

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + Keep it legal Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/

e Moramor

34 100 2

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE.

EDITOR: EDWARD S. DANA.

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROFESSORS GEO. L. GOODALE, JOHN TROWBRIDGE, H. P. BOWDITCH AND W. G. FARLOW, OF CAMBRIDGE,

PROFESSORS O. C. MARSH, A. E. VERRILL AND H. S. WILLIAMS, OF NEW HAVEN,

PROFESSOR GEORGE F. BARKER, OF PHILADELPHIA, PROFESSOR H. A. ROWLAND, OF BALTIMORE, MR. J. S. DILLER, OF WASHINGTON.

FOURTH SERIES.

VOL. IV-[WHOLE NUMBER, CLIV.]

WITH XII PLATES.

NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT.

1897.



253613

.

. Vaa kali

•

THE TUTTLE, MOREHOUSE & TAYLOR PRESS,

NEW HAVEN, CONN,

.

Digitized by Google

•...•

CONTENTS TO VOLUME IV.

Number 19.

ART. I.—Pressure Coefficient of Mercury Resistance; by A. DEF. PALMER, Jr.	1
II.—Ctenacanthus Spines from the Keokuk Limestone of Iowa; by C. R. EASTMAN	10
III.—Studies in the Cyperaceæ, V.; by T. Holm	13
IV.—Identity of Chalcostibite (Wolfsbergite) and Guejarite, and on Chalcostibite from Huanchaca, Bolivia; by S. L. PENFIELD and A. FRENZEL	27
V.—Interesting Case of Contact Metamorphism; by H. W. FAIRBANKS	36
VI.—Tin Deposits at Temescal, Southern California; by H. W. FAIRBANKS	39 ·
VII.—Outlying Areas of the Comanche Series in Oklahoma and Kansas; by T. W. VAUGHAN	43
VIIIElectrosynthesis; by W. G. MIXTER	51
IX.—Monazite from Idaho: by W. LINDGREN	63

SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE.

Chemistry and Physics-Viscosity of Mixtures of Miscible Liquids, THORPE and
RODGER: Specific Heats of the Gaseous Elements, BERTHELOT, 65Synthetic
Action of the Dark Electric Discharge, LOSANITSCH and JOVITSCHITSCH: Struc-
tural Isomerism in Inorganic Compounds, SABANÉEFF, 66Phase Rule, W. D.
BANCROFT, 67Vorlesungen über Bildung und Spaltung von Doppelsalzen, 68.
-Limits of Audition, LORD RAYLEIGH, 69Polarization Capacity, C. M. GOR-
DON: Oscillatory Currents arising in Charging a Condenser, SEILER: Cathode
Rays, 71Application of the Röntgen Rays to Surgery: Transparency of
Ebonite, M. PERRIGOT: Theory of Electricity and Magnetism, A. G. WEBSTER,
72.—Light and Sound, E. L. NICHOLS and W. S. FRANKLIN, 73.

Geology and Mineralogy—Examination of Deposits obtained from borings in the Nile Delta, J. W. JUDD, 74.—Underground Temperatures at Great Depths, W. HALLOCK: Depth of Peat in the Dismal Swamp, G. R. WIELAND: Currituck Sound, Virginia and North Carolina, G. R. WIELAND, 76.—Papers on Dæmonelix: Genesis and Matrix of the Diamond, LEWIS and BONNEY, 77.—Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa: Geological Survey of Canada, G. C. HOFFMANN, 78.

Botany-New System of Classification of Phænogamia, VAN TIEGHEM, 79.

Miscellaneous Scientific Intelligence—Cause of Secondary Undulations Registered on Tide Gauges, 82.—Zoölogical Bulletin, 83.

Obituary-ALVAN GRAHAM CLARE, 83.-CARL REMIGIUS FRESENIUS, 84.

Number 20.

ART. XTamiobatis vetustus; a new Form of Fossil Skate;	Lafe
by C. R. EASTMAN. (With Plate I.)	85
XI.—Florencia Formation; by O. H. HERSHEY	90
XIINative Iron in the Coal Measures of Missouri; by E. T. Allen	99
XIII.—Bixbyite, a new Mineral, and Notes on the Associated Topaz; by S. L. PENFIELD and H. W. FOOTE	105
XIV.—Composition of Ilmenite; by S. L. PENFIELD and H. W. FOOTE	108
XV.—Separation of Aluminum and Beryllium by the action of Hydrochloric Acid; by F. S. HAVENS	111
XVI.—Igneous Rocks of the Lcucite Hills and Pilot Butte, Wyoming; by W. CRoss	115
XVII.—Stylolites; by T. C. HOPKINS	142
XVIII.—Extinct Felidæ; by G. I. Adams	145

SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE.

- Chemistry and Physics—Electrical Convection of certain Dissolved Substances, PICTON and LINDER, 150.—Phenomena of Supersaturation and Supercooling, OSTWALD: Thermochemical Method for determining the Equivalents of Acids and Bases, BERTHELOT, 151.—Action of Potassium and Sodium vapor in coloring the Haloid salts of these metals, GIESEL: Action of the Silent Electric Discharge on Helium, BERTHELOT, 152.—Further Note on the Influence of a Magnetic Field on Radiation Frequency, LODGE and DAVIES, 153.
- Geology and Mineralogy—Recent publications of the U. S. Geological Survey, 155.
 —Pleistocene Features and Deposits of the Chicago Area, F. LEVERETT, 157.—
 A new fossil Pseudoscorpion, H. B. GEINITZ: Catalogus Mammalium tam viventium quam fossilium, E.-L. TROVESSART: Brief Notices of some recently described Minerals, 158.—The Bendegó Meteorite. O. A. DERBY, 159.
- Miscellaneous Scientific Intelligence—American Association for the Advancement of Science, 160.—Development of the Frog's Egg, an Introduction to Experimental Embryology, T. H. MORGAN, 161.

Obituary--ALFRED M. MAYER, 161.-A. DES CLOIZEAUX: JULIUS SACHS, 164.



Number 21.

	TWE
ART. XIX.—Principal Characters of the Protoceratidæ; by O. C. MARSH. Part I. (With Plates II-VII.)	165
XX.—Theory of Singing Flames; by H. V. GILL	177
XXI.—Electrical Discharges in Air; by J. TROWBRIDGE	190
XXII.—Oscillatory discharge of a large Accumulator; by J. TROWBRIDGE	
XXIII.—Jura and Neocomian of Arkansas, Kansas, Okla- homa, New Mexico and Texas; by J. MARCOU	197
XXIV.—Pithecanthropus erectus; by L. MANOUVRIER. Translated by George Grant MacCurdy	213
XXV.—Titration of Sodium Thiosulphate with Iodic Acid; by C. F. WALKER	235
XXVI.—Solarization effects in Röntgen Ray Photographs; by W. L. ROBB. (With Plates VIII-X.)	
XXVII.—Cape Fairweather Beds, a new marine Tertiary	

Horizon in Southern Patagonia; by J. B. HATCHER ... 246

SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE.

- Natural History-New Series of Contributions from the Gray Herbarium of Harvard University. No. XI. J. M. GREENMAN: Synoptical Flora of North America, Vol. 1. Part I, 249.—Illustrated Flora of the Northern United States. Canada, and the British Possessions, N. L. BRITTON and A. BROWN: Guide to the Genera and Classification of the North American Orthoptera found north of Mexico, S. H. SCUDDER: Das Tierreich, E. HARTERT, 250.
- Miscellaneous Scientific Intelligence—American Association for the Advancement of Science, 250.—Transactions of the American Microscopical Society, 256.

Number 22.

ART. XXVIII.—Fractional Crystallization of Rocks; by G. F. BECKER	257
XXIX.—Eopaleozoic Hot Springs and the Origin of the Pennsylvania Siliceous Oölite; by G. R. WIELAND	262
XXX.—Conditions required for attaining Maximum Accuracy in the Determination of Specific Heat by the Method of Mixtures; by F. L. O. WADSWORTH	265
XXXI.—Systematic position of Crangopsis vermiformis (Meek), from the Subcarboniferous rocks of Kentucky; by A. E. ORTMANN	283
XXXII.—New Species of the Palinurid-Genus Linuparus found in the Upper Cretaceous of Dakota; by A. E. OBTMANN	290
XXXIIIStudies in the Cyperaceæ, VI.; by T. Holm	298
XXXIV Improved Heliostat invented by Alfred M. Mayer; by A. G. MAYER	3 06
XXXV.—Pseudomorphs from Northern New York; by C. H. SMITH, JR.	309-
XXXVI.—Chemical Composition of Hamlinite and its Occurrence with Bertrandite at Oxford County, Maine; by S. L. PENFIELD	313

SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE.

Chemistry and Physics—Properties of Highly Purified Substances, SHENSTONE, 317.—Liquefaction of Fluorine, MOISSAN and DEWAE. 318.—Normal and Isopentane from American Petroleum. YOUNG and THOMAS: Heat of Combustion, MENDELÉEFF. 319.—Capillary constants of molten metals, H. SIEDENTOFF, 320.— Relations between the Geometric constants of a crystal and the Molecular Weight of its Substance, G. LINCK, 321.—Induction Coil in practical work, including Röntgen Rays, L. WRIGHT.

Digitized by Google

Miscellaneous Scientific Intelligence—British Association, 324.—Notes on Greenland glaciation, R. S. TARR: Revision of the Apodidæ, C. SCHUCHERT: New Meteorite from Canada, 325.—Observations on Popocatepetl and Ixtaccihuatl, O. C. FARRINGTON: L. Évolution régressive en Biologie et en Sociologie, J. DEMOOE, J. MASSART and E. VANDERNELDE: Birds of Colorado, W. W. COOKE: Mammoth Cave of Kentucky: an illustrated Manual, H. C. HOVEY and R. E. CALL, 326.

ART. XXXVII.—Geology of Southern Patagonia : by J. B. HATCHER	227
XXXVIII.—Some of the large Oysters of Patagonia; by A. E. ORTMANN. (With Plate XI.)	355
XXXIX Former Extension of the Appalachians across Mississippi, Louisians, and Texas; by J. C. BRANNER	357
XL.—Combustion of Organic Substances in the Wet Way; by I. K. PHELPS	372
XLI.—Some Features of Pre-Glacial Drainage in Michigan;	383

SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE.

- Chemistry and Physics—Verification of Dalton's law for Solutions, WILDERMANN, 387.—Spectrum of Silicon, A. DE GRAMONT: Spark Spectrum of Cyanogen, HARTLEY. 388.—Electrolytic Solution and Deposition of Carbon, COEHN. 389.— Conversion of Nitrites into Cyanides. KERP: Physics, Student's Manual for the Study Room and Laboratory, COOLEY: Action at a distance, P. DRUDE, 390.— Electrical tension at the poles of an induction apparatus, A. OBERBECK: Investigation of the Lenard Rays, TH. DES COUDERS: Behavior of rarified gases in approximately closed metallic receptacles in a high frequency field, H. EBERT and E. WIEDEMANN: Cathode Rays, J. J. THOMMON, 391.—Effect of Pressure on Wave Length, W. J. HUMPHREYS, 392.
- Geology and Natural History—Recent publications of the U. S. Geological Survey, 392.—Alabama, Geological Survey, 393.—Canada, Geological Survey: Indiana, 21st Annual Report of Department of Geology aud Natural Resources, 1896: Fossil Insects of the Cordaites shales of St. John, N. B., G. A. MATTHEW, 394. —Cape of Good Hope, 1st Annual Report of the Geological Commission, 1896: Glacial observations in the Umanak district, Greenland, G. H. BARTON: Les Variations de longueur des Glaciers dans les Régions arctiques et boréales, C. RABOT, 395.—Esquisses Sélénogiques, W. PRINZ, 396.—Experimental Morphology, C. B. DAVENPORT, 397.
- Miscellaneous Scientific Intelligence Geological Lectures of Harvard University, HANS REUSOH, 397.—Calculus for Engineers, J. PERRY: Ostwald's Klassiker der Exakten Wissenschaften, 398.

Obituary-VICTOR MEYER, 398.

Number 24.

ART. XLII.—A Microsclerometer, for determining the Hard- ness of Minerals; by T. A. JAGGAR, JR. (With Plate	LWG
XII.)	399
XLIII.—Recent Observations on European Dinosaurs; by O. C. MARSH	413
XLIV.—Sapphires from Montana, with special reference to	
those from Yogo Gulch in Fergus County; by G. F. KUNZ	417
XLV.—Corundum-bearing Rock from Yogo Gulch, Montana; by L. V. PIRSSON	42¥
XLVICrystallography of the Montana Sapphires; by J.	
Н. РКАТТ	424
XLVIIElectrical Measurement by Alternating Currents; by H. A. ROWLAND	429
XLVIII.—The alleged Jurassic of Texas. A Reply to Pro- fessor Jules Marcou; by R. T. HILL	449

SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE.

- Chemistry and Physics—Thermal Phenomena attending Change in Rotatory Power, BROWN and PICKERING, 470.—Chemical Action of Electrical Oscillations, DE HEMPTINNE. 471.—Explosion of Chlorine Peroxide with Carbon Monoxide, DIXON, 472.—Absorption of Nitrogen by Carbon compounds under the influence of the silent Electric Discharge, BERTHELOT: Manual of Qualitative Analysis, C. R. FRESENIUS, 473.—Delay in spark discharges, E. WARBURG: Photoelectric relations of Fluorspar and of Selenium, G. C. SCHMIDT. 474.— Magnetic method of showing metallic iron: Spectra of certain stars, VOGEL and WILSING: Structure of the Cathode Light and the nature of the Lenard Rays, GOLDSTEIN: New Nicol Prism, 475.
- Geology and Natural History—Observations on Baffinland, R. BELL. 476.—International Geological Congress, 477.—Mineral Resources of the United States, 1895, D. T. DAX: Descriptive Catalogue of Useful Fiber Plants of the World, including the structural and economic classifications of fibers, C. R. DODGE, 478.
- Miscellaneous Scientific Intelligence-Natural Academy of Sciences, 479.—Cordoba Photographs, B. A. GOULD: November Meteors: Sixteenth Annual Report of the Bureau of American Ethnology, J. W. POWELL, 480.—Field Columbian Museum: American Journal of Physiology, 481. INDEX, 482.

Digitized by Google

ART. XXXI.—The systematic position of Crangopsis vermiformis (Meek), from the Subcarboniferous rocks of Kentucky; by ARNOLD E. ORTMANN, Ph.D.

IN 1872 and 1875 F. B. Meek described* a peculiar Crustacean from the lowermost Subcarboniferous rocks (base of Waverly series) near Danville, Ky., under the name of Archaecaris vermiformis, but owing to the imperfect condition of his specimens he did not express any opinion as to the systematic position of this fossil. The Museum of Geology of Princeton University possesses quite a number of specimens of this form, which were collected by M. Fischer at or near the same locality (Boyle Co., Ky.), and which are also for the most part poorly preserved. Yet a few specimens are better, and one of them shows clearly a peculiar feature which enables us to make out its approximate systematic position.

Previously, Crustacean remains closely resembling Meek's species have been reported by Salter+ from the Subcarboniferous (Mountain Limestone) of Scotland under the name Palcocrangon socialis, the generic name being subsequently changed into Crangopsis Salter, ‡ in order to prevent confusion with Palæocrangon Schauroth. Salter places his fossils among the Macrurous Decapods, considering the presence of a carapace, of seven distinct abdominal segments, and of caudal swimmerts as conclusive. These three characters are all that is known of *Crangopsis*, and *Archæocaris* of Meek shows exactly the same; there is nothing that should induce us to separate generically the American from the Scotch fossil. Accordingly, we should consider Archaeocaris as a synonym of Crangopsis, and the American species should be called Crangopsis vermiformis (Meek). The three characters which induced Salter to place his genus among the Decapods are not sufficient to warrant the correctness of this position. On the contrary, these three characters are present, among the Malacostraca, in the same combination also in the living orders of the Stomatopoda, Euphausiacea, and Mysidacea, § and we cannot make out the proper position of these fossils according to our present knowledge. From one specimen however in the Princeton collection (Mus. No. 1597°) we learn another very important character.

S Compare Boas, in Morpholog. Jahrb., viii, 1883.

 ^{*} Proc. Acad. Philad., 1872, p. 335; Geol. Surv. Ohio, Palæont., ii, 1875, p. 321, pl. 18, fig. 1.
 + Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, xxii, p. 394; Quart. Journ. Geol. Soc. London, xvii,

[†] Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, xxii, p. 394; Quart. Journ. Geol. Soc. London, xvii, 1861, p. 533, fig. 8.

[‡] See Zittel, Handb. Palæont., ii, 1885, p. 682.

Of this specimen the body is complete, showing the carapace and the whole abdomen preserved *in situ*. Fortunately, the hinder and upper part of the carapace is broken away, thus enabling us to see that in addition to the seven abdominal segments exposed in specimens with unbroken carapace, there are, in front of them, *four* other segments present, originally covered by the hinder expansion of the carapace, and these four (thoracic) segments are dorsally perfectly closed, smooth, and uninjured, thus proving that they were not connected and anchylosed with the carapace, but free dorsally. These free thoracic segments are exhibited in a few other specimens (Mus. No. 1597^4), but since in the latter the abdomen is not complete, their exact number cannot be determined.

This character clearly shows that *Crangopsis vermiformis* cannot be a *Decapod*. In the Decapods all the thoracic segments are firmly united dorsally with the carapace. Neither can *Crangopsis* belong to the *Euphausiacea*, because in this order only the last (fifth) thoracic segment is dorsally free, while all the others are united with the carapace. In the *Stomatopoda* the five thoracic segments are free, but they are not covered by the carapace; only in the *Mysidacea* we have the same condition as shown by *Crangopsis*. Thus, according to this character, this genus should be placed in the order of *Mysidacea*, and it is the *first fossil form* assigned to this group.

I think, however, it would be a little rash to assume positively that Crangopsis belongs to that group of recent animals designated as the order Mysidacea, since we know nothing of the other characters of this form. It is true, the character mentioned is present, among the living Malacostraca, only in the order of *Mysidacea*, but it is a mere secondary one, the principal characters being drawn from the differentiation of the appendages of the body. In the fossil Crangopsis only faint traces of limbs have been discovered, but their number, their shape and differentiation are entirely unknown, and accordingly we are at a loss to recognize the typical characters of any particular order of the Malacostraca; we may even imagine that Crangopsis possessed in the conformation of the thorax the characters of Mysidacea, while the limbs were developed according to the Decapod type, a condition which is not altogether impossible. Since Crangopsis belongs to the earliest forms of Malacostraca, we are to expect that it belongs to a primitive group, perhaps to that group which forms the original stock from which all the now living Malacostraca originated. But the presence of a carapace covering entirely the thorax indicates that this genus belongs to the *Thoracostraca*, and further, the fact that the four last thoracic segments are dor-

Digitized by Google

sally free, shows that closer relations exist to the *Mysidacea* than to any other order.

There is no doubt that the Carboniferous and Permian fossils designated by Brocchi^{*} as a new family (*Nectotelsonidæ*) of the order Amphipoda belong to that primitive group of Malacostraca which gave origin to the different now living orders. This family contains the genera *Palæocaris* Meek and Worthen, *Uronectes* Bronn (=*Gampsonyx* Jordan), and *Nectotelson* Brocchi, but its position among the Amphipods, as maintained by Brocchi, is certainly erroneous. The *Nectotelsonidæ* show a number of characters common to all Malacostraca, but no typical characters of any of the orders of this subclass; they represent a mere collective type of different Malacostracous orders.

The general characters of all Malacostraca are the following : Body with a limited number of segments; the number of the anterior segment is somewhat doubtful, but there are certainly eight segments of the "cormus" bearing the cormopods, and seven of the abdomen or pleon, six of which bear pleopods, the last one forming with the telson a caudal fin. A differentiation between the appendages of the cormus and the pleon is present. This primitive type of Malacostraca is divided into two large sections: the Thoracostraca, having a carapace developed and stalked eyes, and the Arthrostraca having no carapace and sessile eyes.⁺ The first section is farther characterized by the prevailing presence of the caudal fin (which is reduced only in the Decapoda Brachyura); of the second section only a part of the Isopods retains the caudal fin. In the Thoracostraca the legs are either differentiated in the primitive manner into cormopods and pleopods, or the former are again divided (Decapoda) into three maxillipeds and five pereiopods (thoracic legs). In the Arthrostraca, there is never a differentiation of maxillipeds and pereiopods, but often (Amphipoda) the pleopods are divided into swimming (anterior) and jumping (posterior) feet.

The Nectotelsonidæ of Brocchi show on the one hand the primitive characters of the Malacostraca; they have a limited number of body-segments, divided according to the appendages into a cormus and a pleon with a caudal fin. On the other hand no carapace is developed and stalked eyes are present.[‡] The latter character, and the shape of the antennæ, and the gill-like appendages on the bases of the cormopods separate this group from the Arthrostraca, and Jordan and Meyer were perfectly right in so far as giving Uronectes a position inter-

+ I disregard the Cumacea, which are intermediate between both in this respect.

[‡] The details of structure given here are best known in Uronecles (= Gampsonyx).: Compare Jordan and Meyer, Palæontographica, iv, 1, 1854, p. l, ff. pl. 1.

^{*} Bull. Soc. Geol. France, iii, 8, 1880.

mediate between the Arthrostraca and Thoracostraca: but in pointing to the resemblance to the Amphipods they were wrong, since there are no closer relations present to that order. The other genera referred by Brocchi to the Nectotelsonidae are only incompletely known, but their general appearance strongly favors the opinion that they really belong to the relatives of Uronectes. The description of the genus Nectotelson of the Permian of Autun, France, is very poor and contradictory. Brocchi gives it seven thoracic segments (p. 6) and four abdominal segments, but his figures show nothing that might warrant this number, and fig. 1 (pl. 1), indeed, shows clearly that the number four for the abdominal segments is incorrect. Further, he says (p. 7) that probably the eyes were small and sessile : but the specimens did not show any traces of these organs! He did not discover in his specimens an appendage of the antennæ: these characters and the much smaller size are the only differences of Nectotelson and Uronectes. The limbs of Nectotelson (pl. 1, fig. 2) are badly preserved, but they resemble apparently those of Uronectes.*

As regards the genera *Palcocaris* and *Acanthotelson* of Meek and Worthen,[†] I refer only to the descriptions and restorations given by Packard[‡] from which it is apparent that both are closely related to *Uronectes*.

In order to get an approximate idea of the systematic position of Brocchi's *Nectotelsonidæ*, we may rely upon a combination of the characters of these three or four genera, and if we consider these characters as conclusive for this family, we may say that the *Nectotelsonidæ* show the typical characters of the subclassis Malacostraca; but further on they unite characters of the Arthrostraca (the missing carapace) with those of the Thoracostraca (stalked eyes), thus proving to be a primitive group from which the former as well as the latter might be derived.

I may add here that Packard creates the suborder Syncarida for these genera, § which thus consists of Brocchi's family Nectotelsonidæ.

* It is astonishing that Brocchi in comparing Nectotelson with Uronectes did not consult the paper of Jordan and Meyer quoted above, and that he describes a very bad figure that we possess of Gampsonyx (he gives a copy pl. 1, fig. 7), while Gampsonyx (Uronectes) is known as completely as we might expect to know a Palæozoic Crustacean.

⁺ Palæocaris typus, Coal Measures of Illinois (Proc. Acad. Philad., 1865, p. 49, and Geol. Surv. Ill., ii, 1866, p. 405; iii, 1868, p. 552). Acanthocaris, three species from the Coal Measures of Illinois (ibid.). A second species of *Palæocaris* has been described by Woodward from the Coal Measures of England (Geol. Magaz., 1881, p. 533).

¹ Mem. Nat. Acad. Sci., Washington, iii, 1886, and Proc. Boston Soc. N. H., xxiv, 1889.

§ Compare Calman, 1896, p. 801, footnote 1.



This fossil group of Crustaceans has become the more interesting, since very recently a peculiar living species has been discovered in fresh-water pools of the mountains of Tasmania, which was first described by G. M. Thomson* under the generic name of Anaspides, and of which W. T. Calman⁺ gives a more detailed investigation, especially with reference to its relation to the fossil forms here under discussion. Anaspides, indeed, is the most important discovery among the recent higher Crustaceans, and it is no doubt a living form belonging to the group Syncarida. Calman has shown conclusively that the characters of Anaspides are a combination of the Podophthalmate type (Thoracostraca) with a completely segmented body and the lack of a carapace, i. e. with Edriophthalmate type (Arthrostraca). But, on the other hand, the details of structure in *Anaspides* point to a closer connection with the "Schizopoda" of the Euphausid-type as well as of the Mysidtype.[‡]

I think, however, it is best to regard the Syncarida of Packard, including the recent genus Anaspides, as a group of equal rank with the other chief divisions of the subclass Malacostraca, namely as an order, and, indeed, as the most primitive order from which all the others are to be derived : there is no doubt about the genetic relation of the Euphausiacea, Mysidacea, and Decapoda to the Syncarida, but I am convinced that further study will show that also the other orders of Malacostraca, Squillacea, Cumacea, Isopoda, and Amphipoda are to be connected directly or indirectly with this primitive order.

The chief characteristics of the order Syncarida (Packard) derived from the morphological features displayed by the recent Anaspides would be the following:

Body with a limited number of distinct segments, differentiated into a "cormus" and a "pleon." No carapace developed. Stalked eyes present. Antenna with a scale. Cormopods on the coxal joints with "branchial lamella," and on the basal joints with an "exopodite." Penultimate segment of the pleon with two well developed appendages forming with the telson a caudal fin.

Comparing Crangopsis with the Syncarida we see at once that it is distinguished by the presence of a carapace, thus coming clearly under the subdivision Thoracostraca. As we have seen above, we may assign it to a particular order, Mysi-dacea, but we must bear in mind that the typical characters of this order drawn from the appendages of the body are not

* Trans. Linn. Soc. Zool. (2), vol. vi, 1894.
† Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. vol. xxxviii, part 4, 1896.
‡ Compare Calman, l. c. p. 795 and 801.

recognizable, and therefore its position among the Mysidacea is not beyond doubt. Indeed, I do not believe that *Crangopsis* really belongs to the *order* Mysidacea, but that it is related to the Syncarida. At present, however, we are at a loss to ascertain its true position, since the morphology of the appendages of the body is unknown: yet there is much probability that *Crangopsis* may be a transitional form from the true Syncarida to one of the more specialized groups of Thoracostraca, namely the Mysidacea. Whether we shall connect it systematically with the latter group or with the Syncarida, depends on the knowledge of the other details of structure. In the latter case, the synopsis of the Syncarida ought to be changed as to include this form provided with a carapace.

I may be permitted here to direct attention to a few other Malacostracous Crustaceaus found in Palæozoic strata, the position of which with Crangopsis is likely more correct than with the Decapoda.

The oldest form referred to the Decapoda, Palaeopalaemon newberryi,* from the Upper Devonian of Ohio, has been placed by J. Hall among the "Carididae;" but certainly it does not belong to the typical forms of this group, as the name might suggest, which are now called *Eucyphidea*. Zittel+ places this genus among the Penwidw. Although there is no character known which contradicts this position, there is, on the other hand, none which seems to warrant it. On the contrary, no characters are present at all which stamp this fossil as a Decapod: it may belong equally well among the Euphansiacea or Mysidacea. Indeed, in the figure of the only known specimen the carapace appears posteriorly elevated over the abdomen as if separated from the trunk, a feature which suggests a condition similar to that of the Mysidacea or Euphausiacea. But, of course, we cannot judge from this character. as it might be due as well to fossilization.

In the Coal Measures of England a peculiar Crustacean has been found, described by Huxley under the name Pygocephalus cooperi.[‡] Huxley considers this form to come near to the recent Mysis, but to possess some relations to the Stomatopods, while Zittel places it among the Decapod-group Penæidæ. I should like to endorse the opinion of Huxley in so far as the wanting chelæ, the non-differentiation of maxillipeds and pereiopods, and the presence of exopodites are strongly against its

* Whitfield, this Journal (3), vol. xix, 1880, p. 41, and Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., vol. v, 1891, p. 571, pl. 12, figs. 19-21. Hall, Pal. N. Y., vol. vii, 1888, p. 203, pl. 30, figs. 20-23.

+ Handbuch d. Palæont., ii, 1885, p. 683.

‡ Quart. Journ. Geol. Soc., London, xiii, 1857, p. 363, pl. 13 and 18, 1862, p. 420.

affinity with the Decapods. *Pygocephalus* may belong to the "Schizopods"* in the old sense, which comprise the Euphausiacea and Mysidacea of recent systems, but we are at a loss to say to which of the two latter orders it may be referred.

In conclusion I may add that no Palæozoic Crustacean is known in which Decapod characters have been observed.+ The only genus Anthrapalæmon[‡] of the Coal Measures of Scotland and Illinois, which has been referred to the Decapods from the appearance of the external form of the body, has incompletely preserved legs, so that its true position remains doubtful. It may be well to remember that true Decapods, i. e. Crustaceans in which typical Decapod-characters are evident, are not found until the Triassic period, and that it may be possible that they did not exist at all in Palæozoic times. On the other hand, it is sure that upwards from the Upper Devonian period, through the Subcarboniferous, Carboniferous and Permian. Malacostraca have been found, which represent either a mere collective type of this subclass or show even some tendency to become more specialized : at least a differentiation of Thoracostraca and Arthrostraca took place probably in the earliest Subcarboniferous or Upper Devonian period, Remains of this primitive group, which may be conveniently called Syncarida (Packard), have not yet been found in Mesozoic or Tertiary strata, but this group is still represented by the genus Anaspides, living in fresh water on the mountains of Tasmania.

Princeton University, January, 1897.

* Huxley unites the Schizopods with the Decapods, and, accordingly, he calls *Pygocephalus* a Decapod: but he expressly states its nearer relation to "Mysis," a Schizopod.

+ Even an alleged abdomen of a Brachyurous Decapod, Brachypyge carbonis, has been described from the Coal Measures of Belgium (Woodward, Geol. Magaz, 1878, p. 433, pl. 11, and de Koninck, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg. (2), lxv, 1878, p. 83, figs. 1, 2). It is extremely unintelligible why this fossil should belong to a Crustacean at all, and whoever has seen the abdomen of a living crab, cannot doubt that this fossil is no such thing. Probably Brachypyge belongs to the Arachnoidea (compare the Carboniferous Anthracomarti).

t Salter, Quart. Journ. Geol. Soc, London, xvii, 1861, p. 529, figs. 1-7. Meek and Worthen, Geol. Surv. Ill., ii, 1866, p. 407, pl. 32, fig. 4, and iii, 1868, p. 554. Etheridge, Quart. Journ. Geol. Soc., London, xxxv, 1879, p. 404, pl. 23.

AM. JOUR. SCI.—FOURTH SERIES, VOL. IV, NO. 22.—OOT., 1897. 20