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## LIST OF PLATES.

## plate

I. Achaeonsis thomsoni (Norm.).
II. Calocaris alcocki McArdle.
III. Polycheles demani n. sp.
, Solenocera africanus n. sp.
( Leander pacificus Stmps.
V. Acanthephyra brachytelsonis Bate.
VI. Nematocarcinus parvidentatus Bate.

Ichnopus macrobetomma n. sp.
' Metopa rotundus n. sp.
VIII. Achtheinus dentatus Wilson.

Stasimopus purcelli n. sp.
Stasimopus kentanicu $\stackrel{\text { Purc. }}{ }$
Spiroctenus cambierae Purc.
Spiroctenus collinus Poc.
Spiroctenus validus Purc.
Spiroctenus purcelli n. sp.
Ptychoptera capensis n sp.
Dicranomyia lightfooti n. sp.
Dicranomyia tipulipes Karsch.
Dicranomvia marleyi n. sp.
Rhipidia afra Berg.
Libnotes capensis n. sp.
Rhamphidia capensis a.sp.
Elephantomyia aurantiaca n. ap.
Styringomyia vittata Edw.
Atarba capensis n. 日p.
Erioptera bonae spei n. sp.
Erioptera peringueyi Berg
Trimicra inconspicua Loew.
Podonenra anthracogramma Berg.
Gnophonyia elegans Wiedm.
Gonomyla spuria Berg.
Gonomyia matakensis n. sp.
XI. Gonomyia brevifurca n. sp.

Limnophila transvaalica n. sp.
Limnophila frugi Berg.
Dolichopeza hirtipennis n. sp.

XV. Cirolana Huviatilis Stebb.
Cirolana palifions n. sp.
Cirolana cingulata n. sp.
Gaatholana mandibularis n. sp.
Zuzara furcifer $\mathrm{u} . \mathrm{sp}$.
Cymodoce tuberculosa Stebb. var. tripartita Rich.
Cymodoce japonica Rich. var. natalensis n.
Cymodoce tetrathele n. sp.
Cymodoce cavicola n.sp.
Cymodoce cryptodoman. sp.
Cymodoce excavans n. sp.
Cymodocella cancellata n.sp.
Cassidias africana n. gu.
Holidotea unicornis n. sp.
Arcturella pustulata n. ap.
Arcturella longipes n. sp.
Arcturella brevipes n.sp.
Stenetrinun dagama n.sp.
Stenetrium diazi n. sp.
Stenetrium saldanha n. sp.
Janira angusta n. sp.
Haploniscus dimeroceras n.sp.
Paramunna coucavifrons n. sp.
Macrostylis spiniceps n. sp.
Rhabdomesus bacillopsis n.sp.
Ilychthonos capensis n. sp
XVII. Pseudomunnopsis beddardi (Tatt.).
Eurycope fusiformis n. sp.
Eurycope quadrata n. sp.
Eurycope sulcifrons n. sp.
Palaegyge plesionikae n. 日p.
Pseudione munidae n. sp.
Paragigantione papillosa n. sp.
XVIII. Dairoides ruargaritatus n. sp.
XIX. Xemostoma eucheir n.sp.
XX. Cymonomus trifurcus n. sp.
XXI. Lithadia barnardi n. sp.
XXII. Cryptodromia micronyx n.sp.
XXIII Eudromia bituberculatus n.sp.
XXIV. Latreillopsis alcockı u. sp.
XXV. Hapaloptyx difficilis n.sp.
Nursia scandens n. sp.
Axius longispina n. sp.
XXVII. Axius longispina n. sp. Allothele teretis n.sp. Adonea parva n. ap.
Diores auricula n. sp.
Diores druryj n. sl.
Diores capentis n sp.
XXVIII. Diores jonesi n. sp.

Plateboctesis trinotatus n. sp.
Rhaeboctesis matroosbergensis n. sp.
Phaehoctesis exilis n. sp
Rhaeboctesis transvaalensis n. sr.
Rlaphoctesis secundus n. sp.
Fresus parcellin. sp.
Eresus depressus n. sp
Eresus fumosus n. sp.
Dresserus colsoni n. sp.
Dresserus kannemeyeri n.sp.
Dresserus nigellus n. sp.
Dresserus schreineri n. sp.
Dresserns sericatus n. sp.
Hersiliola australis n. sp.
Hersilia hicornis n. sp.
Hersilia pungwensis n. 8].
Uroctea septemnotata n. sp.
Uroctea quinquenotata n.sp.
Diores bifurcata n. 8 p .
Diores poweri n. $\mathrm{s}^{1}$.
Diores salisburyeusis n. s].
Diores setosus n. sp.
Ceto curvipes n. ap.

## INDEX OF GENERA.



| Cymonomus |  |  |  |  | PAGE 244 | Gnathophaturia |  |  |  |  |  |  | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cymotlioa. | . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | . | . . | 357 | Gnophomyia. |  |  | - | . | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Cyproniscus | . . | . . |  | . . | 430 | Gonodontis. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Gonomyia |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 |
|  | D. |  |  |  |  | Gorgyrella |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Goryphos |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 |
| Dairoides | - | . |  |  | 233 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depressaria. | - | . | . |  | 257 |  |  | H |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dichomeris. | . . | . | . | . 5, | 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dicranomyia | . | . | . |  | 110 | Hapaloptyx |  | . |  |  |  | - . | 262 |
| Diocesma |  | , |  |  |  | Ilaploembia |  | . |  |  |  |  | 503 |
| Diores | . |  |  |  | 459 | Haploniscue |  |  |  |  |  | . . | 406 |
| Diplothele | . . | . . | . | 118, | $\pm 40$ | Hebdomophrud |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 B |
| Discalma |  | . |  |  | 72 | Hemerobius |  |  |  |  |  |  | 508 |
| Doclea | . |  | . |  | 23: | Hemerophila |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Dolichopeza | . | - | . | , | 15- | Hemiarthros |  | . |  |  |  |  | 129 |
| Doliochastis | . |  | . | . | 23 | Hemipepsis. |  | . |  |  |  |  | 192 |
| Doxomeres |  | . |  |  | $1 i$ | Henipimpla |  |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |
| Drepanogyins. | - | . | . |  | 623 | Hemiteles |  | . |  |  |  |  | 305 |
| Dresserus | . . | - | . |  | +5:3 | Henicorpilus | . | . | . |  |  | . | $\underline{23}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Hermacha |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 |
|  | E. |  |  |  |  | Hersilia. |  | . |  |  |  |  | 173 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Hersiliola |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 |
| Earrana |  |  |  |  | 20.9 | Hieroxestis. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| Echthromorplia | . |  |  |  | 213 | IIolidotea |  |  | . |  |  |  | 381 |
| Elachista |  |  |  |  | 34 | Homalophychat |  |  | . |  |  |  | 304 |
| Elephantonyia | . |  | . |  | 146 | Homostola |  | . | . |  |  | .. | 9 |
| Ellochotis | . |  |  |  | 311 | Uyastenus |  |  |  |  | . | . | ソ |
| Encelidotía. | - | , |  | - | 315 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enscepastra | . |  |  |  | 360 |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Epaleura. | . . | , |  | . | $1 t$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Epichorista |  |  |  |  | 27 | Iclinopus |  | . | - |  |  |  | 38 |
| Epijoppa | . |  |  |  | 14ti | Idiops |  | . |  |  |  | 88, | 139 |
| Epimactig | . |  |  |  | 1 | Idiotechna |  | . |  |  |  |  | 305 |
| Epithectis | . | . |  |  | $2 \times 1$ | Ilyarachna. |  | - | - |  |  | - . | 118 |
| Fpilurus. | . |  |  |  | 211 | Ilychthonos |  |  |  |  |  | . . | 111 |
| Eporycta | . . |  |  |  | 241 | Ischnojoppa |  | . | . |  |  |  | 111 |
| Eresus |  |  |  |  | 445 | Ischnothele. |  |  |  |  |  | 123 | 141 |
| Eridachtlia. | . . |  | . |  | 205 | Isocrita. |  | . |  |  |  |  | 291 |
| Eriontera | . |  | . | . | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fucosma | - . |  | . | , | 2Ts |  |  | J. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eucrate . | - |  |  |  | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eudromia |  |  | . |  | 253 | Janira |  |  |  |  | . |  | 404 |
| Eugerda. | . |  |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eupagaris. | . . | . . | . |  | 359 |  |  | K. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eupalanus. |  |  |  | - | 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eupithecia. | . |  | . |  | 54 | Kuphomunna. | - | , |  |  | . |  | 409 |
| Eurycope |  |  |  | - | 420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exanthura. |  |  | . |  | 340 |  |  | L. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exeristes |  |  |  |  | 213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lanocira |  | , |  |  |  | - | 354 |
|  | G. |  |  |  |  | Larentia |  |  |  |  |  | . . | 53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Larentioides |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| Gabunia. | . . |  | . |  | 213 | Latreillopsis | . | . | . |  | - | . . | 255 |
| Galathea |  |  |  |  | 23 | Latypica |  | . |  |  |  | - | 306 |
| Gelechia. |  |  |  |  | 283 | Leander. | . | . | . |  | . | . | 34 |
| Qlenochrysa |  |  |  |  | 518 | Leptochelia. | - |  | . |  | . | - | 331 |
| Glyphipteryx . |  |  |  | 10, | 25 | Leptophatnus. | . | - |  |  | - | - - | 143 |
| Gnathia. |  |  |  |  | 332 | Leptosialis |  | . | . |  | . |  | 502 |
| Gnatholana . | , | . |  | . | 352 | Leptotipula |  | - |  |  |  |  | 160 |



2. South African Crustacea (Part JX. of S.A. Crustacea, for the Marine Turestigations in Sonth Africa).-By the Rev. Thomas R. R. Stebring. M.A.. F.R.S.. F.L.S., F.Z.S.. Fellow of Kinges College, London. Hon. Memb, of Ners Zenland Inst., Hon. Fellow Worcester College, Oxfurd.
(Plates T-VIII of Yol. XVII. Plates XC-XCTTI of Crustacea.)

Of the eighteen species here consilered, sixteen lolong to the Malacostraca and two to the parasitic Copepoda. Three of the plates refer to species disenssed in Part VIIL of these Investivations, and illustrations are offered of forms mamed by various authors in cases where it seemed desirable hy this means either to establish the identification of the specimens concerned or to give experts a reasonable opportunity of correcting it.

With resard to Philocheras mpgulorlieir, described in Part VIIT, it is right to mention that JLr. Stanley Kemp in 1912 argued that Pontophilus, Leach, and Philocheras were so comected br intermediate species that Philocheras could not properly be separated from the earlier Pontophilns. It is interesting to remember that for a long time science tras engaged in splitting up comprehensive genera such as Cancer into an endless number of subdivisions. Now, with the discovery of links ant gradations, there is a natural tendency to reunite the severed parts.

## MALACOSTRACA. Brachyura.

Tribe OXYRRIIYNCHA.

## Family INaCIIIDAE.

Gen. ACHAEOPSIS, Stimpson.
1857. Achaeopsis, Stimpson, Pr. Ac. Sci. Philad., vol. 9, p. 219.
1873. Doryumhe, Norman Wyville. Thomson, Depths of the Sea, 1. 174, tis. 34.
1880. Lisjmgalhms, A. Milne-Edwards, Crust. reg. Mexicaine, ]. 349.
1893. Achneopsis, Ortmam, Zool. Jahrh., vol. 7. p. 36.
1910. Achrropsis and Duryuchus, Stebling, in these Annals, vol. if, pt. 4, p. 285.
1911. Achatopsis, Rathbun, 'Tr. Limn. Soc. London, vol. 14. pt. ㄹ. p. 247.
1916. ", Rathbun, Pr. U.S. Mus. vol. 5t, p. $5: 85$.

Additional references for the mited genera will he found in these Anmals for 1910. A superilirris, Ortmam, and the little A. sulumais. Rathbun, strm to be consely related onte to the other, but well distinguished from other species by the large median spine of the carapace.

## Achabopsis thonsoni (Nomman).

Plate XC.
1873. Dorynchus thomsomi, Noman, Depths of the Sea, p. 174, fig. 34. 1910. ," Stebbing, Ann. S. Afr. Mus., vol. 6, pt. 4, p. 286.
1911. Achacopsis thomsoni. Rathbun. 'Ir. Limn. Sac. London, vol. 14, pt. 2, p. $\Xi 47$.
dmong many females laden with ova and smaller males the specimen here figured was conspicuous by its chelipeds strikingly larger than in ans other specimen, and with the palm very much longer than the fingers. In other respects there appeared to be no trustworthy marks of difference to justify the naming of a new species. The parallelism or divergence of the horns of the rostrum is certainly a variable character. All the specimens exammed, of either sex, have the strongly curved process on the ventral surface of the rostrum
in front of the recesses for the first antemme. In the male the gleon is bent at the thime (the widest) segment, so that the first and second segments occupy a position nearly, if mot quite, at right angles with the last three segments. From the spond segment nearly to the end of the pleon there is a raised central lobe. In the "female thisi, lobe begins on the first segment, which is the narrowest, while the fifth is the broadest, the sixth also being very broad, the whole forming a capacions bowl for the ova.

The male specimen here figured is about 24 mm. long by 17 mm . broad.

Tacality. Viaso de Gama S. $75^{\circ}$ E., $13!$ miles. Depth 166 fathoms. No. 248. Sent hy Dr. Gilehrist.

Gen. HYASTENUS, White.
1847. Hyastemиs, White, Proc. Zool. ${ }^{+}$Soc. Lomilon, p.' 56.
1913. י. Calman, Amn. Nat. Hist.. ser. 8, vol. ll, p. 31:3.
1916. ,. M. J. Rathbum, Proc. U.S. Nus., vol. 50, pp. 542-548.
Dr. Calman explains that it is Pisa aries, Latreille which has been referred to Hyastenm, "not Halimns, urios. Latreille (in Guérin), so that the supposed necessity for making Imosterus a synonym of Hulimus does not arise.

Hyastenus uncifer, Cahmim.
1909. Hyastems uncifer, Calnan. Proc. Zool. Soc. London, pp. 705. 712, pl. 72, figs. 8, 9.
1911. Hatimus uncifer. Mary J. Rathbun. Trans. Linn. Soc. London, vol. 14 , pt. 2. p. 252 , pl. 20, fig. 7.

Both anthors lay stress on the marginal teeth of the fingers in the ambulatory legs as a distinctive feature. But Dr. de Man in his deseription of Hyrstenus hilyeudorfi (J. Limu. Soc. London, vol. 22 , p. 18, 1887) sars: "'lhe dactylopodites are armed with a row of acute spimles along their inner margins; these spinules gradually increase in length towards the tip." In the specimen which I am ${ }^{-}$referming to Calman's species these spinules were completely concealed untill the organism was removed, which covered almost the whole of the upper surface of the body and the fingers with a close, felt-like matting. The skin when uncorered had a satiny, dull red appearance.

The horns measured along the imer margin are 21 mm . long. the interval between the tips is 13 mm ., and the length from
the middle of that interval to the base is 18 mm . From that base to the foremost median spine of the carapace the length is 8 mom., and thence to the hindmost slightly procurved spine 30 mm . Between the tips of the lateral strongly projecting branchial spines the breadtl is 36 mm ., and between the bases of those spines 26 mm . The breadtl at the obscure eyes is 10 mm . The length of the chelae (hand and finger) is 17 mm ., of which the finger on the left takes 7 mm ., the right finger being slightly shorter. The finger of the fifth peraeopod is 8 mm . long.

The hindmost spine of the carapace is preceded at a distance of 10 mm . not by another spine or tulerele, but by a very prominent swelling.

The terminal segment of the narrow tuberenate pleon of this male specimen is triangular with the tip slightly truncate.

Calman states that "the hasal antemal seqment has a sharp *pine at the antero-extemal angle." In clearing the coat of the present specimen I may have remover this spine. I cannot rertify its presence. Unless the hypothesis be almitted that the relative lemenths of horns and spines are subject to much varia. tion, a new species might have to he coned for the specimen here tescribed.

Locality. Umsunduzi River, Pietermaritzburg. No. 298.

## MACRURA ANOMALA.

Trabe GALATHEIDEA.
Family GaLa'l'HEIDAE.
Gen. GALATHEA, Fabricius.
For these systematic divisions see the General Catalogue in these Annals, vol. 6, pt. $4, \mathrm{pp} .349,360,362$.

Galathea intermedia, Liljehorg.
1851. Gulatheaintermedia, Hiljeborg, Ofvers. Tet. Alkad. Forlandl., p. 21. 1888. ,, Bonnier, Contrib. Faune Marine de Wimereus, p. 44.
1894. ,. ., A. M.-Edwarts et Bouvier, Camp. Sci. Monaco, Fasc. 7, pt. 1, pp. 79, 81, pl. 8, figs. 1-10.
1900. .. ..
A. M.-Edwards et Bouvier, Crust. Décap. Travailleur et Talisman, p. 277.

The late Monsiemr Jules Bonnier has given (loc. cit.) an elaborate bibliography of this smatl specios. The specimen which I now assign to it was without the first and second peraeopods, and the third and fowrth, thongl present on one side, were only in a state of recuperation. It was otherwise in goor condition and probably adult, the carapace heing 8 mm . Jong, therefore near to the size of 9 mm ., which Bonnier gives as its meatsurement in an adult male. There are some slight differences in detail. Behind the rostral region on the median line of the carapace Bomnier gives only a couple of spimnles placed transversely. In the African specimen there are four. The eyes are rather stouter. In the first antemae the tro sharp prolongations of the characteristic basal joint have each helow the apex a long spine which reaches well beyond the apes of the prolongation, in place of the setat which in Bomier's figure does not reach the apex. Bomier finds the telson divined into two symmetrical halves ly the distal groove. 'The African specimen shows a slight inequality in the two lobes. These small variations, apart from possible differences in the missing peraeopods, can lave no specific importance, since the mouth-organs as well as the size and superficial details all conform to the northern standard.

Locality. Seal Island, W.S.W. (Mossel Bay). No. 238 .

## MACRURA GENUINA.

## Tribe THALASSINIDEA.

Famliy AXIIDAE.
Gen. Calocaris, Bell.
Calocaris alcocki, McArdle.
Plate XCI.
(The discussion of this species appeared last year (1915) in these Annals, vol. 15, pt. 2, p. 59.)

Tribe ERYONIDEA.
(See General Catalogue of S.A. Crustacea, p. 377.)
Family kryonidae.
1910. Eryonidae, Stebbing, Aın. S.A. Mus., vol. 6, pt. 4, p. 377.
1914. „ Selbie, Fisleries, Ireland, Sci. Invest., pt. 1, p. 8.
1916. " de Man, Siboga Exp., vol. 39a², p. l.

Dr. de Man now assigns to this family the genera Polycheles, Heller, 1862, Willemoesia, Grote, 1873, Eryoneicus, Bate, 1882, Stereomastis, Bate, 1888, and gives lists of all the species to lee apportioned to these genera respectively. He considers that Alcock was right in distinguishing the two groups which he named Polycheles and Pentacheles, but that his Polycheles should properly be identified with Bate's Steveomastis and that Pentacheles. Bate, 1878 , shonld lapse as a synonym of Heller's Polycheles.

Gen. POLYCHEİES, Heller.
1862. Porycheles, Heller, Sitz. K. Akad. Wiss. Wien, vol. 45, p. 389.
1912. ., (part), Kemp and Sewell, Records Indian Mus., vol. 7, pt. 1, no. 2, p. 23.
1914. , ", Selbic, Fisheries, Ireland, Sci. Invest., pt. 1, p. 9.
1916. ., de Man, Siboga Exp., vol. $39 a^{\circ}$, p. 1.

As characters for the genus Dr. de Man proposes the following: The thoracic legs, except the last pair, provided with epipods, normal but varying in length; the epipod of the third maxillipeds also of variable size, but, so far as known, rudimentary only in P. tunneri, Faxou; the lateral borders of the carapace commonly armed with more than twenty spines, except in the small and probably juvenile form, $P$. obscurus (Bate); the median dorsal carina of the carapace usually double, granulated, rarely nodulated, and in most cases presenting no definite small number of spines, being often traversed by head-like tubercles or granulations or covered with crowded spinules; the first abdominal tergum, finally, is probably never armed with the two small spines at and near the outer ends of the anterior border, that generally occur in the species of Stereomastis.

Polycheles demani, n. sp.
Plate XCII.
1908. Palycheles beaumontii (?), Stebbing, Ann. S. Afr. Mus., vol. 6, pt. 1, p. 25.
1910.
(f), Stebbing, Ann. S. Afr. Mus., vol. 6, pt. 4, p. 377.
In uaming this species after my friend Dr. de Man I now accept the opinion expressed in his latest very valuable work,
in which he agrees with the late Mr. U. M. Selbie, that this form is distinct from Alcock's $P$. beaumontii and the $P$. granulatus, Faxon, In common with Miss Rathbun, those authors regard $P$. beaumontii as a synonym of Faxon's species.

In 1908 I gave some particulars of a male and of a female specimen, both taken in localities near to that from which the female now figured was obtained. The measurements are very similar, the length from the base of the rostral spines to apex of telson being 130 mm ; but from the foremost lateral spine to a point parallel with the tip of the telson the interval is 138 mm . greatest breadth of carapace 51 mm . the length of the telson detached is 26 mm . The longer Hagellum of the first antenua measured 78 mm ., its companion about 22 mm . ; the flagellum of the second antema was 70 mm . long.

The lateral teeth of the carapace form sets of 7,4 , and 20 or 21: at the base of the rostral pair there is a small unpaired denticle; in various parts of the surface there are small teeth some of which show a symmetrical arrangement, but for many this is doubtful, because of the short pubescence which conceals them. This dark felt puts the carapace in strong contrast with the smooth polished pleon. Of this the hirst four segments have each a small forward-pointing carinal tooth, the fifth a carimal elevation, while the sixth is quite devoid of a carina. The telson has a pair of converging ridges, distant both from the base and the aper.

The third maxillipeds have a well-teveloped, but slender, epipod,
In the first peraeopods the third joint is 24 mm . long, the fourth 40 mm ., the fifth 30 mm ., the sisth 50 mm ., and the finger $\because 5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The denticles on the distal half of the fourth joint are in this specimen very small, successively diminishing. In the fifth peraeopods the fiftli and sixth joints and the finger are longitudinally carinate, with long setae springing from the carina; the process of the sixth joint is feebly carinate, and its tip meets that of the finger.

Numerous small ova were attached to the pleopods of this specimen.

Locality. Cape Point Lighthouse approx. NE. 40 miles; depth 560-700 fathoms. No. 182.

Gen. STEREOMASTIS, Bate.

1888. Stereomastis, Bute, Rep. Voy. Challenger, vol. 24, pp. x, 154.
1889. Palycheles, Alcock (not Heller), Catal. Indian Deep-sea Crustacea, Materura and Anomala, p. 166.
1890. .. Stebbins, S.A. Crustacea, pt. 2, p. 35.
1891. ", (part), Stel乃bing, S.A. Crustacea, pt. 4, p. 25.
[910. ., ,. Stebbing, SA. Crustacea, pt. 5 , p. 377.
1892. ., ,. Kemp and Sewell, Records Indian Mus., vol. 7, pit. 1, mo. 2, p. 23.
1893. ,. ., Sellie, Fisheries, Ireland, Sci. Invest., pt. 1, I. 9.
1894. Stereomustis, de Man, Sihoga Exp., vol. $39 \pi^{2}$, 1. 1.

For assigning species to this genus de Man gives the following characters: The lateral maruins of the carapace are constantly armed with fewer than 2ll spmes; the median dorsal ridge of the carapace carries a definite number of 4 to 7 spines, the outer angles of the anterion border of the first plem segment have 2 spines in all the known species except Steremastis ceratus (Aleock), and the epipod of the third maxillipeds is rudimentary, while on the thoracie legs it is a membranous expansion of the base of the podobranch.

Consequently the species which in 1902 I called Polycheles sculptus, S. I. Smith. shonld now be named Stereomastis sculptus (Smith). In the general catalogue of S.i. Crustacea, p. 375,1910 , br a misprint the Musem number for this species is civen as 182 , instead of 152, the former nomber belonging to the new species of $P$ olycheles here described.

Stereonastis vanus (S. I. Smith).
1884. Pentachples nomus. Smith. Rep. U.S. MIus., Fish. Comm. for 1882, p. 359.
1908. Polycheles nonus, Stebbing, S.A. Crustacea, pt. 4, p. 27.
1916. Stereomastis nam, he Man, Siboga Exp., vol. $39 a^{2}$, pp. 2, 4, 20

Having now examined and in part dissected a specimen little more than an inch in length, with the pleon in good condition, and the other parts failly so, I do not hesitate to assign it to this species. But the thircl, fourth, and fifth pleon-segments have the lare recurved carinal teeth each surmounted by a little denticle. which is nut shown in figures of this species or of the very similar S. amdamanensis (Alcock).

Locality. Table Mountain N. 79 E., distant 40 miles. Depth 250 fathoms. No. 70 .

Mr. Selbie in 1914 describes and figures Polycheles nanus (Smith), var. Grimaldii, Bouvier.

# There PENAEIDEA. 

Famiay PENAEIDAE.
Sce General Catalogue of S.A. Crustacea, p. 379 , and add
1911. Penceidere, de Man, Siboga Exp., vol. 34t, pt. 1, p. 1.
1915. , Kemp, Mem. Inctian Mus., vol. $\overline{5}, \mathrm{p} .316$.

Gen. AMALOPENAEUS, S. I. Smith.
1882. Amulopenaeus, Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zö̈l., vol. 10, p. 86.
1910. ., hemp, F'isheries, Ireland, Sci. Invest., p. 13.

For references to Crmadirs, Bate, with which this genus has been by many authors considered synonymous, see Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh. vol. 50. pt. 2, p. 282, 1914.

Amalopenaeus elegans, S. I. Smith.
188:. Amalopenaeus elfyans, Smith. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., vol. 10, p. 87, pl. 14, figs. 8-14, pl. 15, figs. 1-5.
1908. Gennedtes eleyms. Fouvier, Rés. Comp. Sei. Monaco, fasc. 33, p. $35, \mathrm{pl} .7$.
1910. Amalopenceus elegnas. Kemp, Fisheries, Ireland, Sci. Invest., p. 14, pl. 1. figs. 1-16.

This attractive species has been amply illustrated by the three authors above mentioned, and also by Lo Bianco and Riggio, whose figures I have not seen. 'The length appears rarely to exceed 30 mm , but Kemp mentions a specimen of 38 mm . The South African specimen is 33.5 mm . long. After 16 years in formalin there are still spots of a rich blue on the first four pairs of peraeopods, some less vivid on the first antennae, purplish on the stalks of the golden yellow eyes, with the mouth orgaus darlay red and the carapace covering a lighter red substance, its own rostrum and probably all the rest of it being pellucid.

Locality. Cape Point Lighthonse S. $83^{\circ}$ E., $35 \frac{1}{2}$ miles. Depth 360 fathoms. No. 66 .

## Gen. PENAEUS, J. C. Fabricius.

(For references see Sonth African Crustacea in these Annals, in the years $1910,1914,1915$.

## Penamus indicts, Milne Edwards.

1837. Penaeusindicus, Milne Edwards, Hist. Nat. Crustacés, vol. 2, p. 415. 1906. Peneus indicus, Alcock, Catat. Indian Macrura, p. 12, pl. 1, figs. 3, Ba (with synonymy).
1838. Penuens indicus, Kemp, Mem. Indian Mus., vol. 5, p. 319.

The specimens which I refer to this species have a thelycum corresponding with that which Bate figures in the Anm. Nat. Hist., ser. 5, vol. 8, pl. 12, fig. 5 vp., 1881. They are far smaller than the lensth of about 6 in . with which Milne Edwards, or 8 in. with which Alcock, credits the species, one of them laving a total of 64 mm ., the other of about 60 mm ., in the former the carapace being 435 mon. long, in the latter 39 mm . The larger specinen has 7 dorsal teeth on the rostral carina, the seweuth very far from the apex, the ventral teeth leing 5 in number. In the other case there are 8 dorsal teeth and only 3 widely spaced rentral. In each case 3 of the teeth are behind the base of the eye-stalk. The characters answer to Alcock's statement, "This is an extremely variable species, especially in respect of the length of the rostrum, which in Foung indivituats projects far loevond the tip of the antennal scales, whereas in atults it is often not longer than that of I'. monodom." In 1888 Spence Bate retains the species, but is inclined to befieve it an over-tuothed variety of $P$. monodon, with which he further iclentifies $P$. semisulcatus, rle Haan. In 1892 de Man described and figured a varicty longirostris, which he retains in his "Siboga" treatise, 1911-1913.

Our specimens hare the fifth and sixth pleon segments carinate, the sixth of the same length as the telson, which is longitudinally sulcate, acute at the apex, the sides setose but without spines. In the smaller specimen the flagella of the irst antenna were 18 mm . long, hut the flasellum of the second anteman 140 mm., thus more than twice the length of the body. The thind peraeopod reached the extremity of the scale of the second antema, the fifth is louger than the fourth.

Locality. Umgeni River, Durban. A 1191.

Gen. SOLENOCERA. Lucas.
(See these Annals, rol. 15, pt. 2, p. 66, 1915.)
Solenocera africanus, o. sp.
Plate XCIIIA.

As this species makes a near approach to S. simhonorom (Philippi), as recently described and figuren ly Mr. Stanley liemp, the following points of difference may be noted. 'the eyes camot be described as "grey, with a coppery reflection," but are rather of a deep brownish red. The carina on the sixth pleou-semnent is not "prontuced posteriorly to a short spine." The Hagella of the first antomate are longer as compareel with the carapace. The teeth of the rostral caina have a different armagement. The mandihles, though agreeing fairly as to the palps, have a very different conting edge. In the second maxillipeds the teminal joint is here longer instead of shorter thim the penultimate. And in the petasmal of the male this species seems to have a more specialised form.

From S. comatus, the south African species lescribed last year, the present form is separaded ly its shallutrer rostrum with a different dentation, the want of a postero-inmal tonth to and the carina of the sixth pleon-segment, the difterent cuttingedge of the mandibles and the shomer penalthmate joint of their pald, in addition to the rery different thong remotely allied form of the petasma. The same terms may be applied to the pelasma of S . medntho, de Man, but, bere again additional differences point to the propriety of specific distunction.

The lemale, 70.5 mm . lons, has the carapace 22.5 mm ., and the pleon to mm, in length, from the appex of the rostrum to the cervical groove measuring 135 mm , the fant y continued earina to the ent of the carapace acconnting for : mm. The third to lla sixth pleonsegments are all carimate. the sixth scomely as long as the fitth; the sulcate telson closely agrees with that of $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}$. commams, its lateral processes being much stronger than those shown for $S$. meloutho, de Man, and rather further from the apex than in S. simonoceros. The slightly incomplete flagella of the first antemize are 32 inm. long. In the mate, which was about 53 mm . in length, these thagella were 26 mm . long, the carapace 18 mon. The apres of the rostrum, ikete in the female, is shightly damaged in the male specinen. Ihe Hatsella of the first antemab hear witness alife to their importance as a generie character and as constituents of a respiratory tube by their persistence years after death in springing buck, when released from sepuration, to reform the tube. In the petasma the shorter inner lamina difters from all the forms above compared by its biduntate apical erook, hat something similar, thongh not the same, is seen in S'. dytusizii, Fianon.

Locality. Selmastim Bhaff NW, ${ }_{4}^{3}$ W., 8 miles; depth $3 t$ fathoms. A 1213.

Thiee CARIDEA. Fammy PaLaEmonidaE.
1915. Poluemondike, Borradaile, Ann. Nat. Hist., ser. 8, vol. 15, p. 206.
1915. .. Kemp, Mem. Jndian Mus., vol. 厄̌, p. 264.

Gen. LEANDER, Desmarest.
(For the family and genus see also references in Trans. R. Soc., Edinburch, vol. 50, p.t. 2. p. 286, 1914, and these Annals, vol. 15, pt. 2, p. 75,1915 , and add 1915, Kemp. Mem. Indian Mus., vol. 5, p. 278.$)$

Leander pacificus, Stimpsou.
Plate XCIIIb.
1860. Lermiter pacificus. Stimpson, Pr. Ac. Plilad., vol. 12, p. 40 (10:3).

1902. ., ,. de Man, Iblamil. Senckenb. Nat. Gesellschaft, vol. 25, pt. 3, p. 806.
The specimen figured measurel 54 mm., the measurement taken being from apex of rostrum to the end of the second pleon-segment and thence to apex of telson. 'The dorsal carina shows nine teeth, the foremost small, not far from the acute apex, but considerably in adrance of the main series, seven in number, with the limbmost or ninth smaller than any of the seven and a little remote. The ventral teeth are five, the foremost small, midway between the apex and the first of the serial dorsal seven, the himenust of the ventral five being just under the anteremultimate of the clorsal seven. The telson is rather shorter than the inner blade of the uropods, and has the first pair of dorsul spines much below the middle, and about as far from the secom pair as those are from the narrow apical margin, which lats a central spine-like apex of the same length as its lateral pair of spines, the longs spines between it and them being nearly three times als long, with the usual pair of setae of nearly the same length as the long spines.

The eyes as preserved are grey, with two black spots adjoining the pethocle, the divisions of which are alternately orange and white.

The tro pairs of antemase agree closely with those of L. peringueyi. In the first pair the longer flagellum is 28 mm . long, its companion in hrief attachment to it being about 6.5 mm , in length, while the free flagellum is 19 mm . long, The flagellum of the second antemne I make ont to be 56 mm . in lengtl.

The mandibles belong to the group which have the palp three-jointel. 'The thind joint in this slecies is little longer than the first. In the first maxillae the blunt inner lobe of the bifid apex has the sinmons spine which has been observed in other species. In the third maxillipeds the antepenultimate joint is less curved than in $L$. peringueyi. The first peraeopods have the chela three-fifths the length of the wrist, the fingers subequal to the palm; in the second pair the movable finger is seven-ninths the length of the palm, which is a little shorter than the wrist.

Dr. Gilchrist rejorted the colour as dark green in parts which turned red, hut the red has since disappenced.

Locality. Little Brak River. Mossel Bay. No. 23.
This widely distributed ant rather variable species has been several times describal, hut, so far as I can find, has not hitherto been figured.

## Family oplophoridak.

Gen. ACANTHEPHYRA, A. Milne-Edwards.
Acanthephyra brachytelsonis, Bate.

## Plate XCIV.

(This species was discussed last year- $\mathbf{1 9 1 5}$-in these Annals, vol. 15, pt. 2, p. 97.)

Family NEMATOCARCINTDAE.
Gen. NEMATOCARCINUS, A. Milue-Edwards.
Nematocarcinus parvidentatus, Bate.
Plate XCV.
(For discussion of the speries, see these Annals, vol. 15, pt. 2, p. 99.)

## SCHIZOPODA. <br> Order Mysidacea.

See General Catalogue of S.A. Crustacea, p. 395, and add
1912. Schizopoda, Hansen, Mem. Mus. Comp. Zoül. Harvard, vol. 35, p. 175 .

## Family Lophogastridae.

Gen. GNA'I'HOPHAUSIA, von Willemoes Sulm.
(See General Catalogue, pp. 401, 40. )
Gnathophausia zoea, Suhm.
1875. Gnathophasia zoea, Suhm, Trans. Linn. Soc. London, ser. 2, vol. 1, p. 32, pl. 9, figs. 2-15, pl. 10, fig. 4.

| 1880. | " |  | Sars. Rep. Vuy. Challeuger, vol. 13, pt. 37, p. 4t, pl. 15, fiss. 6-10. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1906. | ' | " | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ortmann, Pr. U.S. Mus., vol. 31, Ip. 28, } 42 \\ & \mathrm{p}^{3} \cdot 2, \text { figs. } 2 a, 2 b . \end{aligned}$ |
| 1908. | , | , | Hansen, Ingolf-Exp., vol. 3, pt. 2, p. 93, pl. 4, figs. Ba e. |
| 1910. | , | ' | Hansen, Siboga Expl, vol. 37, p. 17. |
| 1912. | . | - | Hansen, Mem. Ins. Comp. Zoöl. Harvard, vol. : \% y. 186. |

Ortmann and Hansen astee in making G, willemoesii, Sars, a symurm of $G$. zoed, to which Hansen adis $(t$. sursii, WoodMason, already regarded by Ormam as merely a variety of G. zoed. In the specimen here assigned to that species "the outer spine of the antemal squama projects" rather considerably " bevond thet "nit of the lamellar lobe," but not mearly so much as shown for $(t$. longixina of sars. This feature may probably be subject to considerable variation. The supraorhital spine, antenual spine, and branchostegal expansion an*wer the figure given ly sars. The total length from the apex of the rostrum to the end of the telson is 66 mm . 'the rostrum, apparently complete, is $25 \% \mathrm{~mm}$. longr, the whole carapace from apex of rostrum to the end of the hinder process being 56.5 mm . in length.

Lucality. Cape Point N. $81^{\circ}$ E., 32 miles; depth 460-630 fathoms. A 1312.

## ISOPODA.

## 'Arabe FLABELLIFERA.

Family EURYDICIDAE.

Gen. CIROLANA, Leach.

(For references see these Annals, vol. 6, pt. 4, p]p. 419, 481.)

Cirolana cranchif, Leach.
1818. Cirolana eranchii, Leach, Diet. Sei. Nat., vol. 12. 1. :347.
1890. ., ", Hansen, Vid. Selsk. Ski., ser. 6, vol. 5, pp. 22l, 341, pl. 3, fies. 3-3l.

In these Annals, vol. io. pit. 11 , p. 351 a , pl. 30b, 1914, Mr. Barnard descrihes and ilhistrates Cirolam rimina, n. sp., distinguishing it from C. cronchii, Leach, and C. purezs. Hansen. It is a case somewhat parallel to one previnusly mentioned, but here concerning species insteal of genera. C. vicina seems to tie C. purous so closely to C. romochia that one name may well serve for all three.

The specimen which I have esprecially examined has the male stilet of the secont pleopod well developed. It agrees thoroughly in shape with Hansen's fig. $3 i$ of the male telson and uropod of $C$. cranchii, the rami being acute, not sub-bifid. The number of the spines on the telsonic apex is 12 . Thas two of the five characters relied on for distingushing Ci. vicina are wanting. The rather uncertain difference in size of specimens, between 15 and 13 mm , surely is not of specific importance, and the comparative slemderness of the legs is not a very striking feature. There is still the distinction that in the second gnathopods and first peraerpods the fourth joint is not produced externally in $C$. vicina as it is in $C$. cranchii. Yet even in that respect specimens show that the non-production is far from absolute.

Locality. Sebastian Bay, beach, low tide. No. 132.

## AMPHIPODA.

Tribe Gammaridea.

F'amily LYStanassidaE.

Gen. ICHNOPUS, A. Costa.

For these systematic divisions I may refer to Das Tierreich, Lieferung 21, pp. 1, 5, 6, 52, published in 1906. Here, however, I mast aht hearty thanks to my friend A. O. Walker, Esq., F.L.S., who has ssted into their genera a mass of South African Amphipoda, a tedions and time-alsorling task, even when lightened in his case by extensive knowlenge of the sulject and long-continued interest in it. Tehnopus servicrus, Walker, was added to the gemus in 1909.

Ichnopes macrobetomma, n. sp.

## Plate XCVIa.

This species is at once remarkable for the large dark eyes, with immmerable little components. occupying almost the whole surface of the heal, at the top of which they are contiguons, while in lateral view the front outline of each eye suggests a capital $B$, to which formation the specific name refors. There are many points of agreement with I. spimeorus and I. Aomrus. the approximation being the closer to the latter species, the palp of the first maxillae having the peculiar widening of its listal joint just below the spine margin, as shown in Heller's figure. ant the finger of the first gnathopod being of the structure which he shows except that here there are ten spines on its wiliened base.

The first antemate have a secondary flagellum of ten joints, the first of them considerally the longest. The mandibles are similar to those which Della Talle figures for $I$. thurus, differing from those figured by Sars for I. spinicornis, though the palps agree. In our specimen leetween the cutting edge and molar there is a spine row of very short spines. perhaps worn down ly use; on the upper edge of the retroverted molar there are prominent teeth, none visible on the lower edge, the reverse of this appearing in Della Valle's figure. Of the inner plate of the first masillae I cannot speak, as it was unfortunately brolen. Heller's figure of it for $I$. tururus does not agree with Della Valle's.

In the first and second peraeopods the fourth and sixth joints are longer than the fifth, this and the fourth leing fringed with setae on
the hind margin. The three following pairs have short spines on both margins of the fourth, fifth, and sixth joints; the filth ant sixth are very slencter.

The third urnpors emb very arontely, the outer branch laving, according to Sars, a distimet termimal joint, a character attested in the present species bey its Hatmess amd morle of attachment rather than its size. The telsom, clett for semem-nintlis of its lfongtls, in the preserved specimon was bed erect. It is of whass-like transparence, a quality which in other fants of the urgmism olscured the outhmes.

From the top of the hear to the par of the thind pern serpment the bent specimen measmerl a little lews than 8 mm. It forl stretalt it might have been 15 mm . kong, with the where antemate about, is ant the lower 8 mm . in length,


## Famory Meloormode.

Gen. METOPA, Bueck.
(The family :mm gemus arn describerl in Tas Tierreich, Lief. 21, pr. 171, 172, 794.)

> Metopa rotundus, 11. sp.
> Plate XCV1b.

The specimen, a female with sume well-advancerl youns, in its firmly rounder position measured but mone tham 3 man. in a straight fine from the hatel to the thind phou-xagment, the depth at the fourth side-plate being aloout 2 mm .

The eye is rombl, of moterate size. The antennae in hoth pairs have the flagella shorter than the peduncles, tajering, seven- or eight-jointer ; the first joint of the perluncle in the first pair longer than the second and third juints combined, the lant joint in the second pair only slightly shomer than the pombinate.

The upper lip is more megrally hilnsed than that of Meton" atderii (Bate) as figured by Sars, nor dres the mamilhle slow the spine-row which Surs figures for that, sperites. The maxille and maxillipeds appear to agree with those of the species named.

In the first grathopords the sides of the land are parallel as far as the commencement of the ublinue lalm, orer which the smooth finger bends, only the extreme tip overlapping it. The filth joint is wider but little longer than the hand. The secont gnathonon is far more robust, the wrist broaker than lung, the hath massive, with a
convex serrate palm, abruptly descending to form a cavity, within which the apex of the stromer curved finger meets a transverse row of spinules and some palm-defining spines. Whether the cavity is open on both sides it is difficult to sar. Possibly the finger rests against a transparent cuticle on one side.

The first peraeopot is rather longer than the second. The third is distinguished from the two following pairs by the slendemess of its second and fourtl joints, the fourtl joint in the last two pairs being extended completely over the fifth juint.

The first mropocls are as usual much the longest; the second are intermediate in length. The third pair have the peduncle longer than the ramus, of which the first joint is longer than the almost spine-like second.

The telson, only seen in uplifted lateral view, appears to have a single pair of lateral spimuks.

Luculity. Gericke Puint N. by E.. ? miles. Deptlı 42 fathoms. No. 136.

# ENTOMOSTRACA. <br> Copepoda. 

Trame C. LLIGIDEA.<br>Famier CaligidaE.

(See General Catalogue of S.A. Crustacea, p. 558, 1910. To the species there mentioned may be added Pandaras lugubris, Heller, 1866, of which a specimen, taken from a shark, has been sent by Mr. Gibsou from Natal to Dr. (i. S. Brady, F.R.S.)

Gen. ACHTHEINUS, C. B. Wilson.
1908. Achtheinus, Wilson, Proc. U.S. Mus., vol. 35, p. 450.
1911. „ Wilson, Proc. U.S. Mus., vol. 39, pp. 630, 632.

In 1849 Dana presented to the American Academy of Sciences his descriptinn of a new genus and species which he called Lepidopus amatus. The account was published in the Proceedings and also in the thirteenth volume of the U.S. Exploring Expedition. To the text of 1853 figures were added in 1855 on pl. 95 of the Atlas. The generic name being preoccupied, Steenstrup and Lütken in 1861 changed it to Perissopus, a geuus which they instituted for $P$. dentatus n. sp., including with
some donbt $P$. armetus (Danit). This arrangement was accepted by Bassett-Smith in 1899, but rejected by C. B. Wilson in 1907 , who separated Dana's species under the new generic name of Photidopus. All the available information appears to be derived from Dana, whose report seems to depend on a single specimen of the female sex, a third of an inch long, without egor-strings. Under the circumstances it is ahuwable to suggest that Dana may have made mistakes in the minute and difficult details which separate Phohitopus from Achtheinus. Thus, he represents the third and fourth pairs of feet as alike having the rami one-jointed, but he only figures separatcly one of these two pairs, and may have taken for granted that the third was like the fourth. He records the first pair as uniramose, but these minnte limhs might easily have lost one of the branches in the process of dissection. In Achtheinus all four pairs of feet are biramose, and only the fourth pair have the rami one-jointed. Since, however, Wilson has now instituted Achtheinus with wellascertained characters, the merely conjectural identity of Pholidopus may stand aside.

It should be noticed that Wilson in his account of Achtheinus dentatus says, "The present specimens agree in every generic particular with the type species $A$. oblongns." Still, in diagnosing the female of the latter he says, "Genital segment much smaller than the carapace," wherens in $A$. dentatus it is muth larger than the carapace.

Achthernus dentatus, Wilson.

## Plate XCVII.

1911. Achtheinus dentatus, Wilson, Proc. U.S. Mus., vol. 39, p. 630, pl. 67, figs. 29-31.

The female sex has been fully described by Wilson, whose figure shows the relative length and breadth of the carapace more accurately than mine does, which from a depression of the front disguised the true lensth. This is in fact somewhat greater than the breadth.

One male was found in close attachment to the underside of a female. The carapace is more than twice as broad as the following segments and longer than the whole five of them together. Of these the first three combined are little longer than the fourth, which equals them in breadth and is more
than twice as broad as the pentagronal fifth. The short rami of the latter are fringed each with four setae, and a spicule on either side of the setae. The second antennae are similar in character to those of the female, but less elongate and without reverted teeth. The mouth-organs showed near agreement with those of the female, with the maxillipeds stronger.

The specimens measured varied betweed 55 and $6^{\circ} 5$ mm. in length for the females, with egg-strings about three times as long; the male was a little over 3 mm . in length.

Locality. Algoa Bay. 'I'he parasites were obtained by Dr. Gilchrist from the tail of a sliark.

## Family LERNAFIDAE.

(See General Catalogue of S.A. Crustacea, p. 560.)
Gen. LerneaEnicus, Lesueur.
1824. Lerneaenicus, Lesueur, Journ. Ac. Philad., vol. 3.
1861. Lernaeenicus, Steenstrup and Lütken, K. Danske Vid. Selsk. Skr., ser. 5, vol. 5, pp. 398, 400.
1861. Lerneaenicus, Steenstrup and Lütken, loc. cit., pp. 401, 482.
1899. Lernaeenicus, Bassett-Smith, Pr. Zool. Soc. London, p. 484.
1908. ,, Wilson, Proc. U.S. Mus., vol. 35, p. 458.

It is obvious that Steenstrup and Lïtken, from whom I borrow the reference to Lesueur, must be giving the original spelling of the generic name in their list of corrigenda on p .432. They there note an additional erratum on p. $3 \pm 7$, where Lernaconicus is printed instead of Lerneaenicus.

Lerneaenicus medusaeus?, Wilson.
1908. Lernaeenicus medusaeus ?, Wilson, Proc. U.S. Mus., vol. 35, p. 458, pl. 76, figs. 99, 100.

On a small fish, which Dr. Gilchrist informed me he had named Scopelus argenteus, there occurred a parasite displaying a genital segment and neck, together 6.5 mm . long, with eggstrings not quite double that length. The very short neck, sharply bent, left the remainder of the animal immersed between the gills of the fish, but so firmly embedded in its tissues that very patient endeavours produced no intelligible result, except such as might well correspond with that described
and figured by Wilson for his species. He says of the part in question, "When buried in the tissues of the host this mass of processes forms a most effective attachment organ." Of the visible portion he says, "genital portion cylindrical without posterior processes : no abdomen," in agreement with our specimen. The identification is hyputhetical, but plausible.

Locality. The fish was taken, "Constable Hill (near Saldauba Bay) bearing E. $\frac{3}{4}$ S., distant $19 \frac{1}{2}$ miles, and Green Point bearing SE. by E. $\frac{1}{2}$ E., distant 36 miles." No. $17 \overline{7}$.

## INDEX.




* Mr. K. H. Barnarl, Ann. S.A.M., vol. xv., p. l־3, identifies this species with
I. taurus (Costa).

EXPLANATION OF PLA'IES.

## Plate 1. (Cmstacea, Plate XC.) <br> Arhucopsis thomsoni (Norman).

n.s. Dorsal view of a male specimen, matmal size, showing the left cheliped and last two ambulatory legs in position ; the richt cheliped detached, its fixed finger loreken; the other limber missing. l'arts of the carapace magnified, in ventral aspect, are shown in the median line, and a latural view on the right shows the cye, the first and seeond antemnat, and the rostrum with its strongly curved rentrial process.
Pl. The pleon thattemed out.
'The remaining figures are from a female specimen.
m. G. mx. 1, 早. The mandible and first maxila, the latter with further magnification.
mx. 2, 刍, mxpr. 1, f. The second maxilla and first maxilliped, miform with higher magnification of first masillia.
 scale as the mandihle.

Crustacea Plate XC.
Plate I



$m x 1+$




mxp.17

## Plate II. (Crustacea, Plate XCI.)

C'ulocaris meocki, Meirdle.
n.s. Specinm in latemal view, natmal size: fourth peraforon missing on that site. as abse flareflum of secome antenna and one of the flagella of the first.
 seront on the right. Woth innerfect: eres partially scen.


 and sucome maxilliputs.


 fisures showing the dentate manern in the thim maxilliped and the peroliar apical juints of the tirst and second plenpods.

n.s. Fematr sperimen in torsal view, natural size; flagella of the antemae (-urtailed ley want of spare, the frontal and telsonic parts slightly forts-shortade the "pimeal parts of the pleon a lithle expanded from their natumal aspect.
th. Thelyeum.
'I'. 'Ihe telson. 'Ihis and all the other separate parts of nataral size, except the terminal part of the fifth peraeopot.
map. 1, 2, 3. First, secont, and third maxillipeds.
prp. 1. First peravpol, the chela detachen, for considerations of space.
prp. 5. Fifth peraeopor on the risht, with terminal portion on the left maynified.
plp. 2. Second pleopod.


## Plate IVb. (Crustacea, Plate NClIIb.) <br> Leander precificus, stimpson.

n.s. Specimen in lateral view represented of the natural size.
r. The rostrun and adjoining part of carapace magnified.
oc. One of the eyes.
'T', urp. Telson and mopen in dorsal aspect to the same seale as the rostrum, with additional marmification of the end of the telsom.
m.m. Darts of the mandibles on the highme seale.
$m x .1, m x$. . First and second maxillar, on the same scale as the mandibles, with apex of first maxilla more highly emarged.
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## I'late V. (Crustacea, Plate XC'lV.) <br> Aconthe hayru brachytilsonis, Bate.

car. Kostrum and front of camage in lateral view enlarged.
T. Telsen in thrsal view, embarsement miform with that of the carapace.
a.i. Apical portion of the seale of the second antemae, withont its fringing sotae, marnified to the samte scale as the following figures.
m,m. The mandibles frm the inner or upper surface.
mx. 1, mx. 2. First and second maxillae with one of the spiculate setate of the first more magnified.
map. 1, mxp. 2. I inst and second maxillipeds.
mxp. 3. Anterenultimate joint of the thid maxillipeds.


## Plate II. (Crustacea, Plate XCV.)

Nematocarcinus parridentatus, Bate.
car. Part of emapace in lateral view, on a lower scale of conargement than other parts.
T'. Telson in dorsal view, with higher masnification of the spiniferons part.
a.i. Apioal part of the scale of the second antema.
m. One of the mantibles.
mx. 1, mx 2. The apical plate of the first maxilla and the corresponting part of thet seremt.
maxp, 1, mxp, 2. mxp', B. 'lhe first, secomd, and third maxillipeds, the third an acoont of its great length less highly magnified than the other month organs, hat the terminal spine mome highly instead of less.
ph. 1, ple. The first and second pleopols, the seend with higher marnification of the male aprendage and retinambum.
urp. One of the uropors.
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## Plate VIIa. (Crustacea, Plate XCVIa.) <br> Ichnopus macrobetomma, n. sp.

n.s. Line showing actual length from head to third pleon segment across the hent specimen, as shown in the adjoining figure.
a.s., a.i. First and socond antennae, the flagella only in part.
m., map. One mandible and hatf the maxillipers.
gn. 1, gn. 2. The first and second gmathopods, with higher magnification of the finger of the first, of the hand and finger of the second.
urp, 'T'. Third uropod, with tip of exopod more highly magnified, and dorsal view of the telson.

## Plate VIIb. (Crustacea Plate XCVIb.) <br> Metopa rotundets, n , sp .

n.s. Line indicating natural size, measured round from head to third pleon. segment of the female specimen shown in lateral view.
a.s, a.i. First and second antennae.
l.s., im. Upher lip and maudible (the palp broken).
gn. 1, gn e. First and second gnathopols, with distal parts more highly magrified.
 frect), sixth and seventh joints of semnd more highly magnified.
ups. 1. e, 3, T. The three uropols and the telson, the latter mptumer in lateral vicw.
 lower scale than the other details.


```
Plate VIIf. (Crmsticea, Plate XCTII.)
Achthrimus dentotro, Wrilson.
```

n.s. 母. Line indinating natmal size of femate specimen shown in dorsal view, with ere-string incomplete. The following parts, in the upper half of the phate, of mifom masnification on a higher scale, were taken from the samm specimen (except d.l.).
ai. Secourl antenna.
max. 2. Second maxilla.
ped. 1, 2, 3, 4. First, secoul, third, and fourth feet, the expanded segment of the thirel and of the fourth incomplete
a.l. Anal laminae.
1.1. Dorsal laminae, from a different sperimen, detached from the carapace to show the small lateral laminae " covering the bases of the second legs" (Wilson), in counexion with the two following pairs of foliaceons laminare.
n.s. $\}, n . s .8$. Lines indicating natural size of male specimen shown in dorsal view, and of the female specimen to which it was attached. The following figures, uniform in magnification with the details of the female, are taken from the male.
a.s., a.i. First antemma in position, and second antemna.
m., mx. 1. Honth-tule. with first maxillae and mandibles, the latter with additional magnitication.
mxp. Part of maxilliped.
ped. 1, ped. 3, pea. 'lhe first and third feet, and a foot which is probably the fonth.
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