Points of View REPRINC

Misuse of Generic Names of Shrimp (Family Penaeidae)

Sec. 19

DIVISION MARINE INVERTEBRATES

CARDED SEP 16 1959

Reprinted from Systematic Zoology Volume 6, Number 2, June 1957

m

Points of View

Misuse of Generic Names of Shrimp (Family Penaeidae)

Misuse of names of the penaeid shrimp has been going on for well over a hundred years. The matter is of some importance because interest in these shrimp, both zoologically and commercially, is increasing greatly every year and use of the Latin names in general papers and statistical reports is increasing commensurately. Most authors are non-taxonomists and follow rather faithfully the erroneous spellings of reputedly authoritative works. Some of these spellings are wrong for the same reasons other words are sometimes spelled wrong. Others are based upon misconceptions. The latter are the more important and are the only ones treated in these remarks.

Peneus was first used as a generic name in a species list by F. Weber in 1795. The three species named were not described nor was the genus characterized, and all three species names were followed by the letter "S." In the introduction (p./IU) Weber states that the "S" stands for "Supplementum insectorum ineditum Fabricii." Thus Peneus was a nomen nudum and remains one, for when Fabricius did publish his Supplementum in 1798, defining his genus about as well as things were done in those days, he used the word Penaeus. Whether or not this is the proper way to latinize a Greek name is beside the point with regard to zoological nomenclature. The genus was restricted by S. I. Smith (1886), who also used Penaeus. Practically all other authors have used the same name. There were some exceptions.

Lucas (1848) used *Penoeus*, with the *oe* as a ligature and, according to Burkenroad (1934), in a later publication which I have not seen, Lucas (1849) used *Peneus*. Philippi (1840) also used *Peneus*. Lucas' use

of the ligature *oe* may have been a printer's error, for in many of the older fonts the a in the ae ligature was script-like and therefore easily confused with the *oe*.

Smith (1871, not 1869 as is commonly stated) used *Peneus* and in the same paper described the genus *Xiphopeneus*. Later when he realized that *Peneus* was wrong and took up Fabricius' original spelling, he recognized that *Xiphopeneus* would have to stand (Smith 1882, 1886). The doyen of American carcinologists, Waldo L. Schmitt, apparently had a similar experience, for in 1926 he used *Peneus*, citing Weber as the original authority, but turned to *Penaeus* later (Schmitt, 1926, 1935).

The papers of Smith (1871) and Alcock (1901, 1905) really laid the grounds for the present confusion. Alcock used Peneus throughout and in his 1905 synonymic lists did not bother to give the spellings really used by the authors; thus, this paper is useless in that respect, except for the dates, and in error. In one place Alcock did say that Fabricius' name was Penaeus, but that was evidently an oversight on his part. These facts would make little difference, except that Alcock described certain new genera, Atypopeneus, Parapeneopsis, and Trachypeneus, in conformity with his idea of how Penaeus should be spelled. These names plus Xiphopeneus of Smith and Trachypeneopsis of Burkenroad (1934) do not conform to eight other shrimp genera where the word Penaeus or the root is used. This is unfortunate, but although the International Commission has advised against giving similar but different spellings for genera in the same family, the generic names cannot be changed once they are properly published, regardless of authors'

misconceptions or other matters. This is one of the basic rules upon which nomenclatural stability rests. Nevertheless, Anderson and Lindner (1943) emulated Alcock, but in the opposite direction, and changed everything except Trachypeneus to conform with *Penaeus*. Since this key has been used extensively, the misspellings, Xiphopenaeus, etc., have become widely disseminated. There are also some unfortunate misspellings of species names in this paper, but here we are concerned only with generic names. These remarks should in nowise be taken as a criticism of the general value of Anderson and Lindner's paper, for, in spite of one minor taxonomic error, it summarizes a great deal of literature, some of which is written in a style more concealing than revealing. Therefore, it is, as one carcinologist said, "one of the most useful penaeid papers of the century."

Dr. Fenner A. Chace, Jr. has recently drawn up a list of the generic names of the Penaeidae, which he has kindly allowed me to publish here.

The Genera of Shrimps of the Family Penaeidae

Subfamily SOLENOCERINAE

Haliporus Bate, 1881 Hymenopenaeus Smith, 1882 Solenocera Lucas, 1849

Subfamily ARISTAEINAE

Aristaeomorpha Wood-Mason, 1891 Aristeus Duvernoy, 1840 Bentheogennema Burkenroad, 1936 Benthesicymus Bate, 1881 Gennadas Bate, 1881 Hemipenaeus Bate, 1881 Hepomadus Bate, 1881

Subfamily PENAEINAE

Artemesia Bate, 1888 Atypopeneus Alcock, 1905 Funchalia Johnson, 1868 (including Pelagopenaeus Burkenroad, 1934) Heteropenaeus De Man, 1896 Macropetasma Stebbing, 1914
Metapenaeus Wood-Mason, 1891
Parapenaeus Smith, 1885
Parapeneopsis Alcock, 1901
Penaeopsis Bate, 1881 (including Metapenaeopsis Bouvier, 1905)
Penaeus Fabricius, 1798
Protrachypene Burkenroad, 1934
Trachypeneopsis Burkenroad, 1934
Trachypeneus Alcock, 1901 (including Trachysalambria Burkenroad, 1934)
Xiphopeneus Smith, 1871

Subfamily SICYONINAE

Sicyonia H. Milne Edwards, 1830

These names are correct as written and, under the Rules, cannot be changed by authors for purposes of uniformity. It should not strain the minds of zoologists much further to remember that five genera of penaeids are spelled with *e* rather that *ae*. This seems to be preferable to applying to the International Commission for a plenary ruling bringing about uniformity, although that avenue is open.

In the first place, such action might set a precedent leading to a host of appeals over similar minutia: secondly the matter is not determinable on the basis of any clear grammatical rule, but shades off into matters of usage, preference or even original pronunciation about which we know little. The ligature ae was often changed to e in English and other European languages and such words as aesthetic. aether, etc. became esthetic, etc. However, this sets no precedent for Latin. Instead, printers have set one themselves for zoological Latin by dropping the ligature from their fonts within the past fifty years, while equating the ligature to the simple digraph ae. Thus, all older workers spelled Penaeus with the ligature, but since about 1910 the usage has almost ceased and it is now a rare printing press which has the ligature in its font.

If the matter of uniformity were settled on a basis of majority usage, the ae spelling would easily be selected. Fabricius (1998), Spence Bate (1881), S. I. Smith (1882, 1885), Wood-Mason (1891) and De Man (1896) named eight genera, using *ae*. These genera probably contain the majority of species. Furthermore, Latreille, H. and A. Milne-Edwards, Heller, Bouvier and most other students of shrimp followed this spelling. In contrast, S. I. Smith (1871), Alcock (1901, 1905) and Burkenroad (1934) named five genera using the *e* spelling. (All papers in this paragraph are not cited. They can be easily traced from taxonomic papers).

The argument has been raised that *Peneus* was the proper spelling of the Latin name of a river in Thessaly and also the name of a river god. However, I can see the fortunate aspect of an error, if error it was, by which Fabricius failed to name a group of marine animals after a river or a mythical fresh-water god. Similarly, I attach little importance to the desire to rectify the matter now. Other aspects of the case are considerably more important.

Some curious inequities would arise from any plenary ruling for uniformity. If Peneus were adopted, among the authors listing several genera, only Alcock, whose usage was mostly wrong under the rules when he wrote, would in a sense be validated. If Penaeus were adopted, the only authors generally validated would be Anderson and Lindner (1943), who were in good part wrong when they wrote. Some names in most other papers including recent papers (cf. Burkenroad, 1934, 1939 and Voss, 1955, who were carefully correct) would be wrong. Injustices would be done to careful authors by any plenary ruling, and there would be no profit in it.

- ALCOCK, A. 1901. A descriptive catalogue of the Indian deep-sea Crustacea Decapoda, Macrura and Anomala in the Indian Museum, being a revised account of the deepsea species collected by the Royal Indian Marine Survey Ship "Investigator." Pp. iv + 286. Calcutta.
- ALCOCK, A. 1905. A revision of the "Genus" Peneus, with diagnoses of some new species

and varieties. Ann. Nat. His., ser. 7, 16:508-532.

- ANDERSON, W. W. and LINDNER, M. J. 1943. A provisional key to the shrimps of the Family Penaeidae with especial reference to American forms. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 73:284– 319.
- BURKENROAD, M. D. 1934. The Peneidea of Louisiana. Bull. American Mus. Nat. Hist., 68:61-143.
- BURKENROAD, M. D. 1939. Further observations of Penaeidae of the northern Gulf of Mexico. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Coll., 6 (6):1-62.
- FABRICIUS, O. 1798. Supplementum Entomologiae systematicae. Pp. 1-572. Hafniae.
- Lucas, H. 1840. Histoire Naturelle des Animaux Articulé. Histoire Naturelle des Crustacés, des Arachnides et des Myriapodes. Pp. 1–600. Dumenil, Ed. Terzuolo. Paris.
- PHILIPPI, A. 1840. Zoologische Bemerkungen. Arch. Naturgesch., (Wiegmann's), Jahrg. 6, Band 1:181-195.
- SCHMITT, W. L. 1926. Report on the Crustacea Macrura (Families Peneidae, Campylonotidae and Pandalidae) obtained by F. I. S.
 "Endeavour" in Australian Seas. Biol. Results Fishing Experiments by F. I. S. "Endeavour" 1909-14, 5(6):311-381. Fisheries Dept. Trade and Customs. Australia.
- 1935. The West American species of shrimps of the genus Penaeus. *Proc. Biol.* Soc. Wash., 48:15-24.
- SMITH, S. I. 1871. Notice of the Crustacea collected by Prof. C. F. Hartt on the coast of Brazil in 1867. Trans. Connecticut Acad. Arts, Sci., 2(1):1-42.
- 1882. Reports on the results of dredging, under the supervision of Alexander Agassiz, etc. XVII. Report on the Crustacea. Part I. Decapods. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool.*, 10:1–108.
- Voss, G. L. 1955. A key to the commercial and potentially commercial shrimp of the Family Penaeidae of the western North Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico. *Fla. Board Conserva., Tech. Ser.*, (14):1–23.
- WEBER, FRIDERICO. 1795. Nomenclator entomoligicus secundum Entomologiam systematicam ill. Fabricii adjectis speciebus recens detectis et varietatibus. VIII + 171 pp. Chilonii et Hamburgi. Bohn.

GORDON GUNTER

Gulf Coast Research Laboratory Ocean Springs, Mississippi