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((Michael Sars", Ad. Jensen), several specimens, and $61^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ N.L., $9^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W} . L$. ca. 500 fm, several specitrens ("Thor" I904).

Distribution. The geographical and bathymetric distribution of this species and of its "var. whossorum A. Milne-Edw". are fully dealt with in the above-mentioned work on the Decapoda of the "Travailleut" and "Talisman". It will be sufficient to give here a short extract from this as also a few cifical rematks etc.

The most northerly point in the eastern part of the Atlantic from which the species had previously been taken is sonth-west of Ireland, 315-1000 fm. (Pocock), and in the western patt of the same ocean "off Nova Scotia", $42^{\circ} 4 \mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ N. I. South of these points the species has been taken by different expeditions at various places in the Atlantic, thus off Portugal, at the Azores, Canary Islands, off Sierra Leone, in the Sargasso Sea, at the Antilles, at Tristan d'Acunha and at Patagonia at $47^{\circ} 48{ }^{8} / 2^{\prime}$ S.I. . In the Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal it has often been taken (Alcock); in the Pacific it has been found at Papua, Banda, Yokohama, Valparaiso (Henderson), off the northern part of South America, Galapagos Islands and the Gulf of California (Faxon). It has twice been taken in 250 fm. (Snnith) and downwards at the most different depths to 2221 fm . (Sinith). A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouviet write ("Travailleu"" and "Talisman", p. 192): "Cette espèce, qui s'accommode également des mers tropicales, des mers tempérées et des mers froides...". But this observation is not correct. When the French anthors wrote this account, its southern limit was a little below $48^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. L, its northern about $5 I^{\circ} \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{L}$.; the northern limit has been moved by the "Ingolf" almost to $63^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Lo, nevertheless it is incorrect to speak of its being an inhabitant of tropical, temperate and cold seas. It is really a deep-water species, which seldom occurs in shallower water than 300 fm . and even at this depth the differences between the temperatures of the different parts of its area of distribution are much less than in depths between 0 and roo fm.; for example, the lowest temperature at which it was taken by the "Ingole" was $42^{\circ}$.

Remarks. A comparison of my specimens with some of $P$. pilosimanus and of its variety abyssorum A. M.Edw. received by the Museum has shown that the "Ingolfs" specimens belong to the main species and not to the variety; a study of the descriptions given by the French authors led to the same result.

## 21. Galathea intermedia Lilljb.

1852. Galathea intermedia Lilljeborg, Öfv. F. Sv. Vet.-Akad. förhandl. for 185r, p. 2 r.

II888. - - Bon1ier, Bu11. Sc. de la France et de la Belgique, 3. Ser. T. I, P. 44, Pl. X, figs. I-2, Pl. XI, figs. I-I4.
1894. - - A. Milue-Edwards \& Bouvier, Ann. d. Sc. Natur., Zool., Sér. 7, T. XVI, p. 252.
1900. - - A. Milne-Edwards \& Bonvier, Exp. Scient. du Travailleur et du Talisman, Crust. Déc., I, p. 277.
Occurrence. This species has not been brought home by the "Ingolf" but is present from two places at the Freroes, namely:

Thorshavn (A. Benzon); a specimens.
North Eud of Naalso, roo fm. (Th. Mortensen); I specimen.
Distribution. The two works cited of 1888 and 1900 give together an almost complete
cture of the distribution of the species. It occurs at the Shetlands (Noman), from there southwards ong Great Britain and Ireland, on the Channel coasts, west coast of France and the Spanish penin[1a, at the Azores, Canary Islands and Cape Verde Islands to $17^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Ir; it has also been taken in the editerranean at Marseilles (Gourret), at Syracuse (author) and Algiers (Lucas). It is also found at olland (Hoek), in the Skager Rals, northern and the whole eastern part of the Kattegat down into Sound (Meinert); at Norway if goes up to Lofoten (G. O. Sars), thus somewhat north of the Polar Circle.
A. Milne-Edwards \& Bonvier write that it is specially common between 8 and 43 fm., but can go ach deeper to 120 fm ; concerning its occurrence at Denmark Meinert says: "the depth as a rule is 6 fm. "... "once it was taken in so shallow water as 2 fm ."

## 22. Galathea nexa Embl.

Galathea nexa Embleton, Proc. Berwickshire Nat. Field Clubr.

-     - Bell, Brit. Stalk-eyed Crust., p. 204, with fig.
- dispersa Bell, Journ. Linn. Soc. Lond., Vol. III, P. 3 .
- nexa Bonnier, Bull. Sc. de la France et de la Belgique, Sér. 3. T. I, p. 63, P1. XII, figs. 6-8.
- dispersa Bomier, 1.c. p. 68, Pl. XIII, figs. I-3.
-     - A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Rés. Sc. de lHirondelle (Suppl) et de la "Princesse Alice", fasc. XIII, P. 72.

0.     - A. Mine-Edwards \& Bouvier, Exp. Scient. du Travailleur et du Talisman, Crust. Déc., I, p. 278, Pl. XXIX, figs. 2-3.
Occurrence. It has only once been taken by the "Ingolf".
North-West of the Freroes: St. I: $62^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ N. I., $8^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ W. I., I 32 fm., temp. $7.2^{\circ}$; I spec.
I have seen some specimens from the sonthern half of the west coast of Iceland, namely, Faxe ord, Reykjavik and Grindavil, as also from the Vestmanna Islands on the south coast. It has been en a number of times at the Færoes, sometimes in the bays, sometimes further off the coast, once $0-4$ fml, otherwise from $S-9^{1 / 2} \mathrm{fm}$. down to 100 fm .

Distribution. Like the previous species it goes (cf. the French authors cited) sonthward ing Great Britain, France, the Spanish peninsula to the Azores and the Canary Islands (A. Milnewards \& Bonvier). It has been taken at several places in the Mediterranean: the Fgean Sea, thatic, Marseilles, Villafranca and has been found by the author at Syracuse. I have seen specimens il various places in the North Sea; it has also been taken in the Kattegat and northern part of Sound; on the west coast of Norway it goes up to West Finmark (Nordgaard). In Dijmphna-Togtet mentioned having seen a specimen from the Kara Sea; my determination was correct, but as the dies neither before nor since has been taken in an arctic sea I must suppose that an error from the fifdition in the statement of locality occurred in one way or another.

Remarks. It appears from the synonymy list that like Adensamer ${ }^{2}$ I mite the two species xa and $G$. dispersa under one. Bonnier has described a specimen of $G$. dispersa in which the third
${ }^{\text {I }}$ I have not been able to complete this reference with data and page.
${ }^{2}$ L. H ng after this text was written I see that Appellof (Nov. igo6) likewise unites them.

Hiliped, to judge from his figure, differs considerably from any of my numerous specimens, which from many localities, and I entertain grave doubts as to whether Bonnier's figure mentioned is correct in the differences it is intended to show from the figure of the same maxilliped in his 1exd. The third maxilliped of some of my specimens agree tolerably well with his figure of G. wexa, die in the other specimens it is more or less halfway between his figures of neexa and dispersa. A. Milnematds \& Bouvier ( 1899 ) give an account of the differences between G. nexa and G. dispersa; but a dy of my material has given the result that all my smaller specimens belong to G. dispersa, hreas some of the largest - in the spines and hairs on the chelæ and also in other respects poach more or less near to G. nexa, without ever having however the form of rostrum defed by the French authors. The two largest specimens I have seen are males (from the Færoes); fire one the scutum is 202 mm . in the other only 263 mm . long. A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier have seen a single specimen ( $\sigma^{7}$ ) of G. nexa, Bonnier likewise only one ( $\sigma^{7}$ ) and both were large. Judging miny material and a comparison of it with descriptions given by these authors I must conclude G. nexa was based on age-characters in single, large and well-marked males of G. dispersa. But tiis name is much younger than nexa, the latter must be used for the species as now understood.

## 23. Murida bamfica Penn.

PLIL, fig. 3 a.
77. Astacus bamffius Pennant, Brit. Zool, Vol. IV, p. I7, P1. XIII, fig. 25 \$32 Munida rugosa G. O. Sars, Vid. Selsk. Forh. Christ. for 1882, no. I8, Tab. I, Fig. 5 .

- Rondeletii G. O. Sars, Vid. Selsk. Forh. Christ. for I882, p. 43, Tab. I, Fig. 4.
- bamffica A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Rés. des Comp. Sc. de l'Hirondelle, fasc. VII, p. 83, Pl. VII, figs. I- 7 .
- A. Mihne-Edwards \& Bonvier, Rés. Sc. de 1'Hirondelle (Suppl.) et de la "Princesse Alice", fasc. XIII, p. 75, Pl. IV, figs. 6-I6. - A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Exp. Scient. du Travaillenr et du Talisman, Crust. Déc., I, p. 299, Pl. XXIX, fig. I7.
Occurrence. The "Ingolf" has taken this species at 5 stations.
West of Iceland: St. $98: 65^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ N. L., $26^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ W. L., I38 fm., temp. $59^{\circ} ; 3$ spec.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - - } 87: 65^{\circ} \mathrm{oz} \text { - } 23^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 6^{\prime} \text { - } 110 \text { - } \quad \text { ? } 2 \text { - } \\
& \text { - - - 9:640 } 18^{\prime} \text { - } 27^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-295-\text { - } 5^{\circ} \text {; I — } \\
& \text { - . - }-85: 63^{\circ} 21^{\prime}-25^{\circ} 21^{\prime}-170-\text { ? } ; 4 \text { - } \\
& \text { South of Iceland: - } 54: 63^{\circ} 08^{\prime}-15^{\circ} 40^{\prime}-69 \mathrm{I}-\quad \text { - } 3^{\circ} 9^{\circ} ; 5 \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, it has been taken at $63^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ N.L., $22^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ W. L., II5-173 fm. ("Thor" IgO3) and three Snear the Frroes, namely: 8-io miles $N$. of the Freroes, 5 specimens; i2 miles east of the most ierly island, $150 \mathrm{fm} ., 3$ specimens; and $61^{\circ} 9^{\prime}$ N. L., $7^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ W. L., 80 fm., temp. $84^{\circ}$, I specimen.
Distribution. It is impossible at present to treat this subject fully at all points, as the authors cited above have wrongly included M. tenuimana $G$. O. Sars as a synonym under $M$. a. and it is very probable that several of their localities, as also of the following authors (Catulery,

Adensamer, Senna), for the last-named species really refer to the former, though it should be added that we can by no means conclude that the specinens referred by A. Milue-Edwards \& Bouvier to M. bamffica var. tenuimand really belong even to M. tenuimana. Sars (see below).
M. bamffica is known from the Shetlands and from there along the coasts of Great Britain and Ireland (various authors), on the west coast of France and according to A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier it goes further south to Madeira and past Cape Boyador to $25^{\circ} 4 \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{L}$. It is widely distributed in the Mediterranean: the Cyclades (Adensamer), and common in the Adriatic and further west. In North Europe, it has been taken at Bohuslän (Goës), also along the whole west coast of Norway, on the east coast at Vadso in Varanger Fjord (G. O. Sars), in the west part of the Murman Sea, finally at $73^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ N. L., I7 $7^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ E. L. (Birula).

The species has been found rarely in so shallow water as 13 fm , at England (Bate, teste A. M.-Edw. \& Botiv.) and in the Mediterranean; in the Mediterranean it has been taken several times in 30 to 40 fm ., but both to the north and south it is most common in depths between 100 and 300 fm , the greatest depth I can mention with certainty for it is 69 mm . ("Ingolf"), as it cannot be determined whether the depth 750 fm . from the Gulif of Gascogne (Canllery) applies to this or the following species.

Remarks ${ }^{\text {r }}$. In 1882 Sars gave three species for Norway. Of these M. Rondeleitii Bell is certainly identical with M. bamffica Pemn. ( $=$ M. rugosa Fabr.; Sars). Sars states of M. Rondeletio that he has seen "three specimens all of relatively very considerable size"; I have also seen two very large males from Norway which agree well with Sars' descriptions and figures of this "species", but I think nevertheless that the species is only based on characters which are found in very large males or are untrustworthy for other reasons. The eyes are strikingly small, but I caunot say with Sars that the circle of setre at the eye is wanting, as my specimens show at places a row of short bristles which seem to have been torn or broken. The lack of a pair of spines on the $4^{\text {th }}$ abdominal segment (not $3^{\text {td }}$, as Sars states) is too mimportant and also, according to A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, not maintainable as a character even within M. bamffica and the reduced dimension of the eyes seems to me an age-character. My view is also strengthened by the fact that Sars seems to have only 3 very large, bit no smaller, specimens of the "species". -. A. tenuimana G. O. Sars is on the other hand a welltonnded species, and on describing it later the chief differences between it and $M$. banffica will be mentioned. It is therefore incorrect of A. Mine-Edwards \& Bouvier - followed by several others in their various publications to include $M$. tennimana Sars as a variety comnected with the principal form by transitional stages, and it cannot be determined whether they have seen the real M. tonumana not. The specimen figured by these authors in 1900 (PI. XXIX, fig. I8) must certainly be a true I. bamiffica, to judge from the lack of submedian spines on the hind margin of the scutum and the orm of this.

All the specimens from the "Ingolf" are small to almost medinm-sized; the largest, from St. 54, male 53 mm . long, and there is a female 40 mm . long from the same station which had numerous grs and a number of newly hatched zoëze attached to the abdominal legs.

[^0]
## 24. Munida tenuimana G. O. Sars

(P1. II, fig. 4a; Pl. III, fig. (a).
I872. Munida tenuimana, G. O. Sars, Vid. Selsk. Forh. Christiania, f. I87r, p. 257. 1.882.

Occurrence. The "Ingolf" has taken this species at a number of localities.
Davis Straits: St. $35: 65^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ N. L., $55^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ W. L., 362 fm., temp. $3^{\prime} 6^{\circ}$; I spec.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\quad-27: 64^{\circ} 54^{\prime}-55^{\circ} 10^{\prime}-393-54^{\circ}-38^{\circ} ; 4-1 \\
& -\quad-25: 63^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-54^{\circ} ; 1-50
\end{aligned}
$$

West of Iceland: St. $16: 65^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ N. L., $26^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ W. L., 250 fm ., temp. $6 I^{\circ}$; I spec.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\quad-97: 65^{\circ} 28^{\prime}-27^{\circ} 39^{\prime}-450-29^{\circ}-55^{\circ} ; 32- \\
& -\quad 89: 64^{\circ} 45^{\prime}-27^{\circ} 20^{\prime}-310-564^{\circ} ; 11- \\
& -90: 64^{\circ} 45^{\prime}-29^{\circ} 06^{\prime}-568-24^{\circ} ; 13- \\
& -9: 64^{\circ} 18^{\prime}-27^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-295-58^{\circ} ; 34-
\end{aligned}
$$

South-West of Iceland: St. $73: 62^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ W. L, $23^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ W. L., 486 fm ., temp. $55^{\circ}$; II spec.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - - }-84: 62^{\circ} 58^{\circ}-25^{\circ} 24^{\prime}-633-48^{\circ} ; 13- \\
& \text { - }-69: 62^{\circ} 40^{\prime}-22^{\circ} 17^{\prime}-589-19^{\circ} ; 4- \\
& \text { - - - } 74: 62^{\circ} 17^{\prime}-24^{\circ} 36^{\prime}-695-\text { - } 4^{\circ} \text {; I - } \\
& \text { - - - 8I: } 6 \mathrm{I}^{\circ} 44^{\prime}-27^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-485-10 T^{\circ} ; 7- \\
& \text { - - - } 78: 60^{\circ} 37^{\prime}-27^{\circ} 52^{\prime}-799-\quad-45^{\circ} ; 104- \\
& \text { South-East of Iceland: - } 52: 63^{\circ} 57^{\prime}-13^{\circ} 32^{\prime}-420-\quad-79^{\circ} \text {; I chela. }
\end{aligned}
$$

I have also seen specimens from $64^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ N.L., $27^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ W.L., 426 fm., temp. $6^{\circ}$ (Wancel); $62^{\circ}$ I2. $5^{\prime}$ N.L., $20^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ W.L., 27 I fin. ("Thor" I903); $62^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$ N.L., $19^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ W. L., 509 fra. ("Thor" IgO3); from the two following localities lying south-west of the Færoes ("Michael Sars" 1902): $61^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$ N. Ir. $9^{\circ} 33^{\prime}-9^{\circ} 4^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ W.L., 425-460 fm., I specimen, and $59^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ N. L., $8^{\circ} I^{\prime}$ W.L., $580-687 \mathrm{fm}$., 5 specimens; lastly, it has been twice taken in T004 ("Thor") near the first-named of the "Michael Sars" stations.

Distribution. The species was taken by Sars in the deep Norwegian fjords lying between foont $60^{\circ}$ and $68^{\circ} \mathrm{I} 2^{\prime} \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{L}$, in depths between 300 fm . and 672 fm . In the Skager Rak it has been taken by Joh. Petersen in 210, 265 and 300 fathoms. From these data with those of the "Ingolf" etc. me see that the species is commonest in depths between 300 and 600 fm ., the extreme limits being $2 \pi \mathrm{fm}$. and 800 fm .; the bottom-temperatures were between $3.3^{\circ}$ and $84^{\circ}$. It certainly goes tolerably br sonthwards in the deeper water of the Atlantic off southern Europe and perhaps northern Africa, put future investigations must determine more precisely how far it has been confused with M. bamffica.

Remarks. The largest specimen, a female from the Skager Rak, is $S_{7} \mathrm{~mm}$. $10 n g$ to the tip The rostrum; the largest "Ingolf" specimen is an egg-bearing female from St. 27; if the rostrum iere complete it would measure ca. 74 mm ; the largest of the more than a hundred, specimens from ${ }^{4} 78$ is a male 64 mm. long. As can be seen, my material is very large and I have found it very nsy to separate every single specimen that was at least about 20 mm . long from the previous species ith perfect certainty. The best character is given by the sternum of the thorax, which has hitherto en overlooked. In both species the sternum is divided into 4 segments by raised cross-lines furnished

With marginal hairs. In M. bamffica it is further as if covered with scales almost everywhere, which Whe to the presence of numerous large and small, slightly arched tubercles, the convex itterior or outer margin of which is well marked off and provided with hairs (fig. 3 a) ; in : specimen of only 13 mm . total length, rostrum included, this sculpture is weakly developed. In 10 temimana the sternum is very shiny and withont the scale-formation as in M. bamffica; there are one rows of bristles on a part of the first sternal segment but the scale-like tubercles are rudimentary, ind as a rule the second, third and fourth segments are smooth, with altogether extremely few fort rows of hairs chiefly out towards the lateral margins; sometimes also we meet Tith a small number of such rows scattered over the surface of the segments, but the rate-formation, i. e. the raised seemingly imbricate areas, are never developed (fig. 4 a ). 14. Tenuimana the submedian spines on the hind margin of the scutum are not only always present, hey are large and directed strongly outwards; the spines on the $2^{\text {nd }}-4^{\text {th }}$ abdominal segments are uge, very prominent and, especially the submedian, considerably larger and more prominent than in 4 specimen of $M$. bamffica. In $M$. tenuimana the bristles on the base of the upper margins of the fis are short to very short, but cannot ever be said to be quite wanting. The lateral margins of the atum are less convex than in M. bamffica, the more slender chela are laid stress on by Sars in the frice of the specific name. - After examining my large material I am quite certain of the indeandence of the species $M$. tenamimana.

## 25. Munida microphthalma A. M.-Edw.

Munida microphthalna A. Milne-Edwards, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. VIII, no I, p. 5 I. 897. - $\quad$ A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Mem. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. XIX, p. 32. Pl. II, figs. $9-13$.
Po. - A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Exp. Scient. du Travailleur et du Talisman, Crust. Déc., I, p. 292.
Occurrence. This species has not been brought home by the "Ingolp", but it was taken by "Thor" in 1903 at the following locality.

South of Iceland: $62^{\circ}$ 10 $8^{\prime}$ N. L., $19^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ W. L., 1oSo-II44 fm., 2 spec.
Distribution. The most northerly place in the Atlantic at which this species had previously taken was $5^{\circ} 39^{\prime}$ N. L. in the Bay of Biscay ("Talisman"). It was founded on specimens taken in West Indies by the "Blake"; the "Challenger" took it near Ascension and north of Kermadec Islands he Pacific. With some rucertainty a specimen taken by the "Albatross" at Cocos Islands in 134 fm. scribed to it, and the French authors cited consider it most probable that AI. microps Alcock of the an Ocean is a variety of the same species, but in Igor Alcock maintains his M. microps as an Pendent species "very closely related to M. microphthalma A. M.-Edw." The greatest depth at even the main form is known to have been taken is 804 fim., so that the depth given by the is not a little larger.
26. Galacantha rostrata A. MI.Edw.
0. Galacantha rostrata A. Milne-Edwards, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. VIII, no I, p. 5 .

-     - S. I. Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. X, p. 2I, PI. IX, figs. 2-2 a.

1897. Galacantha rostrata A. Milne-Edwatds \& Bouvier, Mem. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. XIX, p. 60 , Pl. IV, Figs. $2 i-24$.

-     - A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Exp. Scient du Travailleur et du Talisman, Crust. Déc, I, p. 308, Pl. VI, fig. 9.
Occurrence. The "Ingolf" has been so fortunate as to take this beautiful species at one station.
West of Iceland (halfway between Iceland and Greenland): St II: $64^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ N. L., $3 \bar{I}^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. L., 00 fm , temp. $16^{\circ}, 2$ specimens.

Distribution. On the American side of the Atantic this species has been taken at Bequia, qitiles ( 159 I fm.) and from there northwards to $40^{\circ} 17$ N.L. It was also taken by the "Talisman" inorthern Africa at about $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. L.; these specimens were described by A. Milne-Edwards as G. Talisani under which name a specimen from Banda (ca. $130^{\circ}$ E. L.) was included by Henderson in the hallenger" Anomura, p. 167 , Pl. XX, fig. $x$; later, Milne-Edwards \& Bonvier included $G$. Talismani as spionym and also considered the Banda specimen as belonging to G. rostrata. Henderson (1. c.p. 167, XIX, fig. 6) also describes a $G$. bellis and considers it different from $G$. rostrata, but it is taken as rariety by the French authors. Faxon (Miem. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. XVIII, p. 78, Pl. B, figs. I, I a) dhowever in 1895 already both disputed the correctness of considering $G$. bellis as a species and dreferred seven specimens taken at three stations west of Columbia or north of the Galapagos Isds to G. rostraia. He adds, it is true, that his specimens "differ constantly from the typical West fian form in the following particulars", but these seem to be small. In Igor, Alcock (Descrip. Catal. m4) gives $G$. rostrota as having been taken in the Arabian Sea and Bengal Bay in depths from 2 to 1520 fin. To sum up, during the last ten years authors have come more and more to the sidusion, that the specimens taken in the different seas belong to G. rostrata and that this shows at variation in the length of the spines and in the sculpture. I think that A. Mine-Edwards \& sier are quite right when they say ( I 900 ), that " $G$. rostrata est une espèce cosmopolite répandue semblablement dans les profondeurs de toutes les mers chaudes ou tempérées". The "Ingolf" has allso shown that the species occurs at ca. $64 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. L. between Iceland and Greenland; the surfacethere belongs to purely arctic regions, but in deep water at $I_{3} 00 \mathrm{fm}$., where the species was 4, the temperature and other conditions of the sea are certainly nearly identical with those found tiilar depths between the tropics. G. rostrata has only been taken in depths between 1022 fm. than Sea) and I5gr frn. (Antilles).

Remarks. Both my specimens are males; the larger is 58 mm . long to the tip of the rostrum. an they had just come from the water I noticed that they were reddish yellow in colour with pale tyes.

## 27. Munidopsis curvirostra Whiteaves.

(Pl. III, figs. 2 a -2 e).
Munidopsis curvirostra Whiteaves, Amn. Journ. Science 3 Ser. Vol. VIII, p. 212.

Occurrence. The "Ingolf" has taken this form at six stations.
Davis Straits: St. $35: 65^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{L} ., 55^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ W. L., 362 fm., temp. $3^{\circ} 6^{\circ} ; 20 \mathrm{spec}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \quad 28: 65^{\circ} 14^{\prime}-55^{\circ} 42^{\prime}-420-27: 64^{\circ} 54^{\prime}-55^{\circ} 10^{\prime}-393-35^{\circ} ; 75-38^{\circ} ; 3-
\end{aligned}
$$

South-West of Iceland: St. $76.60^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ N. L., $26^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ W. L., 806 fm, temp. $4 \mathrm{~T}^{\circ}$; I spec.
South of Iceland: St. $67.61^{\circ} 30^{\circ}$ N. L., $22^{\circ} 30^{\circ}$ W. L., 975 mm , temp. $3^{\circ}$; I spec.

$$
\square--63: 62^{\circ} 40^{\prime}-19^{\circ} 05^{\prime}-800-4-40^{\circ} ; I-
$$

It has also been takeu even further north in Davis Straits than any of the localities just mentioned, namely: $65^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lr, $56^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ W. L., 349 fm ., temp. $3^{\prime 2} 2^{\circ}, 5$ specimens, (Wandel, 1889).

Distribution. The species was first observed in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, $180-2 z 0$ fim. (Whiteaves); later, at a number of places off the east coast of the United States, between $39^{\circ}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. L. in depths from 384 to 1230 fm . and at $33^{\circ} 35^{\mathrm{I}} / 3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. L., 647 fm . Under the name M. longirostris A. M.Edw. \& Bouv. it is noted from the Newfoundland waters, $46^{\circ}$ o5' N. L., $49^{\circ} 02^{\mathrm{T}} / 2^{\prime}$ W. L., 674 fm ., also from off the Sudan at about $30^{\circ}$ N. I. in rro4 and 1175 fm . (A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier). - The occurrence of this deep-water species right up to $65^{\circ} 3^{6} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{L}$. in the Davis Straits is one of the many indications that the bottom of the deep part of that sea belongs in zoogeographical regards to the Atlantic. It is not improbable further, that this species will prove to have a much greater distribution than is known at present.

Remarks. The spiny armature on the gastric area is extremely variable: as a rule there are three spines, namely, one on each side of the middle line aud one unpaired somewhat further back (fig. 2a). Sometimes not one but two umpaired spines occur (fig. 2c), one behind the other; in one specimen the number of spines mounted to nine, (fig. 2 d ), namely, three in the median line and three on each side all well-developed except the posterior set of paired spines which were small. In contrast to this I have met with an adult specimen in which the spines, four altogether, the most posterior spine excepted were reduced to fairly low, transverse tubercles (fig. 2 e ). One of the largest specimens (from Stat. 28) is a male, in which the carapace measures $25^{\frac{1}{2} / 2 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. to the tip of the rostrum (the }}$ rostrum however is in reality 12 mm . and the carapace itself without the rostrum 15 mm . long ), while the greatest breadth is $\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{I} / 2} \mathrm{~mm}$.

I have compared a small "Talisman" specimen of M. longirostris taken off the Sudan with one of similar size from the "Ingolf" St. 28 and found the most perfect agreement between them; this specimen agrees fairly well also with the description' of M. longirostris by the French authors, but it should be remarked, that I have not seen either in their co-type or in my smaller "Ingolf" specimens anything similar to the carapace as figured by them, in which the breadth is considerably greater in front than near to the posterior margin and the process from the front outer angle is long and broad.

The species stands fairly near to M. simplex A. M.-Edw. as was already noted by the French authors, who inclicate a number of differences in the "Travailleur" and "Talisman" reports. 'I have examined one of their co-types of $M$. simplex from St. Vincent, West Indies and consider it a good species, which in addition to the differences summarised by A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier is distinguished From $M$. curvirostris by its longer and more slender chela.

## CRUSTACEA MALACOSTRACA.

## 28. Munidopsis Antonil A. M.-Edw.

$$
\text { Pl. III, figs. } 3 a-3 b \text {. }
$$

1884. Galathodes Antonii A. Milne-Edwards, in Filhol, La Nature Vol XII, p. 23 I, fig. 2 (teste A. M.-Edw. \& Bouvier).
1885. Munidopsis Antonii Henderson, Challenger Anomura, T. XXVII, p. 151, Pl. XVIII, fig. I. tooo. - - A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Exp. Scient. du Travailleur et du Talisman, Crust. Déc, I, p. 32I, PI. IV, fig. 2, P1. XXX, figs. $21-25$
Occurrence. This species was taken by the "Ingolf" at a single station.
Southern Part of Davis Straits: St $36,6 x^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ N. L., $56^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ W. L., 1435 fm., temp. I $5^{\circ}$; I spec.
Distribution. A. Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier note the species from two points north of the bores at $42^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ N. L. and $42^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ N. L. where the depth was 2114 and 2533 fm . In the "Challenger" he species is noted from west of Valparaiso, 1375 fathoms and S. W. of Australia, 1800 fm.

Remarks. My single specimen, a female, has been compared both with the descriptions of Milne-Edwards \& Bouvier and with a co-type from the Paris Museum. My specimen differs only a that the rostrum is somewhat longer, the spine on the onter conner of the second antemal segment nger and more pointed, reaching out a little past the centre of the outer margin of the following iint, and lastly in that it has four pairs of spines on the gastric area. In all other respects, viz. mitmules, eyes, granulation on the thorax, spines and granulation on the legs, it agrees with the escription and the Paris specimen. Concerning the rostrum it may be remarked that, according to it measurements of the French authors, this was 145 mm . long in a female in which the cephalothorax: ith rostrum was 45 mm , that is, scarcely a third of the latter length; in my specimen the cephalobrax with rostrum is 26 mm , the much upward curved rostrum 88 mmm ., thus a little over a third The whole length. For the rest, my figures of the cephalothorax will show the details in the spiny mature.
29. Munidopsis similis Smith.

Pl. III, figs. 4a-4 b.
Munidopsis similis S. I. Smith, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., VII, p. 496.
387. - - Rep. U.S. Comm. Fish and Fisheries for 1885, p. 647, Pl.V, figs. I-Ie, Pl. VI, figs. 2-2 a.
Occurrence. This species has been taken once by the "Ingolf".
West of Iceland (halfway between Iceland and Greenland): St. II: $64^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ N. L., $35^{\circ}$ I2 $2^{\prime}$ W. L., bo fm., temp. I $6^{\circ}$; I spec.

Distribution. M. similis was founded on a specimen taken off the east coast of America at $465 / 2 \cdot \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{L}$. in 1060 fm .; Mt. crassa Smith, to which M. similis appears to belong as a variety, was onded on a specimen taken off the east coast of America at $36^{\circ} 16{ }^{1} / 2^{\prime}$ N. L., in 2574 fm1. There is also Siderable probability that Munnidopsis subsquamosa rar. aculeata Hend. belongs to M. crassa + similis and this form was taken by the "Challenger" west of Patagonia, I450 fm. and "between Hon Island and the Crozets", 5375 fm .

Remarks. S. I. Smith fonnded M. similis on a single female, in which the carapace (with (iii) was 242 mun. long; he states that it us very closely allied to $M$ crassa, and will possibly it to be a variety of $i t$ ", but of $M$. crassa he had only seen his type-specinen, a very large female, wich the carapace with rostrmm measured 65 mm . My single specimen, a female with eggs, is reater to $M$. similis than to $M$, crassa, but is somewhat larger than the former, as the carawith rostrum is 40 mm , the rostrum itself 124 mm , and it differs from both and especially from passa in that the rostrum is longer, narrower and more curved upwards and in that the spiny ture along the anterior margin of the carapace is reduced to but a single process outside the of the antennæ. The gastric area has two larger and five smaller spines as also a number of tiles and on the posterior half a number of smaller, flat tubercles; the hepatic area has some ler, round tubercles; on the posterior half of the carapace there are unmerous raised portions d have a certain resemblance to transverse keels and are from three to more times as long as The rostrum is strongly recurved, narrow in its distal two-thirds, upper margin keeled, under llat and lateral margins with three to four semations at the middle. The antero-lateral process se carapace is of good size, another but smaller process is present abont halfway between this the basis of the antenna and between this and the rostrum the anterior margin is smooth; the falmargin has some smaller spines, one or two of which are situated on the anterior angle of the arior branchial area. The furrows between the different areas of the carapace are well-marked snoth. The eyes, antennules and the limbs on the cephalothorax agree with the corresponding 3 of $M$. similis in the features in which S. Smith finds differences between this form and M. crassa. abdomen is essentially intermediate between those in M. similis and M. crassa. For the rest, my tis show all these features in my specimen.

The eggs are ca. 3 mm . in diameter. - Just after the specimen came up in the trawl, I noted was miformly white with yellowish red eyes, while the eggs were bright scarlet red.
As a result of the above I have considered myself justified in referring my specimen to milis Smith; so long as it cannot be determined with certainty that this species should be ined under M. crassa as a variety or only as a synonym, I have thought it best to keep the first Under "distribution" I have further expressed my views concerning M. crassa, M. similis and mbsquamosa var, aculcata Hend.
30. Uroptychus nitidus A. M.-Edw. var. concolor A. M.E.

Diptychus nitidus A. Milne-Edwards, Bu1l. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. VIII, p. 62.

- , var. concolor, A. Milne-Edwards \& Eouvier, Amm. Sc. nat., Zool., Sér. 7, T. I6, p. 225, fig. 16, fig. 2 I.
-     -         - A. Milne-Edwards \& Bonvier, Exp. Scient. du Travailleur et du Talisman, Crust. Déc., I., p. 360, Pl.IV, fig. 4, Pl. XXXII, figs. I5-Ig.
Occurrence. The "Ingolf" has brought home a single specimen.
South-West of Iceland: St. $84: 62^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ N. L., $25^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ W. L., 633 fm., temp. $4^{\circ}$; I spec.
Distribution. The species was first taken by the "Blake", later by the "Challenger" at the

Autilles; A. M.Edwards \& Bouvier (Mem. Mus. Comp. Zool., XIX, p. I39) state: "L'espèce typique parait etre localisée dans la mer des Antilles..... elle ne remonte pas au-dessus de 80 brasses et descend jusqu'à 573 ", and they continue: "Elle est représentée dans les eauz orientales de yAtlantique par la vatété concolor A. Milne-Edwards et E. L. Bouvier du Talisman ( 495 à r 600 mètres) , et dans le Pacifque oriental par la variété occidentalis Faxon de l Albatross ( 495 brasses,". I think that "var. concolor" really belongs to U. nitidus as a variety; "var. concoloq" has been taken by the "Candan", "Travailleur" ard "Talisman" in the Gulf of Gascogne and from there sonthwards to the Cape Verde Islands, in \$95-17io meters, also in the Indian Ocean off Cape Natal, 440 fm . (Stebbing). Alcock (I8g9) gives U. nitidus - presumably not the typical form but var. concolor - from the Laccadives, 636 fm, and fiom the Bay of Bengal, $320-296 \mathrm{fm}$. It appears to me somewhat more doubtful whether var. occidentalis Faxon is a variety of $U$. nütudus or an independent species, as Faxon (Mem. Mus. Comp. 2ool. XVIII, p. roI) gives several differences between the two, but uaturally I can contribute nothing to the solution of the question. Faxon has only had four specimens of mis $U$. nitidus var. occidentalis fom a station in the Grif of Panama, 458 fm. (the 495 cited above from the French anthors must have been an error in printing).

Remarks. I have compared the "Ingolf" specimen with two specimens of $U$. mitidus var. molor taken by the "Talisman" and found complete agreement.

3x. Uroptychus rubro.vittatus A. M.-Edw.
1881. Diptychus rubro-vittatus A. Milne-Edwards, C. R. Acad. Sc. 5. déc. 88 r (teste A. M.-Edw. \& Bouvier). 1894. - - A. Milne-Edwards \& Eouvier, Rés. des Camp. Sc. de lHirondelle, Fasc. VII, p. 38, Pl. VI, figs. I-I2.
tioo. - A. Mine-Edwards \& Botvier, Exp. Scient. du Travailleur et du Talisman, Crust. Déc., I, p. 356, Pl. XXXII, figs. 6-I4.
Occurrence. This species was not brought home by the "Ingolf"; on the other hand it was ten by the "Thor" in 1903 at the following locality.

Sonth of Iceland: $63^{\circ}$ I2, $5^{\prime}$ N. L., $20^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ W. L., 300 fm .; I3 spec.
Distribution. The species has been taken several times in the eastern Atlantic, namely, off thern Africa and sonthern Europe between $26^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ N. L. and $46^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ N. L. as also at the Azores Minne-Edwards \& Bouvier, Bonnier, Caullery). The depth was from 160 to 744 fm . Its occurrence With of Iceland is interesting.

Remarks. Some of the specimens taken are remarkable for their quite unusual size; they are th larger than those whose measurements are given in the literature and I may therefore give me details conceming them. The largest male has the following dimensions: length from tip of frum to end of abdomen 33 mm , thorax with rostrum is mm., left first leg 63 mm ; in the fest female with eggs the length of the body when stretched was 40 mm ., of thorax with rostrum 7 mm ., left first leg 56 mm .


[^0]:    ${ }^{I}$ Years after I had written the text here I received Dr. Appellöfs work in Nor. Igo6. This author rightly mainM. tenzinana G.O.S. withont having observed its best character however; on the other hand he retains M. rurgosa S. as distinct from M. bamffica $=M$. Rondetetii Bell). Without being able to follow him in this I may refer to his ut; I may add that I have thought it best to make no changes whatsoever in my own account.
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