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Abstract

The distribution patterns of the species of the family Chirostylidae and Galatheidae collected under the Revizee Program (Living Resources in the Exclusive Economic Zone) off the Brazilian southern coast are analyzed. The study area extended from the Cape São Tomé (Rio de Janeiro; 22°00’S) south to Chui (Rio Grande do Sul; 34°30’S). The collections were made during several cruises of N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard” between December 1997 and April 1998 over the shelf and slope (60–808 m). One species of the genus Agononida, seven species of the genus Munida, one species of Munidopsis and one of Uroptychus were collected.
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Introduction

The Exclusive Economic Zone (ZEE) is a recent concept of maritime territory introduced by the United Nations Convention of the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS). The ZEE granted to each coastal country the right of exclusive sustainable use of the marine resources within the 200 nautical mile economic zone.

Following the recommendation of UNCLOS, in 1994 the Brazilian government established the national Revizee Program (Living Resources in the Exclusive Economic Zone). This program had several specific objectives to be accomplished within 10 years. These included the estimation of the distribution, seasonal variation, abundance and potential sustainable yield of fishery stocks; obtaining general information on the structure and dynamics of the marine ecosystem that the living resources inhabit; the evaluation and monitoring of the potential sustainable yield and prospects for future exploitation of
marine resources; and the definition of new research fields for conservation and monitoring objectives.

The Revizee Program covered the entire Brazilian coast, but, for operational purposes, the area was divided into four regions, termed “scores”, each region with specific oceanographic characteristics: Northern, Northeastern, Central and Southern (Amaral & Rossi-Wongtschowski 2004).

The Southern Score extended from the Cape São Tomé (Rio de Janeiro; 22°00’S, 41°00’W) south to Chui (Rio Grande do Sul; 34°30’S, 53°00’W).

One species of the genus Agononida Baba & de Saint Laurent, fifteen species of the genus Munida Leach, four species of the genus Munidopsis Whiteaves and three species of the genus Uroptychus Henderson were previously known from the Brazilian coast (Melo-Filho 1998; Tavares & Campinho 1998; Melo 1999; Melo-Filho & Melo 2001b). Munida, Munidopsis and Uroptychus have a wide geographical and bathymetric distribution. Members of the genus Munida are generally confined to the continental shelf and upper part of the continental slope, occurring in the tropical and temperate zones of all the oceans (Pequegnat & Pequegnat 1970). The genus Munidopsis is distributed worldwide in all deep-sea habitats, commonly found living on the continental slope, usually deeper than 500 m, and on the abyssal plain below 2000 meters (Baba 1988). The genus Uroptychus has a similar distribution, with most species living on the continental slope. The genus Agononida, described recently (Baba & de Saint Laurent 1996), include Agononida longipes (A. Milne-Edwards, 1880), previously reported for the Brazilian coast (Melo 1999) like Munida longipes A. Milne-Edwards.

According to Macpherson & Segonzac (2005), the deep-sea galathheid fauna in the Atlantic is very rich, emphasizing the necessity of further studies. The same can be said for the family Chirostylidae.

Material and methods

The collections were made on the shelf and slope (60–808 m) off the southern Brazilian coast (22°00’S–34°30’S) by N.Oc. (Navio Oceanográfico, R.V.) “Prof W. Besnard”, between December 1997 and April 1998. Material of the genera Munida, Munidopsis and Uroptychus were obtained at several oceanographic stations (Table 1, Figure 1) and deposited in the carcinological collection of the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP). The specimens were examined in the Faculdade de Ciências Biológicas da Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie (FCBEE-UPM).

Type-material was used to confirm the determinations of most of the species. Descriptions and figures of all the species were provided by Melo-Filho (1992, 1997), Melo (1999), Tavares & Campinho (1998), and Melo-Filho & Melo (2001b).
TABLE 1. List of oceanographic stations of the N.Oc. “Prof W. Besnard” (Revizee Program — “Score Sul”) where species of the families Chirostylidae and Galatheidae were collected: A. station number; B. date; C. position; D. location; E. depth (m); F. species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6651</td>
<td>15/12/97</td>
<td>25°53’S, 45°42’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida flinti, Agononida longipes&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6652</td>
<td>15/12/97</td>
<td>25°51’S, 45°47’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida flinti&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6659</td>
<td>09/01/98</td>
<td>24°20’S, 43°46’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida constricta&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6660</td>
<td>09/01/98</td>
<td>24°17’S, 43°48’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida flinti, Agononida longipes&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6661</td>
<td>09/01/98</td>
<td>24°07’S, 45°51’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida irrasa&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6665</td>
<td>10/01/98</td>
<td>24°20’S, 44°09’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida irrasa, Agononida longipes&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6666</td>
<td>10/01/98</td>
<td>24°17’S, 44°12’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida flinti, Munida pusilla&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6671</td>
<td>11/01/98</td>
<td>24°32’S, 44°27’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Agononida longipes&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6673</td>
<td>11/01/98</td>
<td>24°17’S, 44°35’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida irrasa, Munida pusilla, Munida spinifrons&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6676</td>
<td>12/01/98</td>
<td>24°49’S, 44°44’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida irrasa, Agononida longipes&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6678</td>
<td>12/01/98</td>
<td>24°46’S, 45°11’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida irrasa&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6679</td>
<td>12/01/98</td>
<td>25°18’S, 44°52’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida constricta, Uroptychus nitidus var. B&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6681</td>
<td>12/01/98</td>
<td>25°11’S, 44°56’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida irrasa, Munida pusilla&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6684</td>
<td>13/01/98</td>
<td>25°43’S, 45°09’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida iris&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6686</td>
<td>13/01/98</td>
<td>25°36’S, 45°13’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida irrasa, Munida pusilla&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6693</td>
<td>19/01/98</td>
<td>26°41’S, 46°27’W</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Munida forceps, Munidopsis polita&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6782</td>
<td>14/03/98</td>
<td>27°10’S, 46°46’W</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Uroptychus nitidus var. B&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6811</td>
<td>22/03/98</td>
<td>29°14’S, 47°50’W</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;Uroptychus nitidus var. B&lt;/i&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Taxonomic Account**

**Family Chirostylidae Ortmann**

**Genus *Uroptychus* Henderson**

*Uroptychus nitidus* (A. Milne-Edwards, 1880)


*Uroptychus nitidus* Henderson, 1888: 174, pl. 21, fig. 6; Benedict, 1902: 292; van Dam, 1933: 37, 41; Chace, 1942: 11, figs., 3–6; Pequegnat & Pequegnat, 1970: 159, figs. 5–15; Melo, 1999: 168, figs. 101–102; Melo-Filho, 1999: 383, fig. 1.
**FIGURE 1.** Oceanographic stations of the N.Oc. "Prof W. Besnard" (Revizee Program-"Score Sul") where species of the families Chirosyllidae and Galatheidae were collected: 1, st. 6651; 2, st. 6652; 3, st. 6659; 4, st. 6660; 5, st. 6661; 6, st. 6665; 7, st. 6666; 8, st. 6671; 9, st. 6673; 10, st. 6676; 11, st. 6678; 12, st. 6679; 13, st. 6681; 14, st. 6684; 15, st. 6686; 16, st. 6693; 17, st. 6782; 18, st. 6811.

**Material examined**


**Recognition characters** (*Variety B*, of Chace, 1942: 15)

Medium-sized form, carapace 6.6 mm to base of rostrum, 4.8 to 6.7 in ovigerous females. Carapace not very convex dorsally, a fairly deep transverse groove in lateral view; lateral margins more or less denticulate. Rostrum narrow, twice as long as eyes. Cornea slightly wider than eyestalk, medium brown in color. Chelipeds five or slightly more than five times as long as carapace without rostrum; chelipeds more slender in females than in males; merus smooth, devoid of denticles; carpus subcylindrical, with few denticles on lower surface and with a very obscure furrow on inner portion of upper surface; chela broad and flattened dorso-ventrally; fingers shorter than palm, but never more than one-fourth shorter; hiatus usually present in both sexes.
Distribution (Variety B)

Western Atlantic: Gulf of Mexico (southeast), Cuba (north coast of eastern side) Brazil (Pernambuco, Espírito Santo, São Paulo, Paraná, Santa Catarina); between 450 and 808 meters depth.

Remarks

The specimens examined agree almost entirely with the description of *U. nitidus* variety B by Chace (1942). However, in the material examined, there is a darker stain, close to the posterior margin of the carapace.

Family Galatheidae Samouelle

Genus Agononida Baba & de Saint Laurent

*Agononida longipes* (A. Milne-Edwards, 1880)


*Munida paynei* Boone, 1927: 53, fig. 11.


Material examined

Antilles: Barbados USS “Blake”, st. 274, 376 m (MNHN Ga 543, lectotype). Brazil: São Paulo — N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard”, st. 6651, 256 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13208); st. 6660, 314 m, 4 ex. (MZUSP 13199), 3 ex. (MZUSP 13213), 11 ex. (MZUSP 13233), 1 ex. (MZUSP 13250); st. 6665, 258 m, 4 ex. (MZUSP 13239), 1 ex. (MZUSP 13241), 12 ex. (MZUSP 13248); st. 6671, 260 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13212); st. 6676, 153 m, 11 ex. (MZUSP 13233).

Recognition characters

Carapace with margins arched, almost as wide as long. Outer orbital spine followed by 5 lateral spines. Gastric area with 1 pair of epigastric spines. One parahepatic spine on each side of carapace. Branchial and hepatic areas unarmed. One cervical spine on each side of carapace. Cardiac area with 1 central spine above mesocardiac groove. Several spines in posterior branchial area. Posterior margin of carapace with 1 pair of spines. Remainder of carapace unarmed. Rostrum short, length similar to supraocular spines. Abdominal tergites 2 and 3 with anterior margin armed with 4 spines each; abdominal tergite 4 with 2 or 4 spines on anterior margin. Peduncle of antennule with outer terminal
spine much longer than inner one. Peduncle of antenna with only segment 2 armed, with 1 inner and 1 outer spine. Third maxilliped with 1 strong spine on ventral (flexor) margin of merus. Ambulatory legs characteristically long, their lengths similar to that of cheliped.

**Distribution**

Western Atlantic: Virginia, Carolinas, Gulf of Mexico (south and north coast), Bahamas, Cuba (north and south coast), Cay Sal Banks, Puerto Rico, Lesser Antilles (St. Kitts, Dominica, St. Lucia, Barbados), Mexico, Venezuela, Guiana and Brazil (São Paulo, Santa Catarina, Rio Grande do Sul); 129–729 meters depth. According to Wenner (1982), this species is most abundant between 200 and 400 meters.

**Remarks**

*Agononida longipes* is an easily recognizable species, possessing a wide carapace, short rostrum and legs as long as the chelipeds. The ovigerous female from station 274 of USS "Blake" (Barbados), was selected as the lectotype by Melo-Filho & Melo (1992a). According to Chace (1942), *M. paynei* Boone is synonymous with this species.

**Genus Munida Leach**

*Munida constricta* A. Milne-Edwards, 1880

*Munida constricta* A. Milne-Edwards, 1880: 52; A. Milne-Edwards & Bouvier, 1897: 40, pl. 3, fig. 5; Benedict: 1902: 307; Chace, 1942: 34, fig. 14; Pequegnat & Pequegnat, 1970: 127; Melo-Filho & Melo, 1992a: 516; 1992b: 766, fig. 17; Melo-Filho, 1998: 394; Melo, 1999: 180, figs. 109, 110 a–d; Melo-Filho 1999: 389, fig. 8; Melo-Filho & Melo, 2001b: 1144, figs. 8, 9; 2001c: 42.

*Munida miles*. — Henderson, 1888: 126 [part.].

**Material examined**

Caribbean Sea: USS “Blake”, st. 221, 760 m, Saint Lucie, 1 ex. (MNHN Ga 534, lectotype). Brazil: São Paulo — N. Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard”, st. 6659, 505 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13210); st. 6679, 808 m, 2 ex. (MZUSP 13197).

**Recognition characters**

Lateral borders of carapace parallel. Outer orbital spine followed by 6 lateral spines. With transverse row of 8 epigastric spines, including 1 pair of small spines between the pair of large medial spines. One or two parahepatic spines on each side of carapace. One small postcervical spine on each side. Remainder of carapace unarmed. Abdominal tergites 2 and 3 with anterior margin armed. Tergite 4 with anterior margin armed or, more rarely, unarmed. Peduncle of antennule with outer terminal spine longer than inner spine and with outer dorso-lateral spine followed by 1 smaller proximal outer lateral spine. Peduncle of antenna armed with 1 long distomesial spine on segment 1 (basis) and 1
distolateral denticle on segment 2. Third maxilliped with 1 long proximal spine and 1 shorter distal spine on ventral (flexor) margin of merus.

**Distribution**

Western Atlantic: Cuba (north coast), Lesser Antilles (Neves, Dominica, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Grenadines, Grenada) and Brazil (Alagoas, Espírito Santo, São Paulo, Santa Catarina and Rio Grande do Sul); 277–835 meters depth.

**Remarks**

*Munida constricta* is very similar to *M. miles*, differing from it in possessing a carapace with parallel borders. According to Chace (1942), the syntypes from USS “Blake” stations 146 and 147 are actually *M. miles*. Melo-Filho & Melo (1992a) selected the specimen from USS “Blake” station 221 as the lectotype of the *M. constricta*.

**Munida flinti** Benedict, 1902


**Material examined**

Gulf of Mexico: USS “Albatross”, st. 2404, 108 m, off the Mississippi Delta, 1885, 1 ex. (USNM 9778, lectotype). Caribbean Sea: USS “Blake”, st. 262, 166 m, Grenada, 1 ex. (MCZ 2821, syntype of the *M. stimpsoni*). Brazil: São Paulo — N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard”, st. 6651, 256 m, 3 ex. (MZUSP 13205); st. 6652, 206 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13251); st. 6660, 314 m, 4 ex. (MZUSP 13211), st. 6666, 163 m, 4 ex. (MZUSP 13211).

**Recognition characters**

Carapace with arched margins. Outer orbital spine followed by 4 small lateral spines. Gastric area with 2 pairs of spines behind supraocular spines: 1 well-developed epigastric pair, and another, smaller protogastric pair. One parahepatic spine on each side of carapace, forming hexagon with preceding spines. Anterior hepatic and branchial areas unarmed. One small postcervical spine on each side. One spine on mesocardiac sulcus. Posterior margin of carapace with 1 pair of spines. Remainder of carapace unarmed. Transverse lines continuous, indistinct, with regularly spaced cilia. Abdominal tergites 2, 3 and 4 with anterior margin armed. Tergite 4 with posterior margin unarmed or, more rarely, armed with 1 spine. Peduncle of antennule with 1 inner terminal spine little longer than
outer spine. Lateral margin with 2 spines of average development. Peduncle of antenna with small outer distal spine on segment 2. Remaining segments unarmed. Third maxilliped with strong spine at middle of ventral (flexor) margin of merus.

_Distribution_

Western Atlantic: Gulf of Mexico (north and south coasts), Lesser Antilles (Grenada), Guiana, Brazil (Alagoas, Espírito Santo, Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo, Paraná, Santa Catarina, Rio Grande do Sul) and Uruguay (to the north of the Rio de la Plata); usually 11–315 meters depth; a single specimen was collected at 630 meters depth by the HMS “Challenger” (st. 122, Alagoas).

_Remarks_

*Munida flinti*_ differs from the similar species (*M. benedicti* Chace, *M. stimpsoni* A. Milne-Edwards and *M. striata* Chace), in having only the second segment of the antennal peduncle armed. Besides, it possesses a carapace with continuous transverse lines, little marked, and the peduncle of the antenna with the distal segment relatively short. The spinulation of the carapace and abdominal tergites is extremely variable in _M. flinti_ (Melo-Filho, 1992). According to Chace (1942), the specimens of _M. flinti_ from stations 16 and 262 of USS "Blake" are syntypes of _M. stimpsoni_. All of the records of this last species for the Brazilian coast actually refer to _M. flinti_. Melo-Filho & Melo (1997) selected the male from USS “Albatross” station 2404 (Gulf of Mexico, off the Mississippi Delta; USNM 9778) as the lectotype.

*Munida forceps* A. Milne-Edwards, 1880

_Munida forceps_ A. Milne-Edwards, 1880: 49; A. Milne-Edwards & Bouvier, 1897: 28, pl. 2, fig. 8; Benedict, 1902: 307; Chace, 1942: 39, fig. 15; Springer & Bullis, 1956: 15; Bullis & Thompson, 1965: 9; Pequegnat & Pequegnat, 1970: 131, fig. 5–2; Wenner, 1982: 361; Takeda, 1983: 88; Abele & Kim, 1986: 35, fig. a, p. 403; Melo-Filho & Melo, 1992b: 768, figs. 18–24; Poupin, 1994: 35, pl. 3d; Rambla, 1995: 98, fig. 2; Melo-Filho, 1998: 394; Melo, 1999: 184, fig. 113, 114 a–d; Melo-Filho, 1999: 390, fig. 10; Melo-Filho & Melo, 2001a: 1183, fig. 5; 2001b: 1148, fig. 12, 13; 2001c: 44.

_Material examined_

Brazil: São Paulo — N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard”, st. 6693, 430 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13217).

_Recognition characters_

Carapace with margins slightly arched. Outer orbital spine on antero-lateral
angle, followed by 5 smaller lateral spines. Gastric area with epigastric row of strong spines. One parahepatic spine on each side of carapace. Anterior branchial areas each with 1 spine. One strong postcervical spine on each side. Remainder of carapace unarmed. Transverse lines well spaced, distinct. Abdominal tergites 2 with anterior margin armed. Remaining tergites unarmed. Peduncle of antennule with outer terminal spine much longer than inner spine. Outer lateral margin with 1 medium-sized proximal spine and 1 very long distal one. Peduncle of antenna with strong inner terminal spine on first segment (basis); second segment with 2 strong spines. Remaining segments unarmed. Third maxilliped with 2 spines, in addition to several intercalate tubercles on ventral (flexor) margin of merus. Chela characteristically long and very stout. Coxae of the third and fourth ambulatory legs characteristically granulated.

Distribution

Western Atlantic: Virginia, Florida (tropical east coast), Gulf of Mexico (north and south coast), Cuba (north coast), Mexico, Venezuela, Guiana, Brazil (Alagoas, Espirito Santo, Rio de Janeiro, Santa Catarina, Rio Grande do Sul) and Uruguay (north of the Rio de la Plata): most records at 73–426 meters depth, but the specimen from HMS “Challenger” st. 122 was dredged at 630 m and a specimen from off the Rio Grande do Sul coast (MZUSP 5177) was dredged at 950 m.

Remarks

A. Milne-Edwards (1880) reported a single specimen of \( M. \) forceps, collected by USS "Blake" at station 36 (holotype). According to Chace (1942), however, there is also an ovigerous female of this species, collected together with the holotype (USS "Blake", st. 36) but not mentioned by A. Milne-Edwards (1880) and A. Milne-Edwards & Bouvier (1897). \( Munida \) forceps is easily identifiable by its long and very stout chelipeds. According to Melo-Filho & Melo (2001c), a single specimen was collected off the Uruguay coast (north of the Rio de la Plata) by N.Oc. “Prof W. Besnard” st. 6693 (MZUSP 13217).

\( Munida \) iris

A. Milne-Edwards, 1880

\( ?Munida \) caribaea Stimpson, 1860: 244.
\( Munida \) iris A. Milne-Edwards, 1880: 49; A. Milne-Edwards & Bouvier, 1894: 256; 1897: 21, pl. 2, figs. 2–7; 1900: 285; Benedict, 1902: 310; Chace, 1942: 33; 1956: 15; Springer & Bullis, 1956: 15; Bullis & Thompson, 1965: 9; Pequegnat & Pequegnat, 1970: 131; Coelho & Ramos, 1972: 171; Williams & Wigley, 1977: 9, figs. 1, 2, tab. 1; Coelho; Ramos-Porto & Calado, 1986: 137, 140, 149; Takeda, 1983: 89; Lemaitre, 1984: 427, tab. 1; Poupin, 1994: 35; Escobar-Briones & Soto, 1993: 111, tabs. 1, 2; Melo-Filho, 1998: 394; Melo, 1999: 188, figs. 117, 118 a–e; Melo-
Material examined


Recognition characters

Carapace with margins arched. Outer orbital spine followed by 6 lateral spines. Gastric area with epigastric row of spines. One parahepatic spine on each side of carapace. Branchial areas armed with 1 or 2 spines on each side. One to 3 postcervical spines on each side, with or without inserted spinules. Remainder of carapace unarmed. Supraocular spines long. Abdominal tergite 2 with anterior margin armed with 1 pair of spines. Remaining abdominal tergites unarmed. Peduncle of antennule with inner terminal spine little longer than outer spine. Peduncle of antenna with segment 1 (basis) armed with 1 inner terminal spine, segment 2 with 1 inner terminal spine and 1 outer terminal spine and segment 3 armed with 1 inner terminal spine. Third maxilliped with long spine on ventral (flexor) margin of merus. Sternum with armed margins.

Distribution

Western Atlantic: Virginia, Carolinas, Gulf of Mexico (southeast coast), Cuba (north coast), Cay Sal Banks, Lesser Antilles (Barbados), Mexico (off Cozumel), Guiana, Brazil (Alagoas, São Paulo, Rio Grande do Sul) and Uruguay (north of the Rio de la Plata). Eastern Atlantic: Gulf of Cadiz, Canaries, African Coast (Western Sahara, Mauritania), Cape Verde Islands. Western Mediterranean (Spain, Morocco); 45–1303 meters depth, though usually between 200 and 400 meters.

Remarks

*Munida iris* is similar to *M. irrasa*, differing from it in the larger adult, armed second abdominal tergite, and the presence of one spine on the ventral (flexor) margin of the merus of the third maxilliped. Following Zariquiey-Alvarez (1952), most authors treated the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean populations of *M. iris* as a subspecies (*M. iris rutlanti*). García-Razo (1996), without presenting justifications, treated *M. iris rutlanti* as *M. rutlanti*. The populations of the Mediterranean and eastern Atlantic are not isolated,
since the Strait of Gibraltar does not seem to be an effective boundary for most decapods (Almaça 1985; Melo-Filho 1997). *Munida iris* is a species with an amphi-Atlantic pattern of distribution. That pattern is possible, according to the model of Scheltema (1966, 1968 & 1971), by means of larval transport through the North Atlantic. This also occurs in other species of the genus (*M. microphthalmal* A. Milne-Edwards and *M. sanctipauli* Henderson). The material examined establishes the first record of *M. iris* off the São Paulo coast.

*Munida irrasa* A. Milne-Edwards, 1880

?*Munida caribaea* Stimpson, 1860: 244.
*Munida irrasa*. — A. Milne-Edwards & Bouvier, 1894: 256; 1897: 25, pl. 1, figs. 16–20, pl. 2, fig. 1; Doflein & Balss, 1913: 172 [non *Munida caribaea* Smith, 1881: 428; 1883: 40, pl. 3, fig. 11; 1884: 35; 1886: 643 (= *Munida iris* A. Milne-Edwards, 1880)].
*Munida caribae*. — Young, 1900: 403; Türkay, 1968: 249.
*Munida sculpta* Benedict, 1902: 270, fig. 18; Chace, 1942: 44, figs. 19a–b; Pequegnat & Pequegat, 1970: 136.

Material examined
Caribbean Sea: USS “Albatross”, 1 ex. (USNM 7798, unknown station, 1884, ovigerous female, holotype of *M. sculpta* Benedict); USS “Blake”, st. 192 (Dominica), 248 m, 4 ex. (MNHN Ga 947, paralectotypes of *M. irrasa*); est. 232 (St. Vincent), 158 m, 2 ex. (MCZ 4713, paralectotypes of *M. irrasa*); est. 241 (Grenadines), 293 m, 1 ex. (MNHN Ga 948, paralectotypes of *M. irrasa*); est. 253 (Grenada), 166 m, 2 ex. (MCZ 4714, non ovigerous female lectotype of *M. irrasa* and ovigerous female paralectotype); est. 272 (Barbados), 137 m, 6 ex. (MCZ 3063, paralectotypes of *M. irrasa*); est. 276 (Barbados), 169 m, 3 ex. (MCZ 2839, paralectotypes of *M. irrasa*). Brazil: São Paulo — N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard”, st. 6671, 147 m, 49 ex (MZUSP 13218), 1 ex. (MZUSP 13228), 5 ex. (MZUSP 13230), 2 ex. (MZUSP 13235); st. 6665, 258 m, 5 ex. (MZUSP 13215), 3 ex. (MZUSP 13220), 2 ex. (MZUSP 13238), 1 ex. (MZUSP 13245), 6 ex. (MZUSP 13247); st. 6673, 133 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13200); st. 6676, 153 m, 3 ex. (MZUSP 13209), 2 ex.
ex. (MZUSP 13224); st. 6678, 99 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13203); st. 6681, 168 m, 8 ex. (MZUSP 13242), 40 ex. (MZUSP 13243); st. 6686, 380 m, 7 ex. (MZUSP 13207), 1 ex. (MZUSP 13249).

**Recognition characters**

Carapace with arched margins. Outer orbital spine followed by 6 or more lateral spines. With transverse row of epigastric spines. One parahepatic spine on each side of carapace. Branchial areas armed with 1 pair of spines on each side. Usually with 1 pair of postcervical spines on each side of carapace; but these spines may be lacking or be present in a larger number. Remainder of carapace unarmd. Supraocular spines short, reaching proximal margin of cornea. Abdominal tergites unarmed. Peduncle of antennule with inner terminal spine much longer than outer spine. Peduncle of antenna with segment 1 (basis) armed with 1 strong inner terminal spine, segment 2 armed with 1 inner terminal and 1 outer terminal spine and segment 3 armed with 1 inner terminal and 1 outer terminal spine; fourth segment with 1 outer terminal spinule. Third maxilliped with at least 3 spines on ventral (flexor) margin of merus. Sternum with armed margins.

**Distribution**

Western Atlantic: Carolinas, Gulf of Mexico (southeast and northeast coast), Bahamas, Cuba (north and south coast), Cay Sal Banks, Lesser Antilles (St. Croix, Dominica, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Barbados, Grenadines, Grenada), Colombia, Venezuela, Brazil (Amapá, Pará, Maranhão, Espírito Santo, Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo, Rio Grande do Sul) and Uruguay (north of the Rio de la Plata); 38–468 meters depth.

**Remarks**

Stimpson (1860) briefly described *M. caribaea* based on material collected at Sombrero Island and Sandkey. The short description of Stimpson (1860), without illustrations, is insufficient to characterize the species. It is possible that *M. irrasa* is a junior synonym of *M. caribaea*, as considered by A. Milne-Edwards & Bouvier (1897). However, the description of Stimpson (1860) could refer equally to *M. irrasa* or to *M. iris*. Considering that the type-material was destroyed, Faxon (1895) suggested the suppression of the name *M. caribaea*. Subsequent authors (Benedict 1902; Chace 1942; Williams 1984) supported that suggestion. The status of *M. caribaea* is left unresolved at present until either a neotype is established or an application is made to the ICZN to suppress the name. Melo-Filho & Melo (1992a) designated as the lectotype of *M. irrasa*, the ovigerous female from station 253 of USS "Blake" (MCZ 4714). Examination of the type material of *M. sculpta* Benedict proved that it is a junior synonym of *M. irrasa*. 
**Munida pusilla** Benedict, 1902


*Munida spinifrons*. — Coelho & Ramos, 1972: 171 [part.].

*Munida brasiliae* Coelho, 1973: 344 [part.]

**Material examined**

Brazil: São Paulo — N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard”, st. 6666, 163 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13222), 1 ex. (MZUSP 13223), 1 ex. (MZUSP 13226); st. 6673, 133 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13202); st. 6681, 168 m, 18 ex. (MZUSP 13483); st. 6686, 380 m, 5 ex. (MZUSP 13216).

**Recognition characters**

Carapace convex, with anterior margin oblique. Outer orbital spine followed by 6 lateral spines. Gastric area with transverse row of 4 spines. One parahepatic spine on each side of carapace. Branchial areas armed with 1 spine on each side. One postcervical spine on each side of carapace. Remainder of carapace unarmed. Rostrum short and obscurely spinulated on margin. Abdominal tergites 2 unarmed, or armed with 1 pair of spines on anterior margin. Remaining abdominal tergites unarmed. Peduncle of antennule with inner terminal spine longer than outer one; outer lateral margin with 2 spines. Peduncle of antenna with only first segment armed, with 1 outer terminal spine. Third maxilliped with at most 2 spines on ventral (flexor) margin of merus. Chelipeds short, palm longer than fingers. Sternum unarmed.

**Distribution**

Western Atlantic: the Carolinas, Florida (temperate east coast), Gulf of Mexico (northeast and southeast coast), Mexico, Colombia, Venezuela and Brazil (Amapá, Ceará, São Paulo). Between 38 and 168 meters depth. Collected at 380 meters at N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard” st. 6686.

**Remarks**

Record off São Paulo extended the species’ known distribution further south and the bathymetric range to 380 m.

**Munida spinifrons** Henderson, 1885


Material examined


Recognition characters

Carapace strongly convex, anterior margin oblique. Outer orbital spine followed by 6 lateral spines. Gastric area with transverse row of 6 spines. One parahepatic spine on each side of carapace. Branchial areas armed with 1 or 2 spines on each side. Remainder of carapace unarmed. Rostrum long, with distinct spines or spinules on margin. Abdominal tergite 2 armed with 1 pair of spines on anterior margin. Remaining abdominal tergites unarmed. Peduncle of antennule with inner terminal spine longer than outer one; outer lateral margin with 2 spines. Peduncle of antenna with first (basis) segment armed with 1 inner terminal spine and second segment armed with 1 outer terminal spine. Third maxilliped with 4 spines on ventral (flexor) margin of merus. Chelae with palm of same length or slightly shorter than fingers.

Distribution


Remarks

Munida spinifrons is similar to M. angulata Benedict and M. petronioi Melo-Filho & Melo. An interesting fact is that in specimens occurring at the southern and northern ends of the species distribution (Florida and the southeast coast of Brazil), the rostrum is little or very little spinulated, and most individuals feature 2 (two) spines on the anterior branchial area. These can be easily confused with M. pusilla. Specimens occurring near the center of the distribution area, off the Brazilian northeast coast, have well-developed rostral spinulation and, usually, 1 spine in the anterior branchial area (Melo-Filho & Melo 1994, 2001b; Melo-Filho & Coelho-Filho 2004).

Genus Munidopsis Whiteaves

Munidopsis polita (Smith, 1883)

Anoplnotus politus Smith, 1883: 50, pl. 2-fig. 1, pl. 3-fig. 1–5a. Munidopsis polita; Benedict, 1902: 324; Chace, 1942: 75; Pequegnat & Pequegnat, 1970: 155;
Material examined
Brazil: São Paulo — N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard”, st.6693, 430 m, 1 ex. (MZUSP 13252).

Recognition characters
Carapace dorsally smooth and unarmed. Rostrum triangular, minutely serrate or with small scattered spines. Cornea and eyestalk unarmed. Abdominal tergites smooth and unarmed. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs. Third maxilliped with 1 strong tooth on ventral (flexor) surface of merus.

Distribution
Western Atlantic: Massachusetts (Martha’s Vineyard); Virginia; Florida (Straits), Gulf of Mexico (northwest), Nicaragua, Lesser Antilles (Guadeloupe), Colombia and Brazil (São Paulo); 129–860 meters depth.

Remarks
The specimen from N.Oc. “Prof. W. Besnard” st. 6693 extends the area of occurrence of *Munidopsis polita* significantly.

Discussion
Several authors have noted the existence of a distinct zoogeographic region off the southeast coast of South America (Ekman 1953; Briggs 1974; Melo 1985; Absalão 1989; Dall 1991). Study of the distribution of the genus *Munida* (Melo-Filho 1997; Melo-Filho & Melo 2001c) corroborated the existence of a distinct faunistic region, from Cabo Frio (23° S) to Rio de la Plata (35° S). No known endemic species of Chirostylidae or Galatheidae occur in this area, being also present in the Northern Hemisphere (Virginia, the Carolinas, Florida, the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea).

The hydrology south of Cabo Frio is complex. The influence of continental waters is insignificant off the coast of São Paulo, Paraná and Santa Catarina. Evidence of superficial tropical water cease at around 30°S. The characteristics of the water become remarkably subtropical off the Rio Grande do Sul coast, with salinities and temperatures between 35–36‰ (ppm) and 10–20°C (Emilsson 1961). In this area, because of the influence of the Patos Lagoon and the Rio de la Plata, the Brazil Current suffers a drastic reduction of salinity. Under the influence of prevailing winds and the Earth’s rotation the Brazil Current turns towards the east. This turn, already noticeable at the level of Cabo Frio, becomes more and more marked. Around 35–38°S, the eastward component is predominant.
Southeast winds and the influence of the cold Falkland/Malvinas Current generate upwelling areas along the coast of Santa Catarina and Rio Grande do Sul (Palacio 1982).

The contact zone between Malvinas/Falkland Current and Brazil Current, around 35–38°S, represents the Subtropical Convergence (STC) in the western part of the South Atlantic. The Convergence area is marked on the surface by a tightening of the 12–14°C isotherms and is characterized by a strong temperature gradient of 10–14°C in winter and 14–18°C in summer. The Subtropical Convergence is recognized as an important hydrological boundary by several authors (Balech 1954; Boltovskoy 1961; Schlenz 1965; Tommasi, 1965; Tchernia 1980; Melo 1985; Absalão 1989; Dall 1991).

**TABLE 2.** Northern and southern geographic distribution limits, in the Western Atlantic, of the species of Chirostylidae and Galatheidae collected under the Revizee Program (Score-Sul).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Uroptychus nitidus</em> (var. B)</td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico (southeast)</td>
<td>Brazil (Santa Catarina)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Agononida longipes</em></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Brazil (Rio Grande do Sul)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munida constricta</em></td>
<td>Cuba (north coast)</td>
<td>Brazil (Rio Grande do Sul)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munida flinti</em></td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico (north and south)</td>
<td>Uruguay (north of Plata River)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munida forceps</em></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Uruguay (north of Plata River)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munida iris</em></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Uruguay (north of Plata River)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munida irrasa</em></td>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>Uruguay (north of Plata River)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munida pusilla</em></td>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>Brazil (São Paulo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munida spinifrons</em></td>
<td>Florida (east, to the north of Canaveral Cape)</td>
<td>Brazil (São Paulo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Munidopsis polita</em></td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Brazil (São Paulo)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the species of the genus *Munida, Munidopsis* and *Uroptychus* collected in the Revizee Program along the southern coast of Brazil, follow the distributional pattern previously reported by Melo-Filho & Melo (2001b), and occur in the Northern Hemisphere. Information on the geographic and bathymetric distributions is still limited and incomplete (Table 2 and Figure 2), but most of those species seem to have an affinity for temperate waters, extending their distribution to the south along the edge of the continental shelf and the upper slope, finding their southern limit off Rio Grande do Sul and the Uruguay coast. None of the Galatheidae or Chirostylidae species known from Brazil occurs south of the Rio de la Plata (Melo 1999; Melo-Filho 2001b), thus indicating the presence of a definitive boundary in this area, on the shelf and slope (Melo-Filho & Melo 2001c).

On the continental shelf, the extreme variation of the abiotic factors along the coast of Rio Grande do Sul and the low-salinity water mass produced by the Rio de la Plata (temperatures between 15–20°C and salinities around 33‰) constitute an efficient...
boundary. On the upper slope there seems to be a thermal barrier, since the Brazil Current gradually turns eastward and flows over the upper slope, generating abnormally high bottom temperatures (Palacio 1982).

FIGURE 2. Depth distributions for the species considered in the study. The heavy lines for M. iris and A. longipes indicate their preferential bathymetric distribution.
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