

# Larval development of *Apiomithrax violaceus* (A. Milne Edwards, 1868) (Decapoda: Brachyura: Majoidea: Pisidae) reared in laboratory conditions, and a review of larval characters of Pisidae

# WILLIAM SANTANA<sup>†</sup>, GERHARD POHLE<sup>‡</sup> and FERNANDO MARQUES§

<sup>†</sup>Universidade Estadual Paulista, Instituto de Biociências, Departamento de Zoologia, Botucatu, Caixa Posta 510, 18618-000 São Paulo State, Brazil; e-mail: santana@ibb.unesp.br

‡Atlantic Reference Centre, Huntsman Marine Science Centre, St. Andrews, New Brunswick, Canada, E5B 2L7 §Universidade de São Paulo, Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Caixa Postal 11461. 05422-970 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

#### (Accepted 28 May 2003)

Apiomithrax violaceus (A. Milne Edwards, 1868) is a pisid majoid crab occurring in tropical and subtropical coastal waters of the eastern and western South Atlantic. Larval development consists of two zoeal stages and a megalopa. Beginning with the first zoea, the duration of each larval stage at 24°C was 3–8  $(5\pm1)$ , 3-5  $(4\pm0.5)$  and 9-15  $(11\pm2)$  days, the megalopa and first crab instar appearing 9-11  $(10\pm1)$  and 20-27  $(23\pm2)$  days after hatching, respectively. Larval characters agree with those proposed for the Majoidea, in having nine or more setae on the scaphognathite in the first zoea and well-developed pleopods in the second zoea. However, larvae of A. violaceus do not fit larval pisid features. Zoeal stages differ from most other Pisidae in having lateral spines, a long rostral spine extending beyond the antenna, two spines per telson fork and a dorsolateral process on the third abdominal somite. The megalopa differs in having a spine dorsally on the carapace and on the basial segment of the second pereiopod. Two characters that are potentially unique to Apiomithrax include a zoeal antenna with an exopod that is much longer than the protopod, and a rostral spine that is longer than the dorsal spine. These characters should facilitate the identification of this taxon and could also be useful for phylogenetic studies. A review of larvae of 28 species among 14 genera indicated that there is no apparent single larval character that differentiates the Pisidae, with more limited phylogenetic analyses suggesting that this is a paraphyletic group. Apiomithrax, Eurynolambrus, Pisoides, Rochinia and Scyra have the most divergent morphological characters within the family. The analysis and inclusion of additional taxa is likely to shed more light on the sister-group relationships of the Pisidae. However, based on the extent of morphological interspecific variability of known larvae it is likely that the group, as presently defined by adult morphology, is not monophyletic.

KEYWORDS: Larval development, systematics, Majoidea, Pisidae, Apiomithrax violaceus.

Journal of Natural History ISSN 0022-2933 print/ISSN 1464-5262 online © 2004 Taylor & Francis Ltd http://www.tandf.co.uk/journals DOI: 10.1080/0022293031000156349

#### Introduction

Among the 45 genera of Majoidea (*sensu* Martin and Davis, 2001) from Brazilian waters (Pohle *et al.*, 1999), the family Pisidae Dana, 1851 is represented by 11 genera (Melo, 1996). This includes the genus *Apiomithrax* Rathbun, 1897, comprised of two South Atlantic species (Manning and Holthuis, 1981), of which only *A. violaceus* (A. Milne Edwards, 1868) occurs in south-western waters. In that area this species is apparently restricted to Brazilian waters, ranging from the states of Paraiba to Rio Grande do Sul. However, *A. violaceus* also occurs in the Eastern Atlantic from Cape Verde and Cape Branco Islands to Angola, and at Ascension Island in the Central Atlantic. *Apiomithrax violaceus* is generally found on muddy or sandy bottoms, in shallow water to 50 m deep (Melo, 1996).

The family Pisidae contains the largest number of genera and species of any of the Majoidea families (Griffin and Tranter, 1986). However, larval information is available only for 13 genera (table 1), with descriptions of three species among two genera applying to Brazilian waters. This comprises *Libidoclaea granaria* H. Milne Edwards and Lucas, 1843, *Libinia ferreirae* Brito Capello, 1871 and *L. spinosa* H. Milne Edwards, 1834. Larval pisid descriptions are not only pertinent for identification purposes but also for phylogenetic analysis in view of the fact that there is presently no support for the monophyly of this 'problematic' family (Pohle and Marques, 2000; Marques and Pohle, 2003). The purpose of the present paper is to present a detailed description of all larval stages of *Apiomithrax violaceus* and to compare them to those of other Pisidae in particular and majoids in general.

#### Materials and methods

Specimens of *Apiomithrax violaceus* were collected in February 2001 at a depth of 45 m in the Bay of Ubatuba of São Paulo State (44°43'N, 23°32'W), Brazil. Specimens were held in an aquarium until hatching, in a temperature-controlled room  $(24\pm2^{\circ}C)$ , close to natural heat conditions), which occurred at night. After hatching, 50 of the most active, positively phototactic larvae were separated into 100-ml acrylic jars containing 50 ml of filtered seawater. The remaining larvae were raised in mass culture for use as specimens in morphological description.

Newly hatched larvae were fed *ad libitum* with *Artemia* nauplii. Seawater was changed, and specimens were inspected and fed daily. All acrylic ware was washed in fresh water and air-dried before re-use with fresh seawater the following day. Average salinity was 32‰. Natural photoperiod was maintained ( $\cong$ 14 light:10 dark).

Whenever possible, a minimum of five specimens of each stage were dissected for morphological description. For slide preparations polyvinyl lactophenol mounting medium was used with Acid Fuchsin and/or chlorazol black stains. The description of setae follows Pohle and Telford (1981), but here includes only analysis by light microscopy (LM), using an Olympus BH-2 microscope with Nomarski differential interference contrast and camera lucida. Some of the setae designated as plumose herein may be plumodenticulate setae due to the lower resolution limits of LM as compared to scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Description guidelines of Clark *et al.* (1998a) were generally followed. Taxonomic rankings follow Martin and Davis (2001) in which majid subfamilies were raised to the family level within the Majoidea.

Specimens of larval stages and a spent female crab have been deposited at the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, MZUSP, São Paulo, Brazil, accession number MZUSP # 15849.

Table	. Species of the Pisidae with known larval descriptions, indic	ating source and stages described.
Species	Authors	Stages described
Doclea gracilipes D. hybrida D. nuricata Eurynolambrus australis Eurynome aspera Eurynome aspera H. elongatus <sup>†</sup> H. elongatus <sup>†</sup> H. elongatus <sup>†</sup> L. bindoclaea granaria L. bindoclaea granaria L. erinacea <sup>†</sup> L. setosa <sup>†</sup> L. setosa <sup>†</sup> L. spinosa L. spinosa L. spinosa bienix <sup>†</sup>	Chhapgar, 1956; Krishnan and Kannupandi, 1988 Sankolli and Shenoy, 1975 Mohan and Kannupandi, 1985 Krishnan and Kannupandi, 1987 Webber and Wear, 1981 Kinahan, 1858; Kinahan, 1860; Gurney, 1924; Lebour, 1928; Bourdillon-Casanova, 1960; Salman, 1982; Wear and Fielder, 1985 Salman, 1982; Hong, 1988 Cano, 1893; Bourdillon-Casanova, 1960 Kurata, 1969 Terada, 1983; Ko, 1997 Fagetti, 1969 Sandifer and van Engel, 1971 Johns and Lang, 1977 Yang, 1967 de Bakker <i>et al.</i> , 1990 Rathbun, 1923 Boschi and Scelzo, 1968; Clark <i>et al.</i> , 1998b Cano, 1893 ( <i>Lissa</i> sp.); Boraschi, 1921; Bourdillon-Casanova, 1960; Heegaard, 1963; Guerao <i>et al.</i> , 2003	M, CI; ZI, Z2, M ZI, Z2, M Z1, Z2, M Z1, Z2, M PZ; Z1; PZ, Z1; Z1, Z2, M; M; Z1, Z2, M; Z2, M Z2, Z1; PZ, Z1; Z1, Z2, M; M; Z1, Z2, M; Z2, M Z1, Z2, M, C1 Z1, Z1, Z1, Z1, Z1, Z1, Z1, Z1, Z1, Z1,
Naxiolaes histrix N. serpulifera <sup>†</sup>	Kathbun, 1914	cit (direct development) C1, C2

SpeciesAuthorsPisa armataHeegaard, 1963; Ingle and ClP. corallinatGourret, 1884D. corallinatHarmond 1063	Authors Stages desci 1963; Ingle and Clark, 1980 Z1; Z1, Z2, 1 884 Z1
Pisa armata Heegaard, 1963; Ingle and Cla P. corallina <sup>†</sup> Gourret, 1884 D. ardinac <sup>†</sup> Hassinger 1063	1963; Ingle and Clark, 1980 Z1; Z1, Z2, 1 884 Z1
P. invarges P. tetraodon Pisoides edwardsi P. ortmanni <sup>†</sup> Rochinia carpenteri Scyra compressipes Kim and Hong, 1999	1963; Rodríguez, 1997 Z1, Z2, 1 1963; Rodríguez, 1997 Z1; Z1, Z2, 1 69b Z1, Z2, M 69; Terada, 1983 Z1, M; Z1, Z Hong, 1999 Z1, Z2, M

instar).
crab
, first
G
megalopa;
Х,
<i>;</i> ;
zoea
Z2,
1;
zoea
Z1,
prezoea;
ΡZ,
comparison;
.ц
included
Not



FIG. 1. Rearing record of larval stages and first crab instar of *Apiomithrax violaceus* (A. Milne Edwards, 1868).

#### Results

#### Larval development and description

Larval development of *Apiomithrax violaceus* consists of two zoeal stages and one megalopa. Figure 1 shows the rearing record for the three stages cultured at  $24\pm2^{\circ}$ C. The duration of each larval stage was 3–8 (5±1), 3–5 (4±0.5) and 9–15 (11±2) days, the megalopa and first crab instar appearing 9–11 (10±1) and 20–27 (23±2) days after hatching, respectively. Larval morphometrics are given in table 2. Only morphological changes are described for the second zoea.

#### Apiomithrax violaceus (A. Milne Edwards, 1868)

#### First zoea (figure 2)

*Carapace* (figure 2A). With long slightly curved dorsal and rostral spines, latter extending beyond antennule, and short straight lateral spines; ventral margin with densely plumose 'anterior seta' (Clark *et al.*, 1998a) posterior to scaphognathite notch, followed by four sparsely plumose setae, and optional plumodenticulate seta. Eyes sessile, with small papilla on peduncle. Frontal area between dorsal and rostral spine possesses a small protuberance with dorsal organ (*sensu* Martin and Laverack, 1992). Additional small knob with dorsal

Stage Rostral spine Zoea 1 0.54 SD 0.00						
Zoea 1 0.54 SD 0.00	e length	Dorsal spine length	Lateral spine length	Carapace length	Carapace width	Antenna length
(0.54-0.56)	80(	0.52 SD 0.02 (0.48–0.54)	0.16 SD 0.02 (0.14-0.18)	0.74 SD 0.02 (0.72–0.78)	0.53 SD 0.04 (0.46–0.56)	0.42 SD 0.02 (0.40–0.46)
Zoea 2 0.64 SD 0.0 (0.60–0.74)	)3	0.56 SD 0.03 (0.52–0.60)	0.20 SD 0.03 0.16-0.24)	0.92 SD 0.03 (0.88–0.96)	0.71 SD 0.05 (0.64–0.76)	0.42 SD 0.04 (0.36–0.46)
Megalopa 0.35 SD 0.0 <sup>c</sup> (0.32–0.40)	4	0.31 SD 0.04 (0.28–0.36)	, I	1.03 SD 0.05 (0.98–1.08)	0.90 SD 0.07 (0.84–0.98)	0.62 SD 0.05 (0.56–0.66)

Values are given as the mean and standard deviation, with range in parentheses.



FIG. 2. First zoea of Apiomithrax violaceus (A. Milne Edwards, 1868). (A) Lateral view; (B) antennule; (C) antenna; (D) mandible; (E) maxillule; (F) maxilla; (G) maxilliped 1; (H) maxilliped 2; (I) developing maxilliped 3 and pereiopods; (J) dorsal view of abdomen and telson.

organ posterior to dorsal spine. Two pairs of simple setae present, one between eyes and dorsal spine, another posterior to dorsal spine.

Antennule (figure 2B). Unsegmented, smooth, conical. Terminally bearing two long aesthetascs, two shorter aesthetascs and two short setae.

Antenna (figure 2C). Biramous, protopod pointed, shorter than exopod, about half the length of rostral spine, bearing two rows of sharp spinules; endopod bud present; one-segmented exopod with pair of serrulate setae about two-thirds from tip, spinulations restricted to one-quarter of distal process beyond setae.

*Mandible* (figure 2D). Asymmetrical, with irregular medial toothed molar process and enlarged lateral incisor process bearing marginal teeth. Palp absent.

*Maxillule* (figure 2E). Coxal endite bearing seven setae, three terminal graded plumodenticulate, and subterminally four plumodenticulate setae. Basial endite with four terminal plumodenticulate cuspidate setae and three subterminal setae, two plumodenticulate and one plumose. Two-segmented endopod with proximal segment bearing plumodenticulate seta, distal segment bearing single plumodenticulate seta subterminally and two pairs of plumodenticulate setae apically. Exopod seta absent.

*Maxilla* (figure 2F). Coxal endite incipiently bilobed, 4+4 setae, three plumose, one plumodenticulate, and two plumodenticulate and two plumose setae, respectively. Basial endite bilobed, proximal lobe with five plumodenticulate setae and microtrichia on proximal margin, distal lobe bearing four plumodenticulate setae. Unsegmented endopod distally with three (rare) or four (common) terminal plumodenticulate setae; microtrichia on lateral margin. Scaphognathite marginally with 10 densely plumose setae, including distal process.

*Maxilliped 1* (figure 2G). Coxa with developing seta. Basis with nine plumodenticulate setae arranged 2, 2, 2, 3. Endopod five-segmented with 3, 2, 1, 2 and 4+1 plumodenticulate setae. Incompletely bisegmented exopod with four terminal plumose natatory setae.

*Maxilliped 2* (figure 2H). Coxa naked. Basis with three plumodenticulate setae. Endopod three-segmented; first segment naked, second with plumodenticulate seta, distal segment with four plumodenticulate setae. Incompletely bisegmented exopod with four terminal plumose natatory setae.

Maxilliped 3 (figure 2I). Present as small endo-, exo- and epipod buds.

Pereiopods (figure 2I). Present as small buds, chela enlarged.

*Abdomen* (figure 2A, J). Five somites. Somite 1 with pair of dorsal plumodenticulate setae, somites 2–5 each with pair of shorter simple setae. Posterolateral spines on somites 2–4 increasing in length distally; somites 2 and 3 with pair of dorsolateral processes. Pleopods absent.

*Telson* (figure 2J). Bifurcated, distinct median notch with microtrichia, three pairs of plumodenticulate setae on inner margin; each furcal shaft proximally bearing two lateral spines, longer spine with spinules, shorter spine naked; furcal shafts covered in rows of spinules for three-quarters length. Grouped denticulettes present.

#### Second zoea (figure 3)

*Carapace* (figure 3A). Eyes mobile. Additional pair of simple setae just above eyes. Lateral margin with additional plumose seta.

Antennule (figure 3B). With eight long aesthetascs and two simple setae, one shorter than other; endopod bud present.

Antenna (figure 3C). Endopod bud enlarged to middle of protopodite.



FIG. 3. Second zoea of *Apiomithrax violaceus* (A. Milne Edwards, 1868). (A) Lateral view;(B) antennule; (C) antenna; (D) mandible; (E) maxillule; (F) maxilla; (G) developing maxilliped 3 and pereiopods; (H) dorsal view of abdominal somites 1–6, showing ventral pleopods as stippling.

#### Mandible (figure 3D). With palp bud.

*Maxillule* (figure 3E). Coxal endite with an additional plumodenticulate seta subterminally; basial endite additionally with two terminal plumodenticulate cuspidate setae and subterminal plumose seta; exopod plumose seta present.

*Maxilla* (figure 3F). Proximal basial lobe with four to five plumodenticulate setae, distal basial lobe bearing additional plumodenticulate seta. Scaphognathite with 20 marginal plumose setae.

Maxilliped 1 (figure 3A). Exopod with six plumose natatory setae.

Maxilliped 2 (figure 3A). Exopod with six plumose natatory setae.

Maxilliped 3 (figure 3G). Endo-, exo- and epipod buds enlarged.

Pereiopods (figure 3G). Longer, segmentation not apparent, chela distinct.

*Abdomen* (figure 3A, H). Additional sixth somite. Somite 1 with five dorsal plumodenticulate setae. Additional pair of mid-dorsal simple setae on somites 2 and 3. Somites 2–5 with pair of unsegmented biramous pleopods, endopods distinct.

#### *Megalopa* (figures 4, 5)

*Carapace* (figure 4A, B). Sub-rectangular, bearing conspicuous straight rostral spine, recurved dorsal spine present, lateral spines absent; mediolateral lobes anterior to central elevations, sloping anteroventrally; flanked by lateral ridges; ventrolateral expansion posterior to eyes. Surface covered with simple setae as shown, posterolateral margin with few plumodenticulate setae.

Antennule (figure 4C). Three-segmented peduncle with one seta on proximal, two setae on middle and single seta on distal segment, all simple type; unsegmented endopod with one subterminal and two terminal simple setae; three-segmented exopod with naked proximal segment, simple seta and 10 aesthetascs on middle segment, and distal segment with four subterminal aesthetascs and aesthetasc-like seta.

Antenna (figure 4D). Segments 1–7, progressing proximally to distally, each with 1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 4, 3 simple setae, respectively; all terminal setae long.

*Mandible* (figure 4E). Scoop-shaped process with cutting edge and two-segmented palp bearing five to six apical plumodenticulate setae.

*Maxillule* (figure 4F). Coxal endite with 10–11 apical plumodenticulate setae and basal seta; basial endite with 16 plumodenticulate apical setae and three subterminal plumose setae; exopod seta plumodenticulate; unsegmented endopod with two simple setae.

*Maxilla* (figure 4G). Coxal endite incipient proximal and distal lobes with five (four plumose, one plumodenticulate) and three (two plumose, one plumodenticulate) setae, respectively; basial endite with five to six plumodenticulate setae each on proximal lobe and distal lobe, latter with additional subterminal seta; endopod unsegmented, naked, marginal microtrichia present; scaphognathite with 37–40 marginal plumose setae, blade with three simple setae.

*Maxilliped 1* (figure 5A). Coxa with six to eight plumodenticulate setae; basis bearing 12–14 plumodenticulate setae; endopod unsegmented, bearing optional subterminal simple seta; exopod with pappose or plumose seta distally on proximal segment and four plumose setae on distal segment; epipod with seven plumodenticulate setae.

*Maxilliped 2* (figure 5B). Coxa and basis not clearly differentiated; four endopod segments proximally to distally with 0, 1, 2–3 and 5–6 plumodenticulate setae, respectively; exopod with naked proximal segment and four plumose setae on distal segment; epipod not present on examined specimens.

*Maxilliped 3* (figure 5C). Coxa with six to seven plumodenticulate setae; endopod proximally to distally with 13, 9 or 11, 4–5, 7 and 4 mostly plumodenticulate



FIG.4. Megalopa of *Apiomithrax violaceus* (A. Milne Edwards, 1868). (A) Lateral view; (B) dorsal view, (C) antennule; (D) antenna; (E) mandible; (F) maxillule; (G) maxilla.

setae; basischium with undeveloped crista dentata; bisegmented exopod with naked proximal segment and four to five reduced plumose setae apically on distal segment; epipod with two plumodenticulate setae proximally, five distally.

*Pereiopods* (figure 5D, E). Covered with mostly simple setae; dactyl of pereiopods 2–5 with spinules as shown and 4, 4, 3, 3 serrate setae, respectively; propodus



FIG. 5. Megalopa of *Apiomithrax violaceus* (A. Milne Edwards, 1868). (A) Maxilliped 1; (B) maxilliped 2; (C) maxilliped 3; (D) cheliped; (E) pereiopods; (F) sternum; (G) dorsal view of abdomen and telson; (H) first and fifth pleopods.

of pereiopods 2–4 with serrate setae; coxa of pereiopod 2 with spine, coxa of cheliped with plumodenticulate setae.

*Sternum* (figure 5F). Projecting anteriorly, with three pairs of simple setae as illustrated.

Abdomen (figure 5G, H). Posterolateral margins of all somites rounded, proximally to distally with 6, 6, 8, 8, 8 and 2 setae, all simple except for two pairs of lateral plumodenticulate setae on first somite; exopod of pleopods 1-5 on somites 2-6 with 11-12, 11-12, 11, 9 and 5 plumose setae, respectively; endopod of pleopods 1-4 with three cincinnuli each, pleopod 5, i.e. uropod, lacking endopod.

Telson (figure 5G). Rounded posteriorly, bearing pair of simple dorsal setae.

#### Discussion

#### Identification and larval affinities of Apiomithrax violaceus

The larval characters of *Apiomithrax violaceus* agree with those proposed by Rice (1980, 1983) for the Majoidea (cf. Martin and Davis, 2001), in having nine or more setae on the scaphognathite in the first zoea and well-developed pleopods in the second zoea. However, the larval characters of Pisidae, defined by Ingle (1979) based on the genera *Eurynome, Herbstia, Hyastenus, Libidoclaea, Libinia, Lissa, Naxioides, Pisa* and *Pisoides*, are not shared by *A. violaceus*. The zoeal stages of the latter differ from the others in having lateral spines, a long rostral spine that extends beyond the antenna, two spines per telson fork and a dorsolateral process on the third abdominal somite (latter present in *Herbstia*). In the megalopa *A. violaceus* differs in having a spine on the basial segment of the second pereiopod and a dorsal spine on the carapace (also in *Libinia spinosa*). Other pisid larvae, such as *Rochinia*, also do not fully correspond to the group definition, showing oregoniid affinities that question the pisid status of that genus (Ingle, 1979).

Apiomithrax violaceus is also morphologically distinct in comparison to other majoids. In zoeal stages the antenna is very distinct in having an exopod that is much longer than the protopod and a rostral spine that is longer than the dorsal spine (figures 2, 3). These characters should facilitate the identification of this taxon in plankton material and could also be useful for phylogenetic studies. Based on initial work by Kurata (1969) relating to the armature of the antennal exopod, Clark and Webber (1991) postulated ancestral and derived states for majoids, an approach that was also used in a modified form in more recent phylogenetic analyses (Marques and Pohle, 1998; Pohle and Marques, 2000). However, the relative length of the antennal exopod and protopod represents an additional and apparently new character in elucidating majoid relationships. The relative size of rostral and dorsal spines has a similar potential.

Zoeal stages of *A. violaceus* are also easily distinguishable from other Pisidae in having a rostral spine that is longer than the dorsal spine, a dorsolateral process on the second and third abdominal somites, and by the five setae on the first abdominal somite in the second zoeal stage (tables 3, 4). However, the last two characters are also found among other majoids. The paired dorsolateral process on the second and third abdominal somites is present in oregoniids (Pohle, 1991), some inachids (Yang, 1976; Paula and Cartaxana, 1991), the epialtid *Taliepus* (Fagetti and Campodonico, 1971) and in *Macrocheira* (Clark and Webber, 1991). The latter also has five setae on the first abdominal somite. In addition, *A. violaceus* shares the presence of lateral carapace spines with oregoniids, some inachids and *Macrocheira*, and some majids (Pohle and Marques, 2000). Other characters shared with oregoniids include two lateral spines on the telson fork and four pairs of telson setae in the second zoea.

The megalopa of Apiomithrax violaceus has a distinct set of characters that

idae genera.
Sis
-
foi
stage
zoeal
first
the
of
characters
larval
$\operatorname{of}$
omparison
Ŭ
Table 3.

Zoea 1	Apiomithrax	Doclea	Eurynolambrus	Eurynome	Libidoclaea	Libinia	Pisa	Pisoides	Rochinia	Scyra
Carapace	RS: long; (RS>DS); LS present; 5-6s ventral margin	RS: short or absent; LS absent; 5-8 s ventral maroin	RS: intermediate; LS absent; 7s ventral maroin	RS: intermediate; LS absent; 4s ventral maroin	RS: long; (RS < DS); LS absent; 6s ventral margin	RS: short; LS absent; 6–8 s ventral margin	RS: short; LS absent; 6–7 s ventral margin	RS: short; LS absent; 6–7 s ventral margin	RS: long (RS < DS); LS present; 4 <sup>†</sup> s ventral margin	RS: intermediate; LS absent; 6s ventral maroin
Antennule	4 aes, 2s	4 aes, 0–2 s	4 acs, 2 s	4 aes, 1–2 s	3 aes, 2 s	2–4 aes, 1–2 s	4–6 aes, 0 or 2 s	4 aes, 2 s	2 aes, 1 s	5 aes, 1 <sup>†</sup> s
Antenna Maxillule	exo>pro cox: 7; bas: 7; end: 1, 5	$exo \leq pro$ eox: 7; bas: 7; end: 0-1, 6-7	exo < pro cox: 7; bas: 7; end: 1, 6	exo < pro; cox: 7; bas: 7; end: 1, 6	exo = pro cox: 7; bas: $7^{\dagger}$ ; end: 1, 5	$exo \leq pro^{T}$ exs: 7; bas: 7; end: 1, 5	exo=pro cox: 7; bas: 7; end: 1, 6	exo≥pro cox: 8; bas: 7; end: 1, 6	$exo \ge pro$ exs: 7; bas: 7; end: 1, 6	exo < pro cox: 7; bas: 7; end: 1, 4
Maxilla	cox: 4, 4; bas: 5, 4; end: 3-4; sca: 10	cox: 3–5, 3–5; bas: 2–5, 3–4; end: 4–5; sca: 11–16	cox: 3, 4; bas: 5, 4; end: 5; sca: 13	cox: 5, 4; bas: 4–5, 4; end: 5; sca: 9–10	cox: 4, 5; bas: 4, 5; end: 3, 3; sca: 15	cox: 4, 4; bas: 4, 4–5; end: 4–5; sca: 10–11	cox: 5-6, 4; bas: 4-5, 4; end: 5; sca: 11-13	cox: 5, 4; bas: 4, 4; end: 5; sca: 13	cox: 5, 4; bas: 5, 4; end: 6; sca: 12	cox: 4, 4; bas: 5, 4; end: 4; sca: 9–10
Mxpd 1	cox: 1; bas: 2, 2, 2, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 1–2, 2, 3; end: 3–4, 2, 1–2, 2–3, 5	cox: 1; bas: 2, 2, 2, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	coxa 0–1; bas: 2, 2, 3, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 2, 3, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	cox: 0–1; bas: 2, 2†, 3, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 2, 3, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 2, 3, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 2, 3, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 2, 2, 3; end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5
Mxpd 2	bas: 3; end: 0, 1, 4	bas: 2-4; end: 0, 1, 3-4	bas: 3; end: 0, 1, 6	bas: 3; end: 1, 1–2, 5	bás: 3; end: 0, 1, 5	bás: 3; end: 0, 1, 4–5	bas: 3; end: 0-1, 1-2, 5 or 3	bás: 3; end: 1, 1, 4	bás: 3; end: 0, 1, 5	bás: 3; end: 0, 1, 4

# W. Santana et al.

				. MOD I	o. Commun	•)•				
Zoea 1	A piomithrax	Doclea	Eurynolambrus	Eurynome	Libidoclaea	Libinia	Pisa	Pisoides	Rochinia	Scyra
Abdomen	S1: 2;	S1: 2–3;	S1: 2;	S1: 2;	S1: ?;	S1: 2;	S1: 2;	S1: 2;	S1: 2;	S1: 2;
	S2-5; 2;	S2-5: 0 or 2;	S2-5: 2;	S2-5: 2;	S2-5: 2 <sup>1</sup> ;	S2-5: 2;	S2-5: 2;	S2-5: 2;	S2-5: 2;	S2-5: 2;
	dlp: S2–3	dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2
Telson	furca:	furca:	furca:	furca:	furca:	furca:	furca:	furca:	furca:	furca:
	2 sp;	0 sp;	3 sp;	2-4 sp;	1 sp;	1 sp;	1 sp;	1 sp;	1 sp;	1 sp;
	spi present;	spi absent;	spi present;	spi present;	spi present;	spi present;	spi present;	spi present;	spi present;	spi present;
	6 s	6 s	6 s	6 s	6 s	6 s	6 s	6 s	6 s	6 s
RS, ros	tral spine; DS	, dorsal spine; l	LS, lateral spine;	dlp, dorsolate	ral process; co	ox, coxa or co	oxal endite; ba	is, basis or be	sial endite; en	d, endopod;
exo, exopc	id; sca, scaphc	gnathite; epi, e	spipod; pro, prote	pod; ped, pe	duncle; S, son	nite; s, setae;	aes, aesthetas	sc; sp, spine;	spi, spinules.	

ıd, endc
ndite; er pinules.
basial e s; spi, s
asis or p, spine
e; bas, l letasc; s
al endite s, aesth
or coxa etae; ae
x, coxa nite; s, s
cess; cc ; S, son
eral pro eduncle
dorsolat ; ped, p
ie; dlp, otopod
eral spir pro, pr
LS, late epipod;
l spine; te; epi,
S, dorsa ognathi gure.
pine; DS 1, scapho from fi
ostral sf ood; sca rvation
RS, r( , exof

		Table 4	I. Comparison	of larval chara	cters of secc	nd zoeal stage	for Pisidae g	cenera.		
Zoea 2	Apiomithrax	Doclea	Eurynolambrus	Eurynome	Libidoclaea	Libinia	Pisa	Pisoides	Rochinia	Scyra
Carapace	RS: long; (RS > DS); LS present; 7–8s ventral	RS: short or absent; LS absent; 6–10 s ventral	RS: intermediate; LS absent; 8 s ventral	RS: intermediate; LS absent; 4-6 s ventral	RS: long; (RS <ds); LS absent; 7 s ventral</ds); 	RS: short; LS absent; 7-10 s ventral margin	RS: short; LS absent; 7s ventral margin	RS: short; LS absent; 11 s ventral margin	RS: long; (RS < DS); LS present	RS: intermediate; LS absent; 6s ventral
Antennule	8 aes, 2 s	5–8 aes, 0–2 s	8 aes	5-7 aes, 1 s	7 aes	6 or 8 aes, 1–2 s	6 or 8 aes, 0 or 2 s	6 aes	5 aes, 1 s	8 aes, 2 s
Antenna Maxillule	exo>pro cox: 8;	exo≤pro <sup>†</sup> cox: 6–8;	exo < pro cox: 7;	exo < pro cox: 7;	exo < pro⁺ cox: 7;	exo≤pro <sup>†</sup> cox: 7–8;	exo = pro cox: 7-8;	exo > pro $cox: 7^{\dagger}$ or $g_{(foxt)}$ .	exo>pro cox: 6-7;	exo=pro cox: 7;
	bas: 10; end: 1, 5;	bas: 8–10; end: 0–1, 6–7.	bas: 9; end: 1, 6;	bas: 9 <sup>†</sup> ; end: 1, 6;	bas: 10 <sup>†</sup> ; end: 1, 5;	bas: 9–10; end: 1, 5;	bas: 8–9; end: 1, 6;	ه (ندمد), bas: 9; end: 1, 6;	bas: 10; end: 1, 6;	bas: 10; end: 1, 4;
Maxilla	exo: 1 cox: 4, 4;	exo: 0–1 exo: 4–6, 3–5;	exo: 1 cox: 3, 4;	exo: 1 cox: 5, 4;	exo: 1 cox: 4, 5;	exo: 1 cox: 4, 3-4;	exo: 1 cox: 5, 4;	$\begin{array}{c} \exp(0)^{\dagger} \\ \exp(0) \\ \exp(4) \\ (\operatorname{text}) \\ \varepsilon^{\dagger} \\ \varepsilon^{\dagger} \end{array}$	exo: 1 cox: 5, 4;	exo: 1 cox: 4, 4;
	bas: 4–5, 5;	bas: 4–5, 3–4;	bas: 5, 5;	bas: 5, 5;	bas: 5, 5†;	bas: 5, 4–5;	bas: 5, 4–5;	or 5, 4; bas: 5, 4;	bas: 5, 8;	bas: 5, 5;
Mxpd 1	end: 3-4; sca: 20 cox: 1; bas: 2, 2 3.	end: $4-5$ ; sca: $25-30$ cox: $0-1$ ; bas: $1-2$ ;	end: 5; sca: 23–25 cox: 1, bas: 2, 2, 2 3.	end: 5; sca: 16–19 cox: 0–1; bas: 2, 2 3 3.	end: 5; sca: 29 cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 2, 3, 3.	end: 5; sca: 16 or 20 cox: 0-1; bas: 2,	end: 5; sca: 20–23 cox: 0; bas: 2,	end: 5; sca: 21 cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2, 2 3 3.	end: 7; sca: 21–23 cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2,	end: 4; sca: 16 cox: 0 <sup>†</sup> ; bas: 2,
	2, 2, 3, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	$\frac{2}{2}, \frac{2}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1-2}{2}, \frac{2-3}{2}, 5$	2, 3, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	2, 3, 3, 5, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	2, 3, 3, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	z, 3, 3, 5, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	2, 3, 3, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	2, 3, 3, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	2, 3, 3, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5	2, 2, 3, end: 3, 2, 1, 2, 5

1788

# W. Santana et al.

				Table	4. (Continued).					
Zoea 2	A piomithrax	Doclea	Eurynolambrus	Eurynome	Libidoclaea	Libinia	Pisa	Pisoides	Rochinia	Scyra
Mxpd 2	bas: 3; end: 0, 1, 4	bas: 2-6; end: 0, 1, 3-5	bas: 3; end: 0, 1, 6	bas: 3; end: 1, 1_2 5	bas: 3; end: 0, 1, 5	bas: 3; end: 0, 1 4 5	bas: 3; end: 0–1, 1–2 3 or 5	bas: 3; end: 1, 1, 4	bas: 3; end: 0, 1, 5	bas: 3; end: 0, 1, 4
Abdomen	S1: 5;	S1: 3;	S1: 2;	S1: 3;	dlp: S2; S1: ?; s2 5: 3	S1: 3;	S1: 2;	S1: 4;	S1–2: 2;	S1: $2^{\dagger}$ ;
	S2–3: 4;	S2-5: 0  or  2	S2-5: 2;	S2–5: 2;		S2–3: 4;	S2: 4;	S2: 4;	S3-4: 4;	S2–3: 4;
	S4–5: 2; dlr: S7–S3	(c o r o c); dlp: S2	dlp: S2	dlp: S2		S4-5: $2^{+}$ ;	S3-5: 2; dln: S2	S3-5: 2; dln: S2	S5: 2 or 4; db: S2	S3–5: 2; dln: S2
Telson	furca: 2 sp; spi present; 8 s	furca: 0 sp; spi absent; 6 s	furca: 3 sp; spi present; 8 s	furca: 2–4 sp <sup>†</sup> ; spi present; 8 s	furca: 1 sp; spi present; 6 s	furca: 1 sp; spi present; 6 s	furca: 1 sp; spi present; 6 s	furca: 1 sp; spi present; 6s	furca: 1 sp; spi present; 6 s	furca: 1 sp; spi present; 6 s

abbreviations.	
for	
table 3	
See	

separates it from other Pisidae, consisting of a long rostral spine, presence of dorsal spine, ventrolateral carapace expansions posterior to eyes, 19 setae on basial endite of maxillule and discrete abdominal setation (table 5). Also, the setal formula of the antenna is a distinctive character that is shared only with *Libinia dubia* (Sandifer and van Engel, 1971). However, the latter, unlike *A. violaceus*, is restricted to the North Atlantic.

#### Comparison among Pisidae genera

A comparison of larval descriptions of the family Pisidae shows substantial differences among the genera of this group (tables 3, 4, 5). These morphological differences can be distinct, such as for *Doclea* that lacks furcal telson spines in zoeal stages, and *Pisoides*, characterized by a second maxilliped with a completely developed epipod in the megalopa (tables 3, 4, 5). This demonstrates the heterogeneity of Pisidae, the largest majoid group (Griffin and Tranter, 1986).

A more detailed generic-level comparison of described pisid larvae is complicated by fragmented or incomplete information (table 1), such as for *Herbstia condyliata* (Cano, 1893; Bourdillon-Casanova, 1960), *Libinia erinacea* (Yang, 1967), *L. setosa* (Rathbun, 1923), *Pisa nodipes* (Heegaard, 1963) and *P. ortmanni* (Kurata, 1969; Terada, 1983) that were not used in this comparison. In total, 18 species among 10 genera, for which there is information on all larval stages, were considered for the comparison (table 1). Among these taxa there may also be some lack of congruence in larval morphology. Intraspecific variation, such as for species of *Doclea*, suggests a need for re-evaluation of certain taxa because of inadequate descriptions. Thus, the results should be viewed with some caution.

Both zoeal stages of species of the following genera have distinctive features (tables 3, 4): for *Doclea* it is the setation of the basis of the second maxilliped; for *Eurynolambrus* and *Eurynome* the number of spines on the telson furca; for *Scyra* the endopod setation of the maxillule; and for *Rochinia* the endopod setation of the maxilla.

The first zoeal stage of *Libidoclaea* and *Rochinia* has a distinct number of aesthetascs. The same stage of *Pisoides* has a characteristic number of setae on the coxal endite of the maxillule and endopod of second maxilliped (table 3).

The second zoeal stage of *Doclea* is characterized by a distinct number of setae on the endopod of maxillule, and the number of somites in *Doclea gracilipes* may also be distinctive; in *Eurynolambrus* the setation of the coxal endite of the maxilla is distinct; *Pisa* and *Pisoides*, *Rochinia* and *Scyra* have a distinct abdominal setation; and *Rochinia* differs in the setation of the coxal endite of the maxillule, basial endite and endopod of maxilla (table 4).

In the megalopa, the distinctive characters are: for *Eurynolambrus* the lack of a rostral spine, segmentation and setation of the antennule, setation of the basial endite of the maxillule, coxal endite and blade of the maxilla and exopodite of third maxilliped; for *Eurynome* the setation of the exopod of the third maxilliped; for *Libidoclaea* the setation of the epipod of the first maxilliped; for *Pisoides*, segmentation of the endopod of the maxillule and the setation of the coxa of the third maxilliped; for *Rochinia*, setation of the peduncle of the antennule, antenna, endopod of maxillule and coxa of maxilla. Also, the abdominal setation in each of *Eurynolambrus*, *Pisoides*, *Rochinia* and *Scyra* is different (table 5).

						o		0		
Megalopa	Apiomithrax	Doclea	Eurynolambrus	Eurynome	Libidoclaea	Libinia	Pisa	Pisoides	Rochinia	Scyra
Carapace	RS: long;	RS: short or two-horned;	RS: absent;	RS: short;	RS: short;	RS: short or long (L. sninosa):	RS: short;	RS: intermediate;	RS: long;	RS: short;
	DS: present; 7_8 s	DS: absent; 0 <sup>†</sup> s	DS: absent; 5† s	DS: absent; 0 s	DS: absent; 0 s	DS: present $(L \ spinosa);$	DS: absent; 0 <sup>†</sup> s	DS: absent; 5 s	DS: present; 0 <sup>†</sup> s	DS: absent; 0 <sup>†</sup> s
	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin	posterior margin
Antennule	ped: 1, 2, 1;	; ped: 0 or 4, 0, 1-2;	ped: 0, 1, 1;	ped: 0–1, 2, 0 or 2;	ped: 0, 1, 1 <sup>*</sup> ;	ped: 0, 1–2, 1–2;	ped: 0, 1, 1;	ped: 0, 2, 1;	ped: 0, 2, 0;	ped: 1, 1, 1;
	end: 3;	end: 2–3;	end: $3;$	end: 3–4;	end: 3;	end: 3;	end: 3;	end: $3;$	end: 3;	end: 2;
	exo: 10+ 1s, 5 aes	exo: 0 or 5, 3-4,	exo: 10+15, 4, 1 aes	exo: 4 or 6, 3 aes	exo: $\delta + 18^{\circ}$ , $4 + 1^{\circ}$ aes	10+0-1s, $10+0-1s$ ,	exo: o or 8+1s,	4+1 aes	exo: 0+ 1s, 4,	5+1 aes
		2-3, 0-1 aes + 1s				$3-4$ or $6+1^{\dagger}$ aes	4+0-1 aes		3, 1+1 aes	
Antenna	$seg \ 1-7:$	$\log 1-7:$ 0 0 or 2	seg 1–7: 0 1 1	seg $1-7$ : $2 \ 1-2 \ 2-3$	$seg \ 1-7:$ 0 2 3 0	seg 1-7: 0-1 1-2	$seg \ 1-7:$ 0 2 3 0	$seg \ 1-7:$ 0 2 3 0	$seg \ 1-7:$ 0 2 2 0	$seg \ 1-7:$ 0 2 3
	$0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, $	$3, 0, 4, 3^{+}$	$\frac{1}{0}, \frac{1}{0}, \frac$	0, 4, 4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1, 1-3, 1-3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3-4, 3-4, 3-4, 3-4, 3-4, 3-4, 3-4, 3-4$	0, 4, 4	$0, 3, 4^{+}$	0, 3, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,	0, 0, 3, 4
Mandible Maxillule	palp 5–6 cox: 10– 11+1·	palp 4-5 cox: 7 or 9-10-	palp 4 cox: 8;	palp 6 cox: 9–10;	palp 5 cox: 11 <sup>†</sup> ;	palp 5 cox: 10–11;	palp 5 cox: 9–10;	palp 4 cox: 10;	palp 5 cox: 10;	palp 5 cox: 10;
	bas: 19;	bas: 12 or 17:	bas: 11;	bas: 15–16;	bas: 18;	bas: 16–18;	bas: 17;	bas: 17;	bas: 11–12†:	bas: 15;
	end: 2;	end: 2–3	end: 2;	end: $1-2$ ;	end: 1;	end: 0–4 <sup>†</sup> ;	end: 2–3;	end: 0, 2;	end: 4;	end: 2;
	epi: 1	epi: 0	epi: 1	epi: 0	epi: 0 <sup>†</sup>	epi: 0	epi: 0 <sup>†</sup>	epi: 0†	epi: 0 <sup>†</sup>	epi: 0

Table 5. Comparison of larval characters of the megalopa stage for Pisidae genera.

# Larval development of Apiomithrax violaceus

1791

				Tablé	e 5. (Continu	ted).				
Megalopa	A piomithrax	Doclea	Eurynolambrus	Eurynome	Libidoclaea	Libinia	Pisa	Pisoides	Rochinia	Scyra
Maxilla	cox: 5, 3;	cox: 5-7, 2 or 4 or 6-7:	cox: 5, 5;	cox: 6, 3;	cox: 7, 3;	cox: 5-6, 3;	cox: 7, 3;	cox: 5, 2;	cox: 9, 3-4;	cox: 6, 2;
	bas: 5–6, 6–7;	bas: 3 or 5 or 7. 4–5 or 7:	bas: 6, 6;	bas: 3–5, 5;	bas: 6, 6;	bas: 6–7, 5–7;	bas: 4–5, 6;	bas: 5, 6;	bas: 6, 6;	bas: 6, 7;
	end: 0; sca: 37–40, 3	end: 0; sca: 36–45, 0 or 6	end: 0; sca: 36– 37, 4	end: 0; sca: 30–34, 0 or 3	end: 0; sca: 51, $0^{\dagger}$	end: 0–2; sca: 31–36, 2	end: 0; sca: 30–32, 0 <sup>†</sup> or 3	end: 0; sca: 42, 0 <sup>†</sup>	end: 0; sca: 40 <sup>†</sup> , 0 <sup>†</sup>	end: 0; sca: 31–32, 2
Mxpd 1	cox: 6–8;	cox: 4-5 or 8.	$\cos + bas$ ?:	$\cos 5-7;$	cox: 7 <sup>†</sup> ;	cox: 5–7;	cox: 7–8;	cox: 7 <sup>†</sup>	cox: 6;	cox: 7;
	bas: 12–14;	or o, bas: 7 or 12–13:	end: 0;	bas: 9–11;	bas: 12 <sup>†</sup> ;	bas: 8–12;	bas: 11 or 16:	bas: 8 <sup>†</sup> ;	bas: 10;	bas: 11;
	end: 0–1;	end: 1–2 or 4:	exo: 1, 4;	end: 1;	end: $0^{\dagger}$ ;	end: 0–3;	end: 0 or 4;	end: $0^{\dagger}$ ;	end: 0;	end: 0;
	exo: 1, 4;	exo: 0–2, 4;	epi: 6	exo: $0^{\dagger}$ –	exo: 1, 4 <sup>†</sup> ;	exo: 1, 4–6;	exo: 1, 4–5;	exo: 1, 4 <sup>†</sup> ;	exo: 1, 4;	exo: 0, 4;
	epi: 7	epi: 4–6		-, -, -, epi: 0 <sup>†</sup> or 2_4	epi: 14†	epi: 3–5 or 7	epi: 5–6	epi: 6*	epi: 6	epi: 0 <sup>†</sup>
Mxpd 2	end: 0, 1, 2–3, 5–6;	end: 0, 0 or 2, 0 or 3 4, 4-5 or 7.	end: 0, 1, 3, 5;	end: 0, 1, 3, 5–6;	end: 0, 1, 4, 6 <sup>†</sup> ;	end: 0, 1, 3, 6;	end: 0, 1, 3, 6;	cox: 5⁺	end: 0, 1, 4, 7;	end: 0, 1, 3, 6;
	exo: 0, 4	exo: 0, 5–6	exo: 0, 4	exo: 0, 4	exo: 0, 4 <sup>†</sup>	exo: 0, 4–6	exo: 0, 4–5	end: 0, 1, 4, 6 <sup>†</sup> exo: 0, 4 <sup>†</sup> epi: 6 <sup>†</sup>	exo: 0, 4	exo: 0, 4

1792

# W. Santana et al.

				1 4 0 1	ICONIN	uea).				
Megalopa	Apiomithrax	Doclea	Eurynolambrus	Eurynome	Libidoclaea	Libinia	Pisa	Pisoides	Rochinia	Scyra
Mxpd 3	cox: 6–7;	cox: 3-4?;	cox: 9;	cox: 5–6 or 11:	cox: 8 <sup>†</sup> ;	cox: 6 or 8 <sup>†</sup> _10:	cox: 6–7;	cox: 3 <sup>†</sup> ;	cox: 8 <sup>†</sup> ;	cox: 5;
	end: 13, 9–11,	end: 0 or 9 or 12, 5–6	end: 13, 6, 4, 7, 5;	end: 12– 14, 5,	end: 12, 9, 5, 5, 4 <sup>†</sup> ;	end: $9-13$ , $7-9$ ,	end: 11–12, 8–9, 5–6,	end: 8, 4, 4, 4, 4 <sup>†</sup> ;	end: 13–15, 6–7, 5, 5, 4;	end: 13, 8, 5, 6, 4;
	4-5, 7, 4;	or 8–9, 2 or 4–5, 2–3 or 5–6, 3–5;		1-2, 4-5, 5;		4-6, 5-6, 4;	6, 4;			
	exo: 4-5; epi: 7	exo: 4; epi: 4 or 8?	exo: 2, 4; epi: 13 <sup>†</sup>	exo: 1, 4–5; epi: $5^{\dagger}-6$	exo: 0, 5 <sup>†</sup> ; epi: 11 <sup>†</sup>	exo: 0, 5–6; epi: 7–9 <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>	exo: 0, 5; epi: 9–10	exo: $0^{\dagger}$ ; epi: $6^{\dagger}$	exo: 0, 6–7; epi: 5	exo: 0, 4; epi: 0 <sup>†</sup>
Abdomen	S1–6: 6, 6, 8, 8, 2	n/a	S1–6: 6, 10, 8, 8, 8, 2	S1-6: 6 <sup>+</sup> - 10, 4 or 6, 4 or 6, 4 or 6, 4 or 6, 0	$S1-6: 4, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0^{\dagger}$	S1-6: 6 or 8, 6, 6 or 8, 4 or 6 or 8, 6 or 8, 0 or 2 <sup>†</sup>	SI-6: 6 or 8, 8, 6, 6, 6 or 8, 0 or 2 <sup>†</sup>	S1–6: 4 <sup>+</sup> , 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 <sup>+</sup>	S1–6: 8, 6, 4, 4, 6, 2 <sup>†</sup>	S1–6: 6, 8, 8, 8, 8, 2 <sup>†</sup>

Table 5 (Continued)

See table 3 for abbreviations. n/a, not available; seg, segment.

1793

Taken together these differentiating characters indicate that *Apiomithrax*, *Eurynolambrus*, *Pisoides*, *Rochinia* and *Scyra* have the most divergent morphological characters within the family. Moreover, abdominal setation appears to be a good character to differentiate some genera within Pisidae. However, the apparent differences in the number of abdominal somites and mouthpart setation for *Doclea* are suspect, since these are known to vary little within a genus. Similarly suspect are, for *Eurynome spinosa*, the highly divergent number of setae on the exopod of the antenna and the lack of epipod in the first maxilliped, and for *Scyra*, the lack of epipods in the first and third maxillipeds.

Larval characters of several genera, including species of *Apiomithrax*, *Doclea* and *Rochinia*, no longer fit the proposed set of larval definitions of the Pisidae by Ingle (1979). Presently, the most homogeneous pisid characters in the first zoeal stage are the number of setae on the coxal and basial endite of the maxillule (excluding *Pisoides*) and the endopod of first maxilliped (excluding *Doclea*) (table 3). In the second zoeal stage it is the presence of the exopod seta on the maxillule (excluding Pisoides) (table 4). Morphologically, the megalopa appears more heterogeneous than zoeal stages since we found no shared characters (table 5). It is also important to realize that some of the differentiating characters for zoeal stages are present in other families and are therefore not considered distinctive among Majoidea.

#### Larval information in phylogenetic analysis

Larval information has been increasingly used for phylogenetic studies (Rice, 1980, 1983; Clark and Webber, 1991; Marques and Pohle, 1995, 1998; Pohle and Marques, 1998, 2000), as an alternative to adult-based or genetic studies. These efforts are presently hindered by the availability of appropriate larval descriptions. This is particularly so for the Pisidae, Clark and Webber's (1991) pioneering analysis being limited to *Pisa* and *Rochinia*, and even the most recent efforts by Marques and Pohle (2003) including only three additional genera, *Doclea*, *Libinia* and *Notolopas*.

All larval analyses presently suggest that the Pisidae is a paraphyletic group (Clark and Webber, 1991; Marques and Pohle, 1998, 2003; Pohle and Marques, 2000). The analysis and inclusion of additional pisid taxa is likely to shed more light on the sister-group relationships of this group. However, based on the extent of morphological interspecific variability of known larvae it is likely that the group, as presently defined by adult morphology, is not monophyletic.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported through research grant A2313 to G. Pohle, from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council, Canada, by grant JP 99/ 10407-1 and 99/08256-5 to F. Marques by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, State Government of São Paulo and by fellowship 132962/ 2001-2 to William Santana by the CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico), Federal Government, Brazil.

#### References

BAKKER, C., DE MONTU, M., ANGER, K., and HARMS, J., 1990, Larval development of a tropical spider crab, *Libinia ferreirae* Brito Capello, 1871 (Decapoda: Majidae), reared in the laboratory, *Meeresforschung*, **33**, 90–103.

- BORASCHI, L., 1921, Osservazioni sulle larve dei Crostacei Decapodi, Memorie Reale Comitato Talassografico Italiano, 87, 1–32.
- BOSCHI, E. E., and SCELZO, M. A., 1968, Larval development of the spider crab *Libinia* spinosa H. Milne Edwards, reared in the laboratory (Brachyura, Majidae), *Crustaceana*, 2(Suppl.), 170–180.
- BOURDILLON-CASANOVA, L., 1960, Le méroplancton du Golfe de Marseille: les larves de crustacés décapodes, *Recueil des Travaux de la Station Marine D'Endoume*, **30**(18), 1–286.
- BRITO CAPELLO, F., 1871, Descripcao de algumas novas de crustaceos, Jornal de Sciências Mathemáticas, Physicas, e Naturaes da Academia Real das Sciências de Lisboa, 3, 262–265.
- CANO, G., 1893, Sviluppo e Morfologie degli Oxyrhynchi, *Mitteilungen aus der Zoologischen* Station zu Neapel, 10, 527–583.
- CHHAPGAR, B. F., 1956, On the breeding habits and larval stages of some crabs of Bombay, *Records of the Indian Museum*, **54**, 33–52.
- CLARK, P. F., and WEBBER, W. R., 1991, A redescription of *Macrocheira kaempferi* (Temminck, 1836) zoeas with a discussion of the classification of the Majoidea Samouelle, 1819 (Crustacea: Brachyura), *Journal of Natural History*, 25, 1259–1279.
- CLARK, P. F., DE CALAZANS, D. K., and POHLE, G., 1998a, Accuracy and standardization of brachyuran larval descriptions, *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, 33, 127–144.
- CLARK, P. F., DE CALAZANS, D. K., and RODRIGUES, S. S., 1998b, Libinia spinosa H. Milne Edwards 1834 (Crustacea: Majidae: Pisinae): a reappraisal of larval characters from laboratory reared material, *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, 33, 145–157.
- DANA, J. D., 1851, On the classification of the maioid Crustacea or Oxyrhyncha, American Journal of Sciences and Arts, 2, 425–434.
- FAGETTI, E., 1969a, The larval development of the spider crab *Libidoclaea granaria* H. Milne Edwards & Lucas under laboratory conditions (Decapoda, Brachyura; Majidae, Pisinae), *Crustaceana*, 17, 131–140.
- FAGETTI, E., 1969b, Larval development of the spider crab *Pisoides edwardsi* (Decapoda, Brachyura) under laboratory conditions, *Marine Biology*, **4**, 160–165.
- FAGETTI, E., and CAMPODONICO, G., 1971, Desarrollo larval en el laboratorio de *Taliepus dentatus* (Milne-Edwards) (Crustacea Brachyura: Majidae, Acanthonychinae), *Revista de Biologia Marina*, 14(3), 1–14.
- GOURRET, P., 1884, Considérations sur la faune pélagique du Golfe de Marseille. Première partie, Annales du Muséum de Histoire Naturelle de Marseille, 11(2), 12–101.
- GRIFFIN, D. J. G., and TRANTER, H. A., 1986, The Decapoda Brachyura of the Siboga Expedition, Part VIII, Majidae. Siboga Expedite, Leiden (Monograph) 29, C4, Livraison, 148, 1–335.
- GUERAO, G., RUFINO, M., and ABELLÓ, P., 2003, Morphology of the larval and first juvenile stages of the spider crab *Lissa chiragra* (Brachyura: Majidae: Pisinae), *Journal of Natural History*, 37, 647–671.
- GURNEY, R., 1924, The zoea of Eurynome aspera, Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 13, 433–436.
- HEEGAARD, P., 1963, Decapod larvae from the Gulf of Napoli hatched in captivity, Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening I Kjøbenhaun, 125, 449-493.
- HONG, S. Y., 1988, Larval development of *Eurynome spinosa* Hailstone and re-examination of the zoeae of *Eurynome aspera* (Pennant) (Decapoda: Brachyura: Majidae) reared in the laboratory, *Ocean Research*, **10**(1), 115–124.
- INGLE, R. W., 1979, The larval development of the spider crab Rochinia carpenteri (Thomson) [Oxyrhyncha: Majidae] with a review of the majid subfamilial larval features, Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History (Zoology), 37, 47–66.
- INGLE, R. W., and CLARK, P. F., 1980, The larval and post-larval development of Gibbs's spider crab, *Pisa armata* (Latreille) [family Majidae: subfamily Pisinae], reared in the laboratory, *Journal of Natural History*, 14, 723–735.
- JOHNS, D. M., and LANG, W. H., 1977, Larval development of the spider crab, *Libinia* emarginata (Majidae), Fishery Bulletin of the United States, **75**, 831–841.

- KIM, D. N., and HONG, S. Y., 1999, Larval development of *Scyra compressipes* (Decapoda: Brachyura: Majidae: Pisinae) reared in the laboratory, *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, 19, 782–791.
- KINAHAN, J. R., 1858, Remarks on the zoe of *Eurynome aspera*, and the habitats of the animal in confinement, *Annals and Magazine of Natural History; including Zoology, Botany and Geology*, 1, 233–235.
- KINAHAN, J. R., 1860, Remarks on the zoe of *Eurynome aspera*, and the habits of the animal in confinement, *Proceedings of the Natural History Society of Dublin*, **2**, 77–79.
- Ko, H. S., 1997, The first zoeal stage of *Hyastenus elongatus* (Ortmann, 1893) (Decapoda, Brachyura, Majidae), *The Korean Journal of Systematic Zoology*, **13**(1), 1–8.
- KRISHNAN, T., and KANNUPANDI, T., 1987, Laboratory larval culture of a spider crab Doclea muricata (Fabricius, 1787) (Decapoda, Majidae), Crustaceana, 53, 292– 303.
- KRISHNAN, T., and KANNUPANDI, T., 1988, Larval development of the spider crab *Doclea* gracilipes Stimpson, 1857 (Decapoda: Brachyura: Majidae) reared in the laboratory, *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, 8, 420–429.
- KURATA, H., 1969, Larvae of Decapoda Brachyura of Arasaki, Sagami Bay. IV. Majidae, Bulletin of the Tokay Regional Fisheries Research Laboratory, **57**, 81–127.
- LEBOUR, M. V., 1928, The larval stages of the Plymouth Brachyura, Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 1928, 473-560.
- MANNING, R. B., and HOLTHUIS, L. B., 1981, West African brachyuran crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda), *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology*, **306**, 1–379.
- MARQUES, F., and POHLE, G., 1995, Phylogenetic analysis of the Pinnotheridae (Crustacea, Brachyura) based on larval morphology, with emphasis on the *Dissodactylus* species complex, *Zoologica Scripta*, 24, 347–364.
- MARQUES, F., and POHLE, G., 1998, The use of structural reduction in phylogenetic reconstruction of decapods and a phylogenetic hypothesis for fifteen genera of Majidae: testing previous hypotheses and assumptions, *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, 33, 241–262.
- MARQUES, F., and POHLE, G., 2003, Searching for larval support for majoid families (Crustacea: Brachyura) with particular reference to Inachoididae Dana, 1851, *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, **43**, 71–82.
- MARTIN, J. W., and DAVIS, G. E., 2001, An updated classification of the recent Crustacea, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Contributions in Science, **39**, 1–124.
- MARTIN, J. W., and LAVERACK, M. S., 1992, On the distribution of the crustacean dorsal organ, *Acta Zoologica*, **73**, 357–368.
- MELO, G. A. S., 1996, Manual de identificação dos Brachyura (caranguejos e siris) do litoral brasileiro (São Paulo, Brazil: Editora Plêiade/Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo), 603 pp.
- MILNE EDWARDS, A., 1868, Observations sur la faune carcinologique des Iles du Cap-Vert, Nouvelles Archives du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, 4, 49-68, pls 16-18.
- MILNE EDWARDS, H., 1834, Histoire naturelle des Crustacés, comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces animaux, Librairé enciclopédique de Roret, Paris, Vol. 1, XXXV+ 468 pp.
- MILNE EDWARDS, H., and LUCAS, H., 1843, Crustacés, in A. d'Orbigny (ed.) Voyage dans l'Amerique Méridionale (le Brésil, la république orientale de l'Uruguray, la république Argentine, la Patagonie, la république du Chili, la république de Bolivia, la république du Perou), exécuté pendant les années 1826–1833, 6(1), 1–37, plates 1–17, Strasbourg.
- MOHAN, R., and KANNUPANDI, T., 1985, Life history of laboratory reared spider crab Doclea ovis (Herbst), Indian Journal of Marine Sciences, 14, 24–30.
- PAULA, J., and CARTAXANA, A., 1991, Complete larval development of the spider crab Stenorhynchus lanceolatus (Brullé, 1837) (Decapoda, Brachyura, Majidae), reared in the laboratory, Crustaceana, 60, 113–122.
- POHLE, G., 1991, Larval development of Canadian Atlantic oregoniid crabs (Brachyura: Majidae), with emphasis on *Hyas coarctatus alutaceus* Brandt, 1851, and a comparison with Atlantic and Pacific conspecifics, *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 69, 2717–2737.
- POHLE, G. W., and MARQUES, F. P. L., 1998, Phylogeny of the Pinnotheridae: larval and

adult evidence, with emphasis on the evolution of gills, *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, **33**, 229–239.

- POHLE, G. W., and MARQUES, F. P. L., 2000, Larval stages of *Paradasygyius depressus* (Bell, 1835) (Brachyura: Majidae) and a phylogenetic hypothesis for 21 genera of Majidae, *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, **113**, 739–760.
- POHLE, G., and TELFORD, M., 1981, Morphology and classification of decapod crustacean larval setae: a scanning electron microscope study of *Dissodactylus crinitichelis* Moreira, 1901 (Brachyura: Pinnotheridae), *Bulletin of Marine Science*, **31**, 736–752.
- POHLE, G., MANTELATTO, F. L. M., NEGREIROS-FRANSOZO, M. L., and FRANSOZO, A., 1999, Larval Decapoda (Brachyura), in D. Boltovskoy (ed.) South Atlantic Zooplankton, Vol. 2 (Leiden: Backhuys Publishers), 1706 pp,.
- RATHBUN, M. J., 1897, A revision of the nomenclature of the Brachyura, *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, **11**, 153–167.
- RATHBUN, M. J., 1914, Stalked-eyed crustaceans collected at the Monte Bello Islands, Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 653-664.
- RATHBUN, M. J., 1923, The brachyuran crabs collected by the U.S. Fisheries Steamer 'Albatross' in 1911, chiefly on the west coast of Mexico, *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, **48**, 610–637.
- RICE, A. L., 1980, Crab zoeal morphology and its bearing on the classification of the Brachyura, *Transactions of the Zoological Society of London*, **35**, 271–424.
- RICE, A. L., 1983, Zoeal evidence for brachyuran phylogeny, in F. R. Schram (ed.) Crustacean Phylogeny, Crustacean Issues, Vol. 1A (Rotterdam: Balkema), pp. 313–329.
- RODRIGUEZ, A., 1997, Larval and postlarval development of *Pisa tetraodon* (Pennant, 1777) (Decapoda: Majidae) reared in the laboratory, *Journal of Plankton Research*, **19**(1), 29–41.
- SALMAN, D. S., 1982, Larval development of the spider crab *Eurynome aspera* (Pennant), reared in the laboratory with a key to the known larvae of the subfamily Pisinae (Brachyura, Majidae), *Crustaceana*, 43, 78–88.
- SANDIFER, P. A., and VAN ENGEL, W. A., 1971, Larval development of the spider crab, *Libinia dubia* H. Milne Edwards (Brachyura, Majidae, Pisinae), reared in laboratory culture, *Chesapeake Science*, **12**(1), 18–25.
- SANKOLLI, K. N., and SHENOY, S., 1975, On a new record of a majid crab, Doclea hybrida (Fabricius) from the Maharashtra waters and its life history, Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India, 17, 126–137.
- TERADA, M., 1983, Larval development of four Japanese spider crabs (Brachyura, Majidae, Pisinae), *Proceedings of the Japanese Society of Systematic Zoology*, **25**, 18–30.
- WEAR, R. G., and FIELDER, D. R., 1985, The marine fauna of New Zealand: larvae of the Brachyura (Crustacea, Decapoda), *Memoirs, New Zealand Oceanographic Institute*, 92, 1–90.
- WEBBER, W. R., and WEAR, R. G., 1981, Life history studies on New Zealand Brachyura. 5. Larvae of the family Majidae, New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 15, 331–383.
- YANG, W. T., 1967, A study of zeal, megalopal, and early crab stages of some oxyrhynchus crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda). PhD thesis, University of Miami, 459 pp.
- YANG, W. T., 1976, Studies on the western Atlantic arrow crab genus *Stenorhynchus* (Decapoda, Brachyura, Majidae) I. Larval characters of two species and comparison with other larvae of Inachinae, *Crustaceana*, **31**, 157–177.