western species that have clear zoogeographic affinities with the fauna of the eastern U.S.: *C. reddelli* and *C. bilineata* from Texas, *C. adenta* and *C. acuticarpa* from Oklahoma, and *C. tridentata* in Kansas. Several other species of *Caecidotea* occur in the siler of the Ozark Plateau extending into southeastern Kansas and northeastern Oklahoma, but have been discussed elsewhere (Lewis, 1982; 1999; Lewis & Bowman, 1981). Thus, the subterranean asellids of the western U.S. are a mixture of the fauna predominant in eastern North America and a group of species unique to the west. Three epigean species have also been reported, *Asellus alaskensis*, *Caecidotea occidentalis* and *C. tomalensis*, as well as two species introduced from the eastern U.S., *Caecidotea communis* and *Caecidotea racovitzai* (Bowman, 1974; 1975a). The epigean species will not be considered further since their morphology suggests little discernible relationship to the subterranean species.

A review of the systematics literature of western subterranean asellids reveals a sparse collection of papers featuring a less than auspicious beginning. The first subterranean asellid discovered in the western U.S.,
Caecidotea smithii, was created as a nomen nudum by Eigenmann (1900). Ulrich (1902) subsequently described this species from the artesian well at San Marcos, Hays County, Texas. Hungerford (1922) described Caecidotea tridentata from specimens pumped from a cistern in Lawrence, Kansas. Miller (1933) described Asellus californicus from a well in Lake County, California. Mackin & Hubricht (1940) described seven new species of subterranean asellids from the central United States, including Caecidotea acuticarpa from caves, springs and wells in southeastern Oklahoma, and C. adenta from a cave in Kiowa County, Oklahoma. Steeves (1968) added three more species from caves in central Texas: Asellus reddelli from Bell, Coryell, Travis and Williamson counties; A. pilus from Valdina Farms Sinkhole, Medina County; and A. bisetus from Gorman Cave, San Saba County.

Much of our present understanding of western asellids is due to a series of papers by Bowman (1975b; 1981; Bowman & Longley, 1976; Lewis & Bowman, 1996). In the first, he described Caecidotea sequoiae (from Lilburn Cave, Tulare County, California), redescribed Asellus californicus, and erected the genus Salmasellus for a subterranean species discovered in the stomachs of salmon taken from a lake in Alberta, Canada (Bowman, 1975b). New material of C. smithii became available and proved to be so unusual that the genus Lirceolus was created to receive it (Bowman & Longley, 1976). A third paper erected the new genus Calasellus to receive A. californicus and another new species, C. longus, from a spring near Shaver Lake, in Fresno County, California (Bowman, 1981).

Lewis (1983) emended the description of Lirceolus and transferred A. pilus to Lirceolus. Bowman’s final contribution on the western fauna was our collaboration on the subterranean asellids of Texas (Lewis & Bowman, 1996). In that paper we described Lirceolus hardeni, transferred A. bisetus to Lirceolus, described Caecidotea bilineata, and refigured C. reddelli.

Three new western species are described herein, and new records are provided for Caecidotea sequoiae, C. bilineata, C. reddelli, Calasellus californicus, Salmasellus steganothrix and Lirceolus hardeni. Records of two undescribed species are given, but not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caecidotea acuticarpa</td>
<td>Mackin &amp; Hubricht, 1940</td>
<td>Arbuckle uplift, southeastern Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecidotea adenta</td>
<td>Mackin &amp; Hubricht, 1940</td>
<td>Kiowa Co., Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecidotea bilineata</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>eastern Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecidotea reddelli</td>
<td>Steeves, 1968</td>
<td>eastern Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecidotea tridentata</td>
<td>Hungerford, 1922</td>
<td>eastern Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calasellus longus</td>
<td>Bowman, 1981 (Miller, 1933)</td>
<td>Fresno Co., California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calasellus californicus</td>
<td>Bowman/1975b; Bowman/1975b</td>
<td>northwestern coastal California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calasellus sequoiae</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>Tulare County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camasellus undescribed sp</td>
<td>Ulrich, 1902</td>
<td>Harney Co., Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lirceolus smithii</td>
<td>Bowman &amp; Longley, 1976</td>
<td>Hays Co., Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lirceolus bisetus</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>San Saba &amp; Travis counties, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lirceolus cocytus</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>Jeff Davis Co., Texas to Coahuila, Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lirceolus hardeni</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>central Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lirceolus nidulus</td>
<td>Steeves, 1968</td>
<td>Culberson Co., Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lirceolus pilus</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>Medina and Bandera counties, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lirceolus undescribed sp</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>Val Verde Co., Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmasellus steganothrix</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Bowman, 1996</td>
<td>Alberta, Canada to northwestern Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmasellus howarthi</td>
<td>Holsinger, Mort &amp; Recklies, 1983</td>
<td>Skamania Co., Washington</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
described, due to the damaged condition of the single male specimens available. This brings the list of subterranean asellid species known from western North America to a total of 18 (table 1).

**Family Asellidae G. O. Sars, 1897**

*Lirceolus* Bowman & Longley, 1976

*Lirceolus nidulus*, new species

Figures 2-3


**Material examined.**—TEXAS: Culberson County, Border Cave, deep phreatic lake, 15 August 1986, Scott J. Harden, C.F. Linblom, 1 male, 1 female. An 8.0 mm male is designated as the holotype (USNM 291382), an 8.8 mm ovigerous female is a paratype (USNM 291383), deposited in the U.S. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

**Description.**—Eyeless, unpigmented, only known male 8.0mm, female 8.8mm. Body about 4X as long as wide, moderately setose, coxae visible in dorsal view. Antenna 1, flagellum of 7 segments, esthetes on distal 4 segments. Antenna 2 missing in both specimens. Mandibles with 4-cuspate incisors and lacinia mobilis, palp with plumose setae on distal segments. Maxilla 1, inner lobe with 5 plumose setae in male, 6 in female; outer lobe with 13 stout spines.

![Map of the approximate ranges of subterranean asellid isopods known from western North America.](image)
Male pereopod 1, propus about 2.8X as long as wide in male, 3.0X in female; palmar margin lacking processes. Pereopod 4 sexual dimorphism of carpus barely discernible.

Pleotelson about 1.4X as long as wide, sides subparallel, caudomedial lobe weakly produced. Pleopod 1, protopod with 3 retinacula; exopod ovate, about 1.2X length of protopod, with about 20 setae along distal and distolateral margins. Pleopod 2 of male, protopod very elongate compared to endopod; exopod distal segment slender, subtriangular, with 4 plumose setae along distal margin. Endopod with moderately pronounced basal apophysis, short basal spur; tip with 4 elements: (1) cannula slender, conical,  

Fig. 2.—Lirceolus nidulus, new species, Border Cave, Culberson County, Texas, 8.0 mm holotype male (f, i), 8.8 mm paratype female (a-e, g-h, j): (a) habitus; (b) antenna; (c) mandibular palp; (d) maxilla 1, outer lobe; (e) maxilla 1, inner lobe; (f) maxilla 1, inner lobe; (g) left mandible; (h) right mandible; (i) pereopod 1; (j) pereopod 1.
blunt apically, extending roughly parallel to axis of endopod, slightly decurved laterad, nested between and partially obscured by mesial and lateral processes, terminating below apex of endopod; (2) mesial process broadly digitiform, obscuring much of the cannula; (3) lateral process shorter than mesial process, subtriangular; and (4) caudal rim of endopod connecting mesial and lateral processes. Pleopod 2 of female subtriangular, sparsely setose. Pleopod 3, exopod with two sutures, oblique suture and second suture creating an oval area on lateral margin. Uropods about 0.75X length of pleotelson, exopod slightly shorter than endopod.

**Etymology.**—The name is derived from the Latin noun *nidulus* = small nest, referring to the nested appearance of the structures of the male second pleopod endopod tip. The subapical termination of the cannula is one of the structural features separating *Lirceolus nidulus* from *L. cocytus*. The suggested vernacular name for this species is the Border Cave isopod.

**Habitat & range.**—*Lirceolus nidulus* is known only from Border Cave, which is about 26 kilometers southwest of White City, New Mexico. This cave is formed in gypsum of the Permian Castile Formation. It is about 300 meters in length, with two deep phreatic lakes accessible from the passage. The isopods occur in the same habitat with two subterranean amphipods that are also endemic to Border Cave: *Artesia welbourni* and an undescribed species of *Stygobromus* of the *hubbsi* Group (Holsinger, 1992). It is with some misgivings that I describe *L. nidulus* based on a single damaged male specimen. However, the difficulties reported entailed in attempting to collect additional material have encouraged me to describe the species with the material available.

**Relationships.**—Many of the structures of *Lirceolus nidulus* closely resemble those of *L. cocytus*. *Lirceolus nidulus* is separated by (1) its larger size (twice that of the known specimens of *L. cocytus*); (2) the more elongate palmar margin of the propus of pereopod 1; (3) the very elongate male second pleopod protopod, (4) the male second pleopod endopod tip cannula that ends proximal to the apex of the endopod; and (5) the fourth pleopod exopod with an oblique suture and a second suture forming an ovate lateral area.

**Lirceolus cocytus**, new species

Figures 4-5

**Material examined.**—TEXAS: Jeff Davis County, Phantom Lake Spring Cave, 10-11 December 1995, B. Tucker, 10 males, 6 females; COAHUILA: Sotano de Amezcua, 35 miles west & 8 miles north of Ciudad Acuña, 25 June 1994, Dean A. Hendrickson, 2 males, 6 females; same locality, 15-17 June 1998, Jean Krejcja, 3 males, 2 females. A 3.1mm male from Phantom Lake Spring Cave is designated the holotype (USNM 291384), the other specimens from that locality are designated as paratypes (USNM 291385), all deposited in the U.S. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

**Description.**—Eyeless, unpigmented, longest male 3.2mm, longest female 4.0mm; body slender, linear, about 5.2X as long as wide. Antenna 1, flagellum to about 4 segments, esthethe on distal segment. Antenna 2 flagellum to 35 segments. Mandibles with 4-cuspatate incisors and lacinia mobilis, palp with plumose setae on distal segments. Maxilla 1, inner lobe with 5 plumose setae, outer lobe with 13 stout spines.

Pereopod 1, propus about 2.3X as long as wide in male, 2.7X in female; palmar margin lacking processes. Pereopod 4, carpus about 2.7X as long as wide in male, 2.2X in female.

Pleotelson about 2.7X as long as wide, sides subparallel, caudomedial lobe not produced. Pleopod 1, protopod with 3-4 retinacula; exopod ovate, with short setae on distal margin. Pleopod 2 of male, exopod distal segment slender, subtriangular, with 3 elongate setae on distal margin. Endopod with distinct basal apophysis and short basal spur, tip with 4 elements: (1) cannula slender, conical, apically truncate, extending roughly parallel to axis of endopod, slightly decurved to the lateral side, terminating beyond the apex of the endopod; (2) mesial process subtriangular; (3) lateral process slightly shorter, rounded, subtriangular; (4) caudal rim of endopod connecting mesial and lateral processes. Pleopod 2 of female subtriangular, single distal seta present. Pleopod 3, exopod with transverse suture, short setae sparsely distributed along distal margin. Pleopod 4 exopod with oblique suture extending to second transverse suture, setae absent. Pleopod 5 exopod with transverse suture. Uropods about 0.7X length of pleotelson.

**Etymology.**—The Latin noun *cocytus* is the name of one of the mythological rivers of the underworld crossed by phantoms to reach hell, and refers to the habitat at the type-locality of *L. cocytus*, the underground waters of Phantom Lake Spring Cave. The suggested vernacular name is the Phantom Cave isopod.

**Habitat and range.**—The type series of *Lirceolus cocytus* was collected by a scuba diver in the spring conduit from which Phantom Lake Spring emerges. This cave is the longest known in an isolated area of Cretaceous limestone, although most of the approximately 2000 meters of passage is underwater (Reddell, pers. comm.). Over 200 kilometers separate the type-locality and Sotano de Amezcua, the widest...
range of any of the known species of *Lirceolus* (fig. 6).
To demonstrate the morphological similarities exhibited
by the specimens from these two populations I have
presented illustrations of the male second pleopod
structures side by side (figs 5b & d; c & e).

Cole (1976) described the spring amphipod
*Gammarus hyaleelloides* from Phantom Lake Spring and
included information on other members of the spring
fauna as well as notes and references on the water
chemistry of the spring.

**Relationships.**—This species is clearly
morphologically similar to *Lirceolus nidulus*, from
which it can be separated by: (1) its smaller size; (2)
the shorter palmar margin of the propus of pereopod 1;

---

**Fig. 3.** — *Lirceolus nidulus*, new species. Border Cave, Culberson County, Texas, 8.0 mm holotype male (b-d), 8.8 mm paratype female (a, e-h): (a) pereopod 4; (b) pleopod 1; (c) pleopod 2; (d) pleopod 2 endopod tip; (e) pleopod 3; (f) pleopod 4; (g) pleopod 5; (h) pleopod 2; (i) pleotelson and uropod.
(3) the shorter male second pleopod protopod; (4) the
canna that ends beyond the apex of the endopod; and
(5) the absence of two sutures on the exopods of
pleopods 4 and 5, creating a small oval area on the
lateral margin.

_Lirceolus_ undescribed species

**Material examined.**—**TEXAS: Val Verde County:**
Dandridge Spring on east bank of Devils River, about
3 miles above the mouth of the Dry Devils River, 22
February 1998, Dean A. Hendrickson, Jean Krejca, Peter
Sprouse, Charlie Savvas, et. al., 1 male.

**Range.**—This species is known only from the tiny
unique male from the above locality. It remains
undescribed since the antennae, pereopods and uropods
are absent from the specimen. Dissection of the remnant
revealed a _Lirceolus_ similar to _L. smithii_, but with
maxilla 1 inner lobe with 5 apical setae and pleopod 2
endopod tip consisting of a simple conical canula
lacking other associated processes.

---

Fig. 4.—_Lirceolus cocytus_, new species. Phantom Lake Spring Cave, Jeff Davis County, Texas, 3.2 mm male (a, g), 3.0 mm male (b-f, j, l), 3.4 mm female (i, k); Sotano de Amércia, Coahuila, 4.7 mm female (h): (a) habitus; (b) antenna 1; (c) mandibular palp; (d) left mandible;
(e) right mandible; (f) maxilla 1, outer lobe; (g) maxilla 1, inner lobe; (h) maxilla 1, inner lobe; (i) pereopod 4; (j) pereopod 4; (k) pereopod 1; (l) pereopod 1.
Lirceolus hardeni Lewis & Bowman, 1996

Material examined.—TEXAS: Williamson County: PC Spring, Robinson Ranch, taken from mophead, 9 September 1999, P. Sprouse, 3 males, females; same locality, 10 September 1999, P. Sprouse, 1 female; same locality, 13 September 1999, P. Sprouse, 10 males, females; 22 September 1999, J. Reddell, M. Reyes, P. Sprouse, 21 males, females; same locality, 27 September 1999, P. Sprouse, 5 males, females.

Habitat and range.—A male from the 22 September 1999 collection from PC Spring was fully dissected and possessed the maxilla 1 inner lobe with 4 terminal setae characteristic of this tiny, difficult to identify species.

Fig. 5.—Lirceolus cocytus, new species, Phantom Lake Spring Cave, Jeff Davis County, Texas, 3.0 mm male (a-c, f-h), 3.3 mm female (i-j); Sótano de Amezca, Coahuila, 3.0 mm male (d-e): (a) pleopod 1; (b) pleopod 2; (c) pleopod 2 endopod tip; (d) pleopod 2; (e) pleopod 2 endopod tip; (f) pleopod 3; (g) pleopod 4; (h) pleopod 5; (i) pleopod 2; (j) pleotelson and uropod.
The above series from PC Spring is the first locality for *L. hardeni* in Williamson County, otherwise reported from caves and springs in Blanco, Comal and Travis counties in Texas (Lewis & Bowman, 1996).

*Salmasellus*, Bowman, 1975b

*Salmaseillas howarthi*, new species

Figures 7-9


*Salmasellus steganothrix*: Holsinger, Mort and Recklies, 1983: 545.

Material examined.—WASHINGTON: Skamania County, Deadhorse Cave, 14 August 1972, F.G. Howarth, 5 males (5.2, 6.0, 6.2, 6.5, 6.8mm), 1 female (5.5mm); Upper Falls Creek Cave System, 24 August 1972, F.G. Howarth, L. Nieuwenhuis, 1 female (3.0mm). The 6.8mm male is designated the holotype (BPBM-16249), the other specimens from the 14 August 1972 collection are paratypes, all deposited in the Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii.

Description.—Eyeless, unpigmented, largest male 6.8mm, longest female 5.5mm; body slender, linear, about 4.5X as long as wide; pereonites increasing slightly in width to pereonite 6, pereonite 7 slightly narrower, pleotelson narrower than pereonite 7. Coxae visible in dorsal view. Margins of head, pereonites and pleotelson moderately setose. Head about twice as wide as long, anterior margin with small rostrum, post-mandibular lobes moderately produced. Pleotelson about 1.4X as long as wide, sides convex, caudomedial lobe absent, caudal margin broadly rounded.

Antenna 1 flagellum of 6-7 segments, reaching to about distal end of 4th segment of antenna 2, last 3-4 segments each bearing esthete. Antenna 2 flagellum with about 34-35 segments. Mandibles, palp 3-segmented; incisors 4-cuspate, lacinia mobilis 3-cuspate, spine rows with about 11 spines per row. Maxilla 1, outer lobe with 13 stout spines, inner lobe with 5, 6 or 7 plumose setae. Maxilliped with 3-4 retinacula.

Pereopod 1 of male, propus about 2.7X as long as wide, margin straight, processes absent, about 3 stout spines present; dactyl relatively short in comparison to length of palmar margin of propus, flexor margin with about 2 spines. Pereopod 1 of female similar, slightly more elongate, spines fewer and less stout. Pereopods 2-7 long, slender, moderately spinose/setose, carpus of male about 3.5X as long as wide, 3.3X in female.

Pleopod 1 longer than pleopod 2 of male; protopod about 0.4X length of exopod, with 2 retinacula; exopod

---

**Fig. 6.—** The distribution of *Lirceolus* species in Texas.
slightly over twice as long as wide, with about 25 setae along distal and distolateral margins. Pleopod 2 of female subtriangular. Pleopod 2 of male, exopod, distal segment with single plumose seta on apex; proximal segment with about 6 plumose setae along lateral margin. Endopod without basal spur or basal apophysis, L-shaped, with 2 long setae arising from base of the L, terminating prior to apex of endopod; subterminal spine directed laterad; rounded shoulder-like apex of cannula without setae; cannula extending beyond this shoulder as a grooved stylet containing the elongate setae, with elongate dentate extending along basal part of lateral margin. Pleopod 3 exopod with transverse suture, about 20 setae along distal and distolateral margin of distal segment; about 8 setae along lateral margin of proximal segment. Pleopods 4 and 5 with exopods lacking prominent sutures or marginal setae. Uropods slightly shorter than pleotelson.

**Etymology.**—It is with pleasure that I name this species after its collector, Dr. Francis G. Howarth, of the Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu. The suggested vernacular name is Howarth’s cave isopod.

**Habitat and range.**—*Salmasellus howarthi* is known from Deadhorse Cave, located about 8 km

---

Fig. 7.—*Salmasellus howarthi*, new species, Deadhorse Cave, Skamania County, Washington, 6.5 mm male (a, d-g), 6.2 mm male (b-c), 6.3 mm female (h): (a) habitus; (b) antenna 1; (c) antenna 2; (d) left mandible and palp; (e) right mandible; (f) maxilla 1, outer lobe; (g) maxilla 1, inner lobe; (h) pleopod 2.
northwest of Trout Lake, Washington, and the Upper Falls Creek Cave System, approximately 20 km southwest of Deadhorse Cave. The Upper Falls Creek Cave System record should be considered provisional, since it is based on an immature female specimen (a mature male is required to confirm this identification). Both of these caves are lava tubes located within undated young pahoehoe lava flows on the slopes of Mount Adams, a volcano in the southern Cascade Range. Deadhorse Cave is particularly complex for a lava tube. The cave contains a stream with about 1300 meters of surveyed passage (Halliday, 1972). Howarth (pers. comm.) reported that the isopods were taken from a quiet stream pool with water temperature of 40° F. Holsinger (pers. comm.) reported visiting Deadhorse Cave on 16 July 1980, where he found the isopods to be common on rocks throughout most of the stream. In the Upper Falls Creek Cave System, Howarth (pers. comm.) collected the isopod from an isolated pool perched above the stream, with the water temperature 42° F. The subterranean amphipod *Stygobromus elliotti* has also been reported from Deadhorse Cave and the Upper Falls Creek Cave System (Holsinger, 1974).

**Relationships.**—*Salmasellus howarthi* closely resembles *S. steganothrix*, particularly in the elongate, unarmed propus of the first pereopod; the short pleopod 1 protopod; and the nearly identical male second pleopod, particularly the endopod. The two species may be separated by the following differences: (1) propus of male pereopod 1 is more elongate in *S. steganothrix* at about 3.2X as long as wide vs. 2.7X in *S. howarthi*; palmar margin of *S. steganothrix* has about 8 spines, whereas *S. howarthi* has only about 3; (2) mandibles with 3-cuspatelacinia mobilis in *S. howarthi*, 4 cusps in *S. steganothrix*; incisor on left 4-cuspatel in *S. howarthi*, 5-cuspatel in *S. steganothrix*; (3) maxilla 1 inner lobe typically has 6-7 apical setae (one specimen had one side with 5) in *S. howarthi*, usually 5 in *S. steganothrix*; (4) pleopod 3 exopod with numerous setae on lateral margin of proximal segment in *S. howarthi*, setation sparse in *S. steganothrix*. From a zoogeographic standpoint, the two species are easily separated based on their ranges, with *S. steganothrix* occurring in the northern Rocky Mountains of Alberta and Montana, while *S. howarthi* occurs in the Cascade Mountains about 700 kilometers to the west.

*Salmasellus steganothrix* Bowman, 1975b

**Material examined.**—MONTANA: *Flathead County*, Glacier National Park, Algal Cave near west glacier, from pool, 25 August 1977, J.M. Chester, 1 male; same locality, 27 September 1999, 3 males, 7 females; site unspecified, Kalispell Valley, Flathead River System, J.V. Ward, 3 males, 3 females, 1 dessicated; ALBERTA: Banff National Park, Castleguard Cave, 16 April 1977, J.S. Mort, et al., 6 males, 4 females (in 4 vials).

**Habitat and Range.**—This species was reported by Clifford & Bergstron (1976) from a cave spring near Cadomin, Alberta. Holsinger (1980) described *Stygobromus canadensis* from Castleguard Cave, Alberta, and reported *Salmasellus steganothrix* identified by T.E. Bowman from the same site (the material that I examined from this cave noted above is presumably the same specimens examined by Bowman). A detailed account of the occurrence of *S. steganothrix* in Castleguard Cave was presented by Holsinger, Mort & Recklies (1983). This species occurs in caves and springs in the Rocky Mountains from central Alberta south into northern Montana.

*Calasellus* Bowman, 1981

*Calasellus californicus* (Miller, 1933)

**Material examined.**—CALIFORNIA: *Marin County*, taken 40 cm deep from gravel bed of Cronair Creek, 15 July 1997, Rosalie del Rosario, 3 males, 2 females; *Santa Cruz County*, Empire Cave, in flooded room, 4 December 1983, T Briggs, 2 females.

**Habitat and Range.**—This species is known only from subterranean habitats in California, where it has also been reported from Lake (Miller, 1933), Santa Clara and Napa counties (Bowman, 1975). The identification of the Empire Cave specimens as *C. californicus* is based on the strong similarity of pleopods 3, 4 and 5 with the illustrations of Miller (1933). Specifically, the Empire Cave specimens possess a pleopod 3 exopod with the same oblique suture, pleopod 4 has the elongate triangular exopod, and pleopod 5 has a rudimentary exopod (unlike *C. longus*, in which the exopod is absent), all identical to Miller’s figures 3-5. A male from Empire Cave will be required to confirm this identification.

*Calasellus longus* Bowman, 1981

**Habitat and Range.**—The location given for the only known population of this species contained an error. Bowman (1981) reported the type-locality at Shaver Lake as 35 miles northwest of Fresno. Inspection of a highway map for the preparation of Figure 1 revealed that Shaver Lake, and the town of the same name, is 35 miles northeast of Fresno.
Fig. 8.—Salmasellus howarthi, new species, Deadhorse Cave, Skamania County, Washington, 6.5 mm male (a-b, e), 6.3 mm female (c-d, f): (a) pereopod 4; (b) pereopod 4, dactyl; (c) pereopod 4; (d) pereopod 4, dactyl; (e) pereopod 1; (f) pereopod 1.
Fig. 9.—Salmasellus howarthi, new species, Deadhorse Cave, Skamania County, Washington, 6.5 mm male (a-e): (a) pleopod 1; (b) pleopod 2; (c) pleopod 3; (d) pleopod 4; (e) pleopod 5.
Calasellus undescribed species

Material examined.—OREGON: Harney County, Malheur Cave, 6 October 1978, E. Gruber, E. Benedict, 1 male, 5 females.

Habitat and range.—This undescribed species is known only from Malheur Cave. All of the specimens are in the 3-4mm range, damaged, with nearly all of the antennae, pereopods and uropods missing. Although the cave is not commercialized, it is well known and the entrance is marked on highway maps (A.A.A., 1999). Malheur Cave is a lava tube about 1000 meters in length, of which about half is filled with deep water requiring a raft to traverse (N.S.S., 1975). Holsinger (pers. comm.) reported visiting Malheur Cave on 13 July 1980 and 6 July 1982 without finding any isopods despite diligent searching, including baiting. The final 175 meters of the cave are totally filled with water and accessible only to divers, who reported seeing small isopods on two dives (Hill, 1999).

Holsinger (1976) redescribed the subterranean amphipod Stygobromus hubbsi, originally described by Shoemaker (1942) from Malheur Cave, and reported the presence of the troglobitic flatworm Kenkia rhynchida and “a tiny, white, eyeless isopod of the genus Asellus.”

Caecidotea Packard, 1876

Caecidotea sequoiae Bowman, 1975b

Material examined.—CALIFORNIA: Tulare County, Kings Canyon National Park, Big Springs, Redwood Canyon, October 1997, Thomas M. Iliffe, 2 males, 3 females.

Habitat and range.—This species was previously known only from the type-locality at Liburn Cave (sic, Bowman, 1975b), Sequoia National Park. This is presumably a reference to Lilburn Cave. Caecidotea sequoiae is now known from two localities in Tulare County, California.

Discussion.—In the description this species was placed in the genus Caecidotea, but was clearly unlike other members of that genus in some ways (Bowman, 1975). In my conversations with Dr. Bowman at the Smithsonian Institution he related that he was not very comfortable with the placement of this species in Caecidotea, but had decided to take a conservative approach at the time of its description. Caecidotea sequoiae will probably be moved to Calasellus or another genus at some later date, but this would be premature prior to a better understanding of the asellid fauna of California.

Caecidotea bilineata Lewis & Bowman, 1996

Material examined.—TEXAS: Collin County, Parkhill Prairie, from crayfish burrow, 30 August 1995, B. Hall, 7 males, 5 females.

Habitat and range.—This is a non-cavernicolous groundwater species previously recorded from Bell and Dallas counties, Texas (Lewis & Bowman, 1996). Caecidotea bilineata appears to be morphologically similar to C. adenta. Both have a pereopod 1 propus that lacks processes, a male first plepod exopod with concave lateral margin and elongate setae along the apical edge, and uropods that are shorter than the pleotelson. The male second pleopod endopod tip of C. adenta appears to be very similar to that of C. reddelli, as noted by Steeves (1968).

Caecidotea reddelli (Steeves, 1968)


Habitat and range.—This species is known from caves in the area of the north Balcones Fault Zone along with seeps and wells in the adjacent Gulf Coastal Plain. It is endemic to Texas, where it has been reported from Bell, Coryell, Dallas, Hays, Henderson, Travis and Williamson counties (Steeves, 1968; Mitchell & Reddell, 1971; Lewis & Bowman, 1996). Caecidotea reddelli appears to be morphologically (particularly in the structures of the male second pleopod) and zoogeographically (figure 1) related to C. acuticarpa and C. adenta in Oklahoma, and C. tridentata in Kansas.
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