and in both lateral branches. Postcervical groove deep and broad across central $1 / 3$ of carapace between metagastric and cardiac regions. Surface covered with stiff, curved setae; smooth between spines except for fine sculpturing on metabranchial regions and branchiostegites.

Rostrum $1 / 2$ carapace length or less, narrow, conical, almost horizontal, slightly upcurved distally; armed with 1 pair of sharp curved lateral spines diverging at $45^{\circ}$ angle from rostral spine approximately $1 / 2$ distance from base. Frontal margin armed with 1 slender spine slightly mesial to antenna. Anterolateral spine large, sharp, diverging at $45^{\circ}$ angle from midline, followed by 1 smaller more divergent spine, and 2 large spines with forward-curving tips set at almost $90^{\circ}$ angle to midline. Posterior margin transversely carinate, but unarmed.

First abdominal tergite smooth, obscure rounded transverse carina anteriorly. Second tergite with 2 pairs of sharp curved spines spaced evenly across central transverse carina. Third and fourth segments each with 3 pairs of spines; central 2 pairs in line with those of second tergite, third pair located laterally. Pleura of second and third tergites narrowed laterally to form sharp spine. Fifth and sixth segments without spines. Curved setae abundant on abdominal segments, particularly from middle of second segnent to middle of fourth segment; posteriorly, setae shorter and fewer, sometimes absent.

Sternum unarmed; intersegmental ridges and depressions distinct.
Eyes colorless, cornea noticeably wider than eyestalk, unarmed, movable; base of cornea with small dorsal and large mesial emarginations and many long setae.

Small sharp spine projecting from plate beneath frontal margin of carapace between eyestalk and bases of antennule and antenna.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with ventrolateral denticulate swelling, dorsal surface of swelling with sharp spine, $l$ or more accessory spinules proximally on larger specimens, lower distal spine larger. Ventromesial angle with sharp spine; mesial argle with spinule. Antennular flagellum extending, by length of third seqment, beyond tip of rostrum.

Basal segment of antenna broad; 1 sharp lateral and ventromesial spine. Second segment with 2 long sharp sfines on distal margin: 1 lateral, 1 ventromesial. Distal margin of third segment with long ventromesial spine, shorter dorsomesial spine, and lateral spinule. Fourch segment with dorsolateral ventrolateral and ventral denticles. Antennal Elagellum approximately 4 times length of carapace, extending beyond chelipeds.

Ischium of endopod of third maxillipeds triangular in cross section; mesial margins serrate, ventral and lateral angles each with sharp distal spine. Ventral margin of merus with 2 long curved spines: dorsal margin with cmaller sharp distal spine.

No epipods on pereiopods.
Chelipeds $21 / 2$ to 3 times carapace length. Dactylus fully $1 / 2$ length of chela; gape at base of fingers variable: wide in large specimens, narrow in small specimens and some Eemales. Fingers toothed along opposing margins; in males distal $1 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ with margins abutting; tips spooned, dentate. Maximum width of chela $1 / 4$ length; manus with 2 , occasionally 3, small teeth on mesial margin; 1 or 2 on dorsolateral margin proximally. Carpus short, less than $1 / 3$ length of chela; 4 sharp spines on distal margins:ldorsomesial, 1 dorsolateral, lateral and 1 ventrolateral; dorsomesial and ventrolatera surfaces each with smaller spine; 3 or 4 teeth on dorsal surface. Merus not quite as long as chela,
with 4 sharp spines at distal angles; dorsal surface with 4 or 5 sharp spines in longitudinal row, often 1 or 2 smaller spines lateral to these distally; 2 or 3 large ventromesial spines and 5 smaller ventrolateral spines. Ischium with 2 spines on ventral projection, distal spine longer; 3 to 6 small teeth or spines on ventral surface, 1 heavy spine on dorsal surface. Surfaces of all pereiopods smooth between spines, with dense covering of long fine stiff setae.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar; dorsal and lateral surfaces densely covered with long, curved setae. Dactylus of second pereiopod reaching distal margin of carpus of cheliped, curved tip brown, corneous, followed by 8 to 12 sharp ventral teeth, decreasing in size proximally, forward edge of each tooth with movable corneous spine. Propodus approximately twice length of dactylus, dorsal surface with row or 3 to 5 small spines; distal margin with 1 spine at dorsolateral edge and 2 small movable spines ventrally; lateral surface with row or 2 to 4 widelyspaced smaller teeth. Dorsal edge of carpus with 4 sharp spines, distal spine largest; 2 or 3 spinules on dorsolateral face, and 1 spinule on lateral surface near distal margin. Merus with 5 or 6 sharp spines dorsally; ventral edge with more, slgihtly smaller spines, most distal epine placed slightly laterally; lateral surface with 4 or 5 spinules in ruw or arranged irregularly; 2 or 3 small spines on ventromesial edge, distinct on second pereiopod, sometimes absent on third and fourch perciopods. Distal margin of ischium with small dorsal tooth, 3 small ventral spines.

Fifth pereiopods with merus setose and with 3 spinules on ventral margin.

Posterolateral margins of protopod of uropod scalloped; posterior lobe with obscure notch, serrate on lateral. side, 1 or 2 denticles mesially.

Telson consisting of 8 plates, narrowing posteriorly; posterior margin in 2 lobes.

Color.--All the specimens in our collection are preserved in alcohol and are chalky white. There are no records of color for this species.

Size. -- The following ranges of size were found based on the material examined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c, c 1.6 .0-18.5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \text { ○, cl. } 7.5-16.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \text { and } \\
& \text { ovigerous ?, cl. } 8.1-16.1 \mathrm{~mm} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Sexual dimorphism.--Perez (1927) noted the ligit fringe of plumose setae on the lateral margins of the telson of females (concrasted to the "comb" of stiff yellow setae present on males). A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897) stated the sexu: Uifferences are tioe same as those of M. spinifer (ostrum a little rore upturned in males, 2 longer and sharper lateral spines) but the chelae in the females are completerly unarmed. These characters were not consistert in a large series of males and females; most large females had denticles on the mesial surface of the manus and the rostrum slistely curved upward.

Habitar. -- The botton type at starions where M. erinaceus was collected varied from pteropod ooze to mud, clay and coral rubile with sponges. The dats did not indicased that the species cccurred on one of these Eypes more than another.

Type.--ó, cl. ?. The location of all of the type material is not known; some of the syntypes are at the MCZ.

Type locality.--Near St. Lucia, BLAKE Sta. 222, 422 fm (approximately $773 \mathrm{~m})$.

Geographic range.--This species is known from the Straits of Florida south to Brazil, from the Gulf of Mexico and from the Caribbean Sea. Previous western Atlantic records include: Caribbean Sea: Nevis, Fredericksted (St. Croix), St. Vincent, St. Lucia (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1897: 68); Pernambuco, Brazil (Henderson, 1888: 149); north coast of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 90-91); and northwest Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 147).

Bathymetric range.--The possible depth range for material in this collection is $311-1574 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range is $311-827$, which falls within the previously recorded range of 276-1016 m.

Parasites.--Two male specimens and a female specimen from P-1355, and 1 male from P-394, each had 2 specimens of Cyphosaccus cornutus Reinhard, 1958 attached to ventral surfaces of the abdomen. A male from P-753 was the host of 3 specimens of this rhizocephalan parasite (family Peltogastridae), as well as an isopod Pseudione sp., probably new, (family Bopyridae) in the branchial cavity. Pseudione were also found parasitizing 6 males and 3 females from P-923, and 1 female from P-776. Reinhard (1958) described the type of Cyphosaccus cornutus from material provided by a female specimen of $\underline{M}$. erinaceus reported by Chace (1942) as having "abdominal parasites.v"

No other records of parasitism in this species were found.

Associates.--At 7 or the 25 stations at which M. erinacells was collected, Munidonsis polita was also taken in the same sample, and $M$. riveroi was collected with $M$. erinaceus at 5 stations. The indices of affinity between $\underline{M}$. erinacelis and these species, basei on these data, are 0.34 and 0.32, respectively.

Relationshins.--Munidopsis erinaceus is superficially similar to the less common $M$. spinifer, also from the western Atlantic. The two can be separated easily, however, by the unarmed posterior margin of the carapace, 2 pairs of gastric spines and the absence of medial abdoninal spines on M. erinaceus, as opposed to the prasence of 3 to 5 pairs of posterior carapacial spines, 3 pairs of gastric spines and mesial spines on the abdominal segments of $M$. spinifer.

The :elarionship of these 2 species to che Paciflc species is paesented in the discussion of the relationships of $M$. spinifer.

Remarks.--Specimens of $M$ ertnaceus show individual variations: e.g., there may be either 2 or 3 spinules on the dorsal margin of the merus of the thi:d maxilliped, extra spines may be present on the branchiai regions ce the carapace and occasionaliy a spine is found on the plouronal margin of the fourth abdominal segment.

Correct soml!ing of the species name. --The species name erinaceus is from latin, meaning 'hedgenog." Since the name is a noun in apposition, its endiry does not change with the gender of the goneric name; thus, Henderson and others were incorrect in their spelling, and erinaceus is correct.

Munidopsis geyeri Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970
Figures 20, 21

Munidopsis geyeri Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 139 (key), 149-151, figs. $5-1,5-9,5-10$, table 5-2; 1971: 5 (key), 19.

Material examined.--Gonave Bay, Haiti: $P-1180,3111-3496 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 21.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, 1 ¢, $27.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ juvenile, 19.9 mm (gonopores not developed, pleopods not modified), UMML 32:5246.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum unarmed, with upward flexure distally; gastric ragion of carapace with only 1 pair of distinct spines; frontal margin with post-antennal spine; posterior margin of carapace and abdominal tergites unarmed; eyestalks with dorsomesial spine; epipods on cheliped but not on ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace slightly longer than broad (cw/c1-0.82-0.87); transversely convex; lateral margins nearly parallel. Central portion, anterior and posterior lateral branches of cervical groove well-defined. Transverse, straight postcervical groove separating cardiac and metagastric regions on medial third of carapace, extending posteromedially we branchiocardiac grooves, but not reaching smootli posierior narginal groove. Anterior gastric region with only 1 pair of diatinct conical spines; remainder of gastric region with large rafsed squamae; squamae arranged somewhat symerrically with anterior margin spinulate; smaller squamae on epibranchial region between lateral branches of cervical groove; posterior half of carapace with squamae transversely expanded to form interrupted rugae; occasionaldy complete rugae across cardiac region; evenly-spaced, short setae in continuous row along forward edge of


Figure 20. --Munidopsis geyeri Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970, $\mathcal{T}$, cl. $19.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-11 \overline{80}$, dorsal view, setae showt on left side only.


Figure 21. --Munidopsis geyeri Pequegant and Pequegnat, 1970, $\wp^{\prime}, c 1$. $19.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-11 \overline{80}$ : $\underset{a}{ }$, lateral view, setae omitted; $\underline{b}$, right antennular peduncle; $\underline{c}$, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson; $\underline{d}$, endopod of right third maxilliped. Scales in mm.
sculpturing; central seta of row often larger. Posterior marginal rim with spinulate crest on forward edge and additional transverse ruga posterior to it. Rostrum broad, tapering to triangle, length from base口f eyestalks approximately $1 / 2$ maximum carapace width, nearly horizontal with smooth upward flexure distally; med :olongitudinal carina distirct dorsally with small squamae more distinct proximally; area between carina and marginal rim of rostrum with larger flattened tubercles; many simall teeth on lateral margin of rostrum beyond eves; ventral surface smooth. Frontal margin with sharp post-antennal spine and small spinulate ridge lateral to tase of spine; margin spinulate mesial to small anterolateral spine. Largest marginal tooth originating just hehird antericr branch of cervical groove; smaller tooth posterior to this; lateral margins irregular due to carapacial sculpturing, but no other distinct spines present except for small curved tooth just behind poscerior branch of cervical groove.

Abdomen unarmed; second, third and fourth abdominal segments similar, each wa 2 puctate transverse carinae rising behind smooth ancaricr part of sesment, separated by transverse furrow across tergite; small rounded tubercles in depressions with setae, anterior carina on each segment extending nearly to lateral margin of pleuron and merging with large rounded tubercles; posterior carina extending across tergite only, with very siight wedian projection; fifth and sixth plates without transverse ridges, but with regular short setae projecting from posterior edges of scattered obscure squanae. Broad mejian lobe on postericr margin of sixth segment not projected; saaller lobe on each side. Sternum unatmed; anterior margias between insercon of chelipeds serrate; several low tubercles with setae on surface of sternite between
chelipeds; intersegmental transverse depressions and ridges distinct. Eyes colorless, practically immovable, armed on dorsomesial edge with large, sharp, conical spine projecting anterolaterally from eyestalk beyond cornea; minute denticles with setae projecting from base of denticle on mesial surface of spine; of ten several small tubercles with short setae on dorsal and lateral margins of eyestalk near base of cornea.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with tuberculate lateral inflation; 2 sharp distolateral spines, ventral spine slightly heavier, longer; ventromesial part of distal margin slightly projected, denticulate. Setae of extended flagellum barely reaching tip of rostrum.

Basal segment of antenna broad, with sharp triangular projection ventromesially and broader denticulate lobe projected ventrolaterally. Second segment with sharp conical spine on distolateral margin; smaller lobe with apical denticles just mesial to this; mesial surface with sharp tubercles, forming small ventromesial projection distally. Third segment with small groups of denticles around distal margin, usually larger denticle in lateral and mesial groups; 2 tubercles on each of dorsal, mesial and lateral surfaces of segment; dorsolateral margin of distal segment with apically denticulate projection; dorsomesial marrin with distal row of denticles, smaller group of denticles ventrolaterally. Flagellum long, approximately 4 times carapace length.

Merus of endopod of third maxilliped armed with several irregular teeth on ventral margin, 2 usually larger than others, small tooth dorsolaterally on distal margin. Ventral carina of ischium terminating in small tooth distally; mesial carina serrated; small spine on distal dorsolateral margin.

Pereiopods evenly sculptured with tubercles, projections and spines; setae associated with most sculpturing. Epipods on chelipeds, but not on ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds, measured from ischial fracture, approximately l L/2 times carapace length, or nearly equal to total carapace length including rostrum. Width of chela from $1 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ length of chela, lateral margin with slight indentation between palm ant fixed finger, giving claw curved appearance. Length of dactylus alightly less than $1 / 2$ length of propodus; tips of dactylus and propodus spooned, dentate; teeth continuing but becoming obscure proximally along upper abutting margins; very narrow gape between proximal halves of fingers. Mesial and lateral edges of flattened palm with several flat:.ened tubercles with setae on anterior denticulate margins; dorsal sutface with evenly-spaced groups of short setae, but chela otherwise unarmed. Carpus approximately l/3 length of chela; distal margin with 4 distinct spines: lateral, l dorsolateral, 1 mesially adjacent to propodal articulation, and 1 mesial; occasionally a sharp dorsonesial spine or larger tubercle well belind mesial spine; dorsal surface with many small flattened anteriorly denticulate tubercles and associated setae; ventral surface relatively smooth. Merus shorter than chela; sharp spine at each of 4 distal angles; corsal spine followed by longicudinal row of several, usually 4 spines, most distal spine largest, others decreasing in size proxirally; squamose tubercles on dorsolateral surfaces best developed, becoming obscure pro:imally. Ischium with prominent dorsal spine, typical vantral projection, small ventrolateral tooch distally, and scattered cubercles principally on laterat surfaces.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Dactylus of second pereiopod reaching beyond cheliped; dactyli of each of following legs reaching distal margin of propodus of preceding leg. Dactylus with corneous brown curved tip, unarmed except for low swellings on dorsal surface distally and ventral row of approximately 13 denticles, each with corneous spinule projecting from anterior edge. Propodus approximately twice length of dactylus; several raised longitudinal rows of small tubercles on all but ventral surfaces, dorsal and mesial ridges mosé distinct; indentations between rows relatively smooth; several proximal spines in mesial row: usually 2 on second pereiopod, 1 on third and 1 or none on fourth, but number variable; mesial ridge also with even fringe of plumose setae associated with sculpturing. Carpus less than $1 / 2$ length of propodus; large sharp spine at dorsomesial angle of distal margin, usually followed by row of 3 smaller spines with smallest spine in middle; smaller spine lateral to large spine on distal margin and, slightly lateral to this, distinct ridge of tubercles; lateral surface with denticulate flattened tubercles, ventral surface relatively smooth except for small tooth on distal margin. Merus slightly longer than propodus, with large sharp dorsomesial spine on distal margin; lateral spine similar on second pereiopod, smaller on third and still smaller on fourth; dorsomesial ridge with 6 or 7 prominent spine decreasing in size proximally; dorsolateral surface with flattened tubercles and setae: 2 indisitnct rows of such tubercles on ventral surface. Ischium with blunt dorsal tooth on second pareiopod; third and fourth with projection only.

Fifth pereiopod with merus expanded, sculptured laterally with low tubercles and several small blunt teeth on ventral margin, medial tooth
conspicuous.
Protopod of uropod with posterolateral margin in 3 lobes; posterior lobe with group of denticles and 2 sharp teeth separatad by notch; posterior surface with 1 or 2 flattened squamae, posterior edge denticulate, with setae (as in carapacial sculpturing).

Telson consisting of 8 plates; telson and uropods with squamose tubercles with setae scattered over sur Eaces; exposed posterior aieas on endopod with several short calcified setae.

Color.--The specimens examined are preserved in alcohol and are chalky white; setae are pale golden. There are no color records for this species.

Size.--Specimens in this collection had the following sizes:
$c^{\prime}, \mathrm{cl} .21 .8 \mathrm{~mm}$,
O, cl. 27.1 mm, and l juvenile, cl. 19.1 mm.
No ovigerous females have been collected.
The male holotype is the only other srecimen whose size (cl. 17 mn) has been reported to date.

Sexuc: dimorphism.--There are no oovious differences between the male and Eemale specimens. The male specimen (cl. 21.8 mm ) has the laceral margins of the telson with a distinct but not dense fringe $o$ setae which is lacking in the large female ard in the juvenile. The rostrum ci the male is curved upward to a slightly greazer degree than that of the Eemale.

Habitat. - The bottum at $\mathrm{P}-1180$ in Gonave Bay corsisted of yellow clay mud with vegetable debris and roten logs.

Type.--The holotype is a male with cl. 17 mm ; USial 128812.

Type locality.--SW Gulf of Mexico, ALAMINOS Sta. 69-A-11-92, $23^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $95^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W} ; 2928-3001 \mathrm{~m}$.

Geographic range.--This species is known from the western Atlantic in the NW Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea. In addition to the new location near Haiti listed herein and the type locality, it has been collected in the Colombian Basin and south of Jamaica by the ALAMIiOS (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 19).

Bathymetric range.--Calculated depth range for this species is 2790-4151 m. Possible depth range is $2650-4151 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--There is no external evidence of branchial or abdominal parasites in any of the specimens examined, and no records of parasitism exist. All specimens examined have microscopic filamentous epizoans, possibly fungi, but as yet unidentified, attached to body surfaces, appendages and setae. These are less than 0.5 mm in length and approximately 0.01 mm in diameter. The gastric mill of one specimen was filled with fibers, some of them straight, some curved into a circle. The length of some longer fibers which appeared to ce complete is approximately 4 or 5 mm ; their diameter is less than 0.03 mm .

Associateg.- Munidopsis livida was collected at P-1180 along with M. geveri. The ALAMINOS collected these two species rogether at the station south of Jamaica, and M. colombiana, M. crassa, M. sundi and M. reynoldsi with M. geyeri in the Colombian Basin.

Relationships.--Munidopsis geyeri is quite similar to the specimen of M. Subsquamosa llenderson from the Jestern Pacific as described and illustrated in the literature. The characteristics mentioned by Pequegnat. and Pequegnat (1970: 150) as distinguishing M. geveri from M. Subsquamosa (with movable eyes, punctations on the abdominal somites and oniy 3 denciculate spines on the merus of the third masilliped of the latter) are not sufficient to separate these species. Henderson (1888: 152-153) described the eyes as possessing "but slight mobility" and the merus of the third maxilliped as being armed on the inner margin with a series o ${ }^{2}$ short irregular teeth; the illustration of the chird maxilliped (pl. XVII, 4a) shows at least 4 teeth on this margin. The western Pacific species, however, dces appear to have a few more spines on the gastric region of the carapace and on the pereiopods, and to have the rostrum nore nearly horizontal. Thus there is some question as to the distinctness of in. geveri from M. subsquamosa and it is possible that the former will prove to be a subspecies of the latter. Until more naterial OF both species is available, it seems best to use the name M. ayeri for the West Indian material.

Among western Atlantic species, M. geveri. is most closely related to M. crassa, but can be distinguished from it easily by the ereater number of dorsal and lateral spines on the catapace of $M$. crassa. It appear that $\mathbb{M}$. exactly, the same manner as $\underline{M}$. subsquamosa Henderson differs from $\mathbb{M}$. substiamosa aculeata Henderson (the latter was raised to species rank by Benedict, lyo2). Thus the wesrern Atlantis species M. crassa and M. qayeri are somewnat analogous to the weste:n Pacific species,
M. aculeata and $\underline{M}$. subsquamosa, respectively; the exact relationship of the species of each pair remains to be determined. It should be emphasized that there is no question that $M$. geyeri is distinct from $M$. crassa. (See relationships of $M$. crassa for further discussion.)

## Munidopsis gilli Benedict, 1902

Figure 22

Munidopsis gilli Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 283-284, 320 (list), fig. 27.
--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 176 (1ist), 177 (table).--Chace, 1942:
72 (key).--Pequgnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 138 (key); 1971: 4 (key).

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: P-634, $1638-1757 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l}$ ovigerous ¢, damaged, cl. approximately 25 mm , (USNM).

Diagnosis.--Rostrum carinate, upturned distally, armed at end of broad horizontal portion with 1 pair of divergent spines; gastric region of carapace with 1 pair of large blunt spines anteriorly, and 1 large central spine; several groups of smaller zounded protuberances arranged symmetrically over surface of carapace; frontal margin without distinct spine; posterior margin armed with geveral tubercles; second, third and fourth abdominal tergites each armed with large blunt median tooth and several smaller protuberances laterally; eyes unarmed; epipods on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace slightly longer than broad, transversely convex; gastric region slightly inflated, armed with 3 large spiniform tubercles spaced equidistant: anterior pair behind and mesial to antennae, third spine on midline; several pairs of smaller tubercles arranged symetrically over gastric region and carapace; surface slightly inflated around base of each protuberance. Cervical groove distinct with anterior and posterior lateral branches shallower than postcervical groove becween metagastric and cardiac regions; inflation behird each groove with small protuberance on either side of midline; cardiac protuberances spiniform,


Figure 22. --Munidopsis gilli Benedict, 1902, oveigerous $9, c l .25 .0$ mm , damaged: $\underline{a}$, dorsal view, setae not shown: b, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson, setae shown only on posterior margins of tailfan; $c, r i g h t ~ f o u r t h ~ p e r e i o p o d, ~ s e t a e ~ o m i t t e d ; ~ d, ~ l e f t ~ a n t e n n u-~$ lar peduncle, ventrolateral $\forall i e w ;$ e, right third maxilliped. Scales in mm .
followed by pair of smaller tubercles. Metabranchial regions with many tubercles of various sizes. Short, fine setae arranged over most dorsal and exposed surfaces of carapace, abdomen and appendages. Rostrum approximately $1 / 3$ length of carapace, broad at base, tapering distally, upturned distally, armed with pair of divergent lateral teeth at end of horizontal portion approximately $1 / 3$ distance from distal end, triangular in corss section at that point; dorsal surface with several cubercles on each side of rounded medial carina. Frontal margin with roughened angle posterior to antennae, but no well-formed tooth; margin concave between slight projection and broad anterolateral tooth. Lateral margins irregular: 2 projections between notches at lateral termination of anterior and posterior branches of cervical groove; tubercular projection behind posterior indentation. Ridge with several pairs of tubercles bordering carapacial margin.

Abdomen with large blunt central spine and 3 small lateral tubercles on second, third and fourth tergites; fifth and sixth tergites unarmed except for small lateral granules.

Sternum unarmed; intersegmental ridges and grooves distinct.
Eyes missing on material examined: corneae appearing small on roughened eyestalks in drawing of type specimen (Benedict, 1902, fif. 27).

Bifurcate conical protuberance beneath frontal margin emerging from intersection of bases of antennule, antenna and eyestalk.

Easal segment of antennular peduncle inflated, 2 distolateral spines, most distal spine broader at base, distal margin dentate.

Basal segment of antenna broad with lateral triangular tcoth and broad, blunt ventromesial spine. Second segment with blunt lateral spine and 2 ventromesial projections distally. Third segment with
distal margin obscurely dentate. Distal segment with 3 dentate lobes distally: 1 dorsolateral, 1 dorsomesial, and 1 ventral. Flagella not present on material examined.

Merus of endopod of third maxilliped armed with 1 distinct dorsolateral tooth near distal margin, other very small teeth along dorsal margin; 2 sharp conical teeth on mesial ventral margin, small tooth just distal to second tooth, several other small tubercular teeth on ventrolateral surface. Ischium with ventral and dorsolateral carinae each terminating in broad tooth; mesial margin with corneous denticles.

Pereiopods with spiniform, denticulate and blunt tubercles arranged over surfaces, usually widely spaced in irregular rows. Epipods on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds less than $1 / 2$ times carapace length; maximum length of dactylus more than $1 / 2$ length of propodus; fixed finger with slight lateral bend at base; opposing margins of fingers toothed, abuting along eatire length; tips spooned, dentate; chela without major spines but with many distinct tubercles; length of chela approximately 3 times maximum width. Carpus less than $1 / 3$ length of manus; small conical tuoth at distolateral angle; lateral margins and dorsomesial surface with several distinct tubercles. Merus not as long as propodus; distal margin with sharp mesial and ventromesial tooth, tuberculate lateral projection adjacent to rounded protuberance distally; dorsal transverse ridge near distal margin dentate; distinct dorsal row of approximately 6 larger tubercles; ventromesial margin with 2 large spiniform tubercles. Ischium with dentate dorsal prcjection.

Second, third and fourth $\ddot{\text { pereiopods }}$ similar; surfaces tuberculate. Dactylus with corneous brown tip followed on ventral margin by row of
as many as 14 teeth, each armed on anterior edge with corneous spinule; dactylus more than $1 / 2$ length of propodus. Propodus with several irregular rows of distinct tubercles, but no major spines. Carpus with 4 spiniform tubercles on dorsal edge. Merus with dentate triangular projection on dorsal margin distally, smooth protuberance between this and smaller ventrolateral lobe; dorsal edge slightly expanded, with row of denticulate tubercles; mesial surface flattened, with fewer tubercles.

Fifth pereiopos with merus expanded, tuberculate on outer surface . and on ventral margin.

Protopod of uropod with posterior margin notched, denticles on either side of notch larger mesially. Exopod smooth, endopod with several granules on exposed dorsal surface.

Telson with 1 small tubercle in center of each anterolateral plate, 3 or 4 in longitudinal row on each lateral plate and several granules in row on posterior plates; telson consisting of total of 10 plates.

Color. - The specimen examined is preserved in alcohol and is chalky white. There is no mention in the literature of coloration in this species.

Size, - - ${ }^{\text {G }}$, holotype, cl. 24 mm , ovigerous $\ell$, material examined, c1. 25 mm .

Sexual dimorphism.--Only the female of this species was examined; the lateral margins of the telson have no thick setae, and the opposing margins of the fingers of the cheliped are abutting along their entire length.
llabitat.--The bottom at PILLSBURY Sta. 634 was characterized as mud with large rocks.

Type.--The holotype is a male, cl. 24 mm , USNM 20562.

Type locality.--Off Bahama Islands, ALBATROSS Sta. 2629, 2139 m .

Geographic range.- Munidopsis gilli has been collected only from the western North Atlantic east of Florida. The specimen reported herein is the first to be recorded since the type.

Parasites. - The PILLSBURY specimen shows no external evidence of parasitism; no parasites were reported for the type.

Associates.--The specimen was the only galatheid crustacean in the sample.

Relationships.- Munidopsis gilli appears to be closely related to 3 pecies from the western Atlantic: M. bradleyi Pequegnat and Pequegnat, M. cubensis Chace, and M. expansa Benedict. It differs from all these in having only 3 major spines on the gastric region of the carapace. It can be distinguished further from M. bradleyi by the presence of epipods on the first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs, by the shorter, broader chelipeus, the more strongly upturned rostrum, and by having a single median spine in the second, third and fourth abdominal segments. The upturned rostrum and the ariangement of epipods is the same as thuse in M. cubensis, bit M. cubensis has smaller, sharper lateral and gastric spines which are more forwardly directed, has the carapace more transvarsely rugose, with fewer prominent protuberances, and lacks a distinct median spine on the fourth abdominal tergite. Munidopsis expansa differs from M. gilli in general appearance, sculpturing and shape of the carapace, and has no epipods on the second pair of ambulatory legs. N. gilli also tears some resemblance to $M$. came:us (Ortmann) from Japan, but the latter species
has 5 distinct gastric spines, paired abdominal spine, and longer chelipeds. Munidopsis trifida Henderson from the IndoPacific may be in this species complex, but it lacks epipods on all pereiopods and has the abdomen devoid of spine:s.

Remarks.--The female Munidopsis gilli taken by the PILLSBURY is badly damaged with parts of the specimens missing, but there is no difficulty in determining its i.dentity. This specimen, with its one egg, constitutes the first record of a female of the species.

Munidopsis granulens Mayo, 1972
Figures 23, 24

Munidopsis granulens Mayo, 1972: 531-534, figs. 3, 4.

Material examined.--Northwest Caribbean Sea, Arrowsmith Bank: P-584, 347$353 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \sigma^{\circ}$, holotype, 6.2 mrn, USNM 140190.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum spade-shaped, constricted between eyes, fused to granular overgrowths covering mesial part of corneae; carapace densely granulate, with pair of anterior gastric protuberances; frontal margin with triangular post-antennal projection; abdominal segments and posterior margin of carapace granulate but unarmed; epipods on chelipeds and first pair of ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace, measured from base of eyes, slightly longer than broad, generally quadrangular; dorsal surface densely granulate; deep grooves separating distinct areas of carapace; gastric, cardiac, mescbranchial and lateral part of metabranchial regions inflated; posterior margin of carapace swollen; gastric region with pair of protuherances posterior to and in line with eyes. Rostrum spade-shaped; lateral margins concave in proximal half between eyes, tapering to apex from widest point at distal margin of cornea; distolateral margins of rostrum also concave; shallow longitudinal depression in midline of rostral projection, posterior extension bifurcated by irregular row of granules forming obscure carina; depression or carina not extending to gastric protuberances. Fruntal margin between rostrum and anterolateral angle of carapace indistinct due to contiguous granulay overgrowth on eyestalk; front transverse between triangular projection behind antenna and ai.cerolateral angle.


Figure 23. --Munidopsis granulens Mayo, 1972, O゙, c1. $6.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-584$ (holotype): $\underset{a}{ }$, dorsal view; $\underline{b}$, thoracic sternites, ventrolateral view, setae shown on left side only.


Figure 24. --Munidopsis granulens Mayo, 1972, or, cl. $6.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-584$ (holotype): a, carapace and abdomen, lateral view; $\underline{b}$, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson; $c$, right antennule, basal part of antenna and anterior carapace, ventrolateral view; $\underline{d}$, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view. Scales in mm.

Lateral margins nearly stright except for convexities at swollen hepatic and branchial regions. Posterior margin slightly convex with small medial indentation.

First abdominal tergite smooth with low ridge, barely visible in dorsal view. All other abdominal segments, telson, and uropods with dorsal surfaces granulate. Second and third segments with promi.tent transverse carina densely granulate; third, fourth and fifth segments with medial sculpturing increasingly elaborate posteriorly; sixth tergite with sculpturings and swellings, but without distinct transverse ridge; several pairs of obscure setae distributed over surface of abdonien.

Thoracic sternum unarmed, smooth except for several granules on each side of segment bearing chelipeds; intersegmental ridges distinct, with anteriorly-directed setae.

Eyes colorless, small; granulate overgrowths covering posteromesial part of corneat dorsally and ventrally.

Zasal segment of antennular peduncle ornate; entire surface granulate except smooth area adjacent to anteroventral extension of base of antenna; dorsal projection with 5 spines: posterior 1 or 2 small, other 3 or 4 slightly curved, with additional small spines between distal 2; granulate distal projection extending from swollen base just mesial to dorsal projection; another shorter projection ventrally bearing distal segments of antennule.

Easal segment of antennal peduncle with large granulate projection ventrally, extending almost to base of antennal flagellum; small blunt lateral projection. Second segment with several granules forming distolateral projection. Third segment with granules on distal margin. Last segment small. Antennal flagellum almost reaching to articulation of
merus and carpus of cheliped.
Exopod of maxilliped with granulation on dorsolateral surface of long second segment. Endopod granulose, several rounded tooth-like projections on dorsal and ventral margins near distal ends of ischium and merus. Large rounded tooth dorsally near base of carpus projecting anteriorly. Propodus and dactylus smooth with long setae on mesial borders. Distal 3 segments flexed against concave mesial surfaces of ischium and merus. Ischium with sharp toothed carina on mesial margin.

Pereiopods granulose on all surfaces. Epipods on chelipeds and first pair of ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds more than 3 times length of carapace, without wide gape. Manus slightly compressed dorsoventrally. Dactylus less than $1 / 3$ length of propodus including fixed finger. Carpus about $1 / 2$ length of propodus. Merus slightly shorter than entire propodus; several larger tubercles on dorsal surface near proximal end.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Second pair when extended not reaching distal end of merus of cheliped. Dactylus with corneous brown tip; row of minute corneous spinules on ventral margin. Merus with several large tubercles or raised groups of granules on dorsal border. Dactylus and carpus about $1 / 2$ length of propodus and ischium.

Fifth pereiopods with granulation on lateral surface of merus.
Telson and uropods with granules on dorsal surfaces. Telson and protopod of uropod sculptured, with several swellings. Telson ovate, bronder than long, with medial indentation on posterior margin. Plumose setiae on teison and uropods posteiciorly.
, *
Color.--The holotype is preserved in alcohol and is chalky white except
for golden setae and the pale brown corneous tips on dactyli of ambulatory legs.

Size.--The holotype is small, with a carapace length of only 6.2 mm .

Sexual dimorphism. -- The male lacks the gape between the fingers of the cheliped and the tuft or "comb" of thick setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson characteristic of males of many species. It is not known whether this individual is fully mature; however, the pleopods appear to be modified completely in the male condition. No females have been collected thus far.

Rabitat.--The bottom at P-584 was characterized by the presence of sponges.

Type.--The male holotype is deposited in the National Museum of Natural History, USNM 140190.

Ty locality.--PILLSBUKY Sta. 584, Arrowsmith Bank in the northwestern Caribbean Sea, 347-353m.

Geographic and bathymetric distribution.--This species is known thus far only from the type locality.

Parasites.--There is no evidence of branchial or abdominal parasites on the specimen.

Associates.--Munidonsis granulens was the only species of Munidopsis collected at P-584.

Relationships.--Munidopsis granulens is distinguished from all other
species in the genus by the following combination or characters: carapace evenly granulate, eyes with granular overgrowths at the base of the corne, but without spines; chelipeds approximately 3 times length of carapace, epipods on chelipeds and first pair of ambulatory legs, and abdumen granulose and sculptured. M. squamosa (A. Milne Edwards) appears to be its closest relative in the western Atlantic, but that species has many large protuberances on the carapace, a mesial projection on the eyes, chelipeds less than twice the carapace fength, and epipods on the second pair of ambulatory legs. M. granulata Miyake and Baba and M. granosa Alcock from Indopacific waters resemble this species in some features, but both lack epipods on the chelipeds and ambulatory legs, and neither have decoration on the eyes.
M. abdominalis (A. Milne Edwards), also from the western Atlantic, bears some resemblance to $M$. granulens, particularly in the rectangular shape of the carapace and granular sculpturing, but the former species has a long sharp rostrum, no epipods on the pereiopods, and smooth abdominal tergites.

## Munidopsis impolita, new species

Figures 24A, 24B

Material examined.--Off Yucatan, Mexico: PILLSBURY Sta. 607, 715-787m, $1 \mathrm{c}, 7.4 \mathrm{~mm}($ paratype $), 1 \not \subset, 8.1 \mathrm{~mm}(h o l o t y p e)(U S M M) .-$ Straits of Florida: G-160, $585 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovigerous $9,7.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, umal $32: 5247$.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum short, triangular, bluntly spine-like, unarmed, horizontal; carapace without spines, regions swollen and distinct, anterior gastric region with distinct medial indentation; frontal margin of carapace only slightly projected; small distinct protuberance beneath frontal margin emerging from intersection of bases of eyestalk, antennule and antenna; eyes unarmed except for obscure lateral protuberance near base of eyestalk; no epipods on pereiopods.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad ( $\mathrm{cw} / \mathrm{cl}=0.85-0.87$ ), lateral margins slightly conves, broadest just behind middle; dorsal surface without spines, but regions well-defined; gastric region inflared, defined posteriorly and posterolaterally by smooth, deep cervical groove; anterior branch of groove continuing obliquely forward to lateral margins as shallow depression, more distinctive laterally; posterior branch deeper, extending from depression on efther side of posterior gastric region to lateral mergin. Postcervical groove quite broad and deep centrally, connecting 2 depressions on either side of cardiac ragion and continuing laterally to separate meso- and metabranchial regions. Anterior part of gastric region in 2 prominent swellings, separated by posterior continuation of median rostral carina; front part of swellings sculptured with irregtlar tuberčles followed by transverse striation or


Figure $24 a .-$ Munidopsis 1 impolita, new species. of holotype, c1. 7.4 mm , P-607.


Figure 24b. --Munidopsis impolita, new species. ${ }^{\circ}$, holotype, cl. 7.4 mm , P-607: a, carapace and abdomen, lateral view; b, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view; $c$, right antennular peduncle, ventrolateral view; d, frontal margin of carapace, rostrum, eye, antennule and antenna, dorsal view: e, sternal plate, ventral view; $\underline{f}$, posterior abdominal segments, uropods and telson. Munidopsis polita (Smith, 1883), ó, c1. $7.7 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-923$ : g , sternal plate, ventral view, showing proportionately larger sockets for articulation of basal segment of cheliped.
series of short, beaded striae; sculpturing on remainder of gastric region consisting of irregular transverse rows of minute tubercles; lateral part of gastric region with longitudinal series of coarse, rounded tubercles and 1 tubercle posteromesially; another large tubercle on mesial part of hepatic region lateral to anterior tubercles. Anterior margin of cardiac region elevated, ridge-like; anterior border of metabranchial region similarly ridge-like or appearing as interrupted line of short transverse striae; smooth channel mesial to mesobranchial region leading from posterior depression to anterior depression, and separating distinctive swollen areas posterolateral to gastric region. Sculpturing on hepatic and branchial legions consisting of short rows of minute tubercles on swellings, irregularly transverse or oblique; tubercles well-separated on metabranchial region; several coarse tubercles near posterolateral margins of cardiac region. Rostrum in shape of narrow isosceles triangle, horizontal, more than $1 / 3$ carapact length; dorsal surface smooth on either side of rounded, minutely tuberculate carina, extending posteriorly between and beyond anterior swelling of gastric region; lateral margins of rostrum with smooth rims becoming weakly serrate and obscure distally. Frontal margin with post-antennal lobe obscure; deep depression between frontal margin and inflated hepatic region projecting to form anterolateral angle. Anterolateral angle less than $90^{\circ}$, armed with widely-spaced minutely denticulate tubercles, but not spines. Lateral margin notched behind hepatic region at termination of anterior branch of cervical groove; scoe of lateral tibercles prcjecting outward slightly, but no spines. Poscerior margin slightly concave; raised marginal rim carinate, beaded anteriorly, followed by scattered groups of minute granules.

Second, third and fourth abdominal tergites with raised sharp rim anteriorly, followed on second tergite by shorter less distinctive transverse carina. Posterior part of third and fourth tergites smooth; fifth and sixth tergites smooth. Posterolateral. lobes on sixth tergite obscure.

Sternum unarmed, but with several granules on broad anterior plate between chelipeds; anterior margin and intersegmental ridges beaded, smooth medially; sockets for articulation of basal segments of chelipeds narrow.

Eyes small, movable; cornea barely reaching middle of basal segment of antennular peduncle, narrower than dorsoventrally-compressed eyestalks; eyestalk with small lateral projection near base.

Minutely beaded blunt projection emerging beneath frontal margin from plate at intersection of bases of antennule, antenna and eyestalk.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle enlarged, armed anteriorly with 2 slender spines: dorsolateral spine shorter, more slerier, ventrid spine reaching beyond tip of rostrum; spines well-separated in dorsal view; lateral swelling with scattered tubercles.

Basal segment of antenna with ventromesial projection; distal margin with lateral denticulation, but not projacted. Second and third segments unarmed except for minuce dietolateral twoth on aecond, and beaded dis: tal margin of third. Fourth segment with dorsolateral lobe on distal margin. Antennal flagellum more than 3 times carapace length, reaching well beyond chelipeds.

Endopod of third masilliped with ventral angle of ischium not cristate, terminating distally in several minute denticles; dorsolateral edge sharper with conical distal tooth. "Merus with 2 spinules on proximal
half of flexor margin, and occasionally distal tubercle; lateral surface and extensor margin with several tubercles; small tooth distally.

Pereiopods long and slender, with even sculpturing consisting of tubercles and short beaded swellings. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds approximately 3 to $31 / 2$ times carapace length. Manus dorsoventrally compressed, less than $1 / 2$ length of cheliped; width almost 1/6 length in both male and female specimens examined. Dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus; mesial margin with large blunt tooth proximal$1 y$, followed by series of small teeth. Fingers abutting along entire dorsal opposing margins, spooned distally with larger teeth, gaped ventrally. Palm inflated, with obscure longitudinal depression on dorsomesial surface. Carpus approximately $1 / 3$ length of manus; tubercles coarser mesially; mesial surface slightly flattened. Merus shorter than manus, slightly flexed outward from middle; distal margin with small ventromesial tooth; mesial surface with longitudinal channel. Ischium with small dorsal tooth.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar: long, slender; second, third and sometimes fourth pereiopods reaching beyond carpus of cheliped. Dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus, curved, terminating in very sharp extensive corneous tip, unarmed on flexor margin. Propodus, carpus, merus and ischium unarmed.

Merus of fifth pereiopods lightly sculptured on exposed lateral surface; flexor margin cristate, with several small median projections.

Posterolateral margin of protopod of uropod nearly straight, minutely serrate on either side of small marginal indentation; decoration sometimes obscure except for small posterior projection. Uropods smooth.

Telson consisting of 8 plates, broader than long, narrower posteriorly.

Color.--All specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and were devoid of pigment.

Size. $-\infty^{\circ}$, cl. 7.4 mm ,
ㅇ, cl. 7.2 mun, and
ovigerous $¢$, cl. 6.8 mm .

Sexual dimorphism.--The male has the characteristic fringe of long thick golden setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson; females have only a few short fine setae in this location.

The chelipeds are virtually the same in both male and female specimens examined. It is possible that larger males (as yet not collected) have the chelipeds gaped as in M.polita. In M. impolita, the chelae of the female are not unusually narrow as they are in females and small males of M. polita.

Habitat.--The bottom at $\mathrm{P}-607$ was characterized by rubble, pteropod shells and fine sediments.

Type.--The holotype is a female, cl. 7.2 mm , USNM 000000.

Type locality.--Western Caribbean, off Yucatan, Mexico, PILLSBURY Sta. 607, 715-787m.

Geographic range. - Munidopsis impolita has been collected from only 2 locations in the western Atlantic: from the Straits of Florida and off Yucatan, Mexico. It is unlikelys but possible, that some material
reported as M. polita by Smith (1883: 54) and the Pequegnats (1970:155; 1971: 21) is actually this species.

Bathymetric range.--Possible depth range for M. impolita is $585-787 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range is $585-715 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--There is no external evidence of branchial or abdominal parasites in the material examined.

Associates.--Munidopsis alaminos and M. serratifrons were collected with M. impolita at the type locality.

Relationships.--Munidopsis impolita is almost identical to M. polita (Smith), but varies from it as follows: The rostrum is distinctly carinate dorsally, with the ridge extending posteriorly between 2 swellings on the anterior gastric region. These swellings are more prominent on M. impolita than on M. polita. The post-antennal lobe is less noticeable on the frontal margin and the frontal area is armed instead with a projection beneath the frontal margin lateral to the eyes of $M$. impolita; this projection is not present in M. polita. The anterolateral angles are sharper in M. impolita, although they are unarmed in both species. The nature of the carapacial sculpturing is subtly different in the 2 species: the swellings posterolateral to the gastric region are much more prominent in $M$. Impolita, with the channel separating them from the mesobranchial regions more distinct; the arrangement of larger low tubercles on the lateral margins of the gastric region and mesial part of the metabranchial regions is different, and all tubercles appear more separate and distinct in M. impolita. The articular sockets on the sternite between the chelipeds are narrower in M.ippolita, and the anterior
aded margin of that plate is straighter. The antennular peduncle has the basal segment enlarged in $\underline{M}$. impolita, with the spines widely-spaced, slender and long, reaching beyond the apex of the rostrum, whereas $M$. polita has this segment smaller, with the spines closer together, often overlapping in dorsal view, and not reaching the tip of the rostrum. The male of M. impolita has the chelipeds very similar to those of a male $\mathbb{M}$. polita of comparable size, but the female holotype of $M$. impolita has the chelipeds much broader than females of M. polita. The posterior margin of the protopod of the uropod is different in $\underline{M}$. impolita: the mesial lobe is quite straight, with an obscure notch and serrations, and it is projected further posteriorly, whereas in Molita this lobe is scalloped or rounded.

The relationships of both $\underline{M}$. polita and $\underline{M}$. impolita to other species re discussed in the appropriate section in the account of $\underline{M}$. polita.

## Munidopsis latifrons (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)

Figure 25

Galathodes latifrons A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 57.--A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894b: 279 (key); 1897: 94-96, pl VIII, figs. 2,3.--Young, 1900: 413.

Munidopsis latifrons: Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 321 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 175 (list), 177 (table).--Chace, 1942: 74 (key), 87-88.--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 139 (key), 152-153, table 5-3; 1971: 5 (key).

Not Elasmonotus latifrons Henderson, 1885: 416; 1888: 160, pl. 19, fig. 1 ( $=$ M. latirostris Faxon, 1895). Not Orophorhynchus latifrons A. Nilne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894b: 287 (key) ( $=\underline{M}$. latirostris Faxon, 1895).

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: G-170, $659-677 \mathrm{~m}, 100,9.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5248; G-295, $842-833 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, URML 32:5249; G-311, 805$787 \mathrm{~m}, 2$ ㅇ, $6.0,7.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 2$ ovigerous $\uparrow, 5.5,6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (USNM); G-354, 805$830 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovigerous $¢, 7.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ (RNM). --Caribbean Sea, S of Jamafia: P1262, 805-1089 m, 1 ¢, 5.5 mm UMM 32:5250. See distribution plot 8.

Diagnosis.--Tridentate rostrum; gastric region of carapace unarmed; frontal margin with post-antennal spine; longitudinal series of 4 or 5 sharp spines just above lateral margin behind cervical groove; entire animal covered with curved setae, pairs of longer, thicker setae arranged over carapace, abdomen and legs; second abdominal tergite with 1 pair of medial spines; 1 submarginal spine on second and third pleura; no eyespines; no epipods on chielipeds or ambulatory legs.


Figure 25. --Munidopsis latifrons (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). Ó, cl. $6.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-295$ : a, right cheliped; b, right second pereiopod, setae not shown. Ovigerous $Q, c l .7 .0 \mathrm{~mm}, G-354: ~ c, ~ d o r s a l$ view, setae, except major ones, omitted from left side; d, lateral view, showing right third maxilliped; e, right cheliped, dorsal view.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad ( $\mathrm{cw} / \mathrm{cl}=0.75-0.79$ ), transversely convex; gastric region slightly inflated, unarmed. Cervical groove marked by smooth indentation; postcervical groove and posterior marginal groove deeper across middle of carapace than cervical groove. Carapace and most surfaces covered with heavy curved setae, projecting outward before curving anteriorly. Several pairs of longer setae arranged over dorsal surface, particularly on either side of midline. Rostrum broad at base (approximately $1 / 3$ carapace width), almost horizontal, slightly upcurved from base; lateral margins convex; length approximately $2 / 5$ carapace length, terminating in 3 sharp teeth: central tooth longer, lateral teeth slightly or distinctly divergent. Frontal margin between base of rostrum and anterolateral spine sloping (approximately $45^{\circ}$ to transverse line), with long, sharp post-antennal spine slightly mesial to antenna. Anterolateral spine blunt, followed by 1 to 3 sharp, laterally-projecting spines on lateral margin anterior to cervical groove; 3 to 5 sharp spines behind cervical groove just mesial to lateral margin.

First abdominal tergite smooth. Second tergite with 1 pair of medial spines on central transverse carina; occasionally $l$ or more spines at this location on third tergite; seccad and third segments with 1 sharp submarginal spine on pleuron. All segments densely setose.

Sternum smooth; several setae at anterior margin between chelipeds and several intersegmental setae. Slight indentations between segments, no strong ridges or grooves; longitudinal groove between fourth pereiopods.

Eyes small, movable, partly covered by rostrum.
Sharp conical spine emerging from intersection of bases of eyestalk,
antenna and antennule just below and mesial to post-antennal spine.
Basal segment of antennular peduncle inflated, armed with 2 sharp spines distally; ventral spine slightly heavier and sometimes longer. Distal margin with several denticles ventromesially, and 1 small mesial tooth. Second and third segments short. Proximal margin of flagellum when extended reaching tip of rostrum.

Basal segment of antenna broad, with 1 broad lateral tooth and expanded ventromesial projection terminating in triangular tooth. Second segment with short lateral and mesial teeth distally. Third segment with short mesial spine and dorsal projection or blunt tooth. Fourth segment with distolateral projection. Antennal flagellum approximately 1 1/2 times carapace length.

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped triangular in cross section; mesial margin toothed; distal margin expanded into triangular tooth at dorsal and ventral angle. Merus with small tooth dorsodistally; flexor (ventral) margin with 2 sharp spines, proximal spine slightly broader at base; occasionally small tooth or denticle near distal end.

Pereiopods with short and long curved setae arranged over most surfaces, particularly on chelipeds. No eptpods on pereiopods.

Length of cheliped approximately $21 / 2$ to 3 times length of carapaca. Manus unarmed, approximately $1 / 2$ length of cheliped, broader in middle at articulation of dactylus. Fingers less than $1 / 2$ length of manus. Fixed finger of males arched to form gape proximally, expanded distally forming spooned tip; opposing margins toothed; rounded teeth on proximal $1 / 2$ of dactylus larger. Carpus short, with 3 sharp spines distally: 1 spine at each of dorsomesial, dorsolateral and lateral angles; small tooth at articular knob ventrally. Merus approximately same length as
manus; 4 long spines on distal margin: 1 at each angle; dorsolateral spine followed by 4 sharp spines on proximal half of segment; 2 large spines spaced evenly along mesial surface. Ischium with sharp spine ventromesially just posterior to distal termination and 1 sharp tooth dorsally.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Second pereiopod not reaching distal margin of merus of cheliped. Dactylus less than or equal to $1 / 2$ length of propodus; tip curved, corneous, followed by 6 to 8 corneous spinules on flexor margin. Propodus with distal, dorsolateral and ventromesial denticle, otherwise unarmed. Carpus approximately length of dactylus; dorsal margin expanded, armed with 3 sharp spines. Merus with sharp dorsal and ventral spine on distal margin, followed by 5 sharp spines on both dorsal and ventral margins of second pereiopod, 4 on third and fourth pereiopods; lateral face setose but unarmed on second and third pereiopods; several short spines on exposed sufaces of fourth pereiopod; ventromesial edge of merus of all pereiopods with several short spines. Ischium with several small blunt spines around distal margin.

Merus of fifth pereiopods with 3 sharp triangular teeth on ventral margin.

Protopod of uropods with posterolateral margins scalloped, posterior lobe with scrrations, sometimes obscure, followad by notch and small tooth. Width of telson anteriorly same as length, much narrower postericrly; telson composed of 7 plates (no separate central plate); several pairs of conspicuous thicker setae projecting upivard from surfaces and margins of telson and endopods of uropods.

Color.--Specimens preserved in alcohol are off-white; setae are pale yellow; tips of dactyli are corneous brown. There are no color records for this species in the literature.

Size.--c', cl. 6.5-9.6 mm,
Y, cl. 5.5-7.5 mm, and
ovigerous 9, cl. 5.5-7.0 mm.

Sexual dimorphism.--The chela is broader and gaped in large males, and there is a fringe of short thick setae on the lateral margins of the telson, while the female has opposing margins of the fingers in contact, and no lateral fringe of setae on the telson. The abdomen is slightly broader in mature females.

Habitat.--The bottom at some stations where M. latifrons was taken consisted of coral rubble and sponges.

Tvpe.--The holotype is an ovigerous female, cl. approximately 7 mm ; deposition of this specimen is unknow. The type could not be lucated at the MCZ.

Type locality.--Off Harbados, BLAKE $9 t a .288,730 \mathrm{~m}(399 \mathrm{fm})$.

Gographic range.-Munidopsis latifrons has been collected in the Straits of Florida, in the Caribbean Sea, south of Jamaica, and off Barbados. In addition to the type locality and locations listed for material examined, M. latifrons has been collected near the north coast of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 87).

Bathymetric range.--The calsulated depth range for material in this
collection is $677-833 \mathrm{~m}$; possible range is $659-1089 \mathrm{~m}$. Previously reported possible range was 677-1107 m.

Parasites.--None of the specimens in this collection show external evidence of parasitism; there are no previous records of parasites occurring in this species.

Associates.-Munidopsis latifrons was taken by the GERDA and PILLSBURY at 5 stations; at 4 of these, $M$.serricornis was also collected.

Relationships.--Munidopsis latifrons can be distinguished easily from the other species with tridentate rostra by the armature of the second and third abdominal tergites and several other characters. It is perhaps most closely related to the setose species, M. crinita Faxon from Panama, but differs from that species in lacking gastric spines and having abdominal spines. The pereiopods are spinier than in most species in the complex having tridentate rostra; M. acuminata Benedict is almost as spinose, but differs from M. latifrcns in having epipods on the chelipeds, in addition to characters already mentioned.

Remar:is.--Spination is subject to a degree of individual variation: one specimen has the merus of the cheliped lacking the proximal dorsal spines, one has 1 small gastric spine, another has only 1 of the pair of medial spines on the second abdominal tergite, and another has a spine ca the third tergite with the other spine placed nalf way to the pleuronal margin. The spines on the lateril maigins of the carapace may vary in number, but their lateral projection and the position of the posterior spines above the lateral margins are fairly consistent.

Figures 26, 27

Elasmonotus lividus A. Milne Edwards, in Perrier, 1886: fig. 242, no. 9. Orcphorhynchus lividus: A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894b: 199, 209, 224, 231, 232, 287 (key), fig. 12, 208; 1900: 343-346, pl. IV, fig. 3 (color), pl. XXXI, fig. 17-22.

Munidopsis livida: Benedict, 1902: 322 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 176 (list), 178 (table).--Miyake and Baba, 1970: 94 (líst).--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 6 (key), 19-21, fig. 12 a.

Material examined.--W of Haiti (Gonave Channel): P-1180, 3111-3496m, $4 \sigma^{\prime}, 8.7-12.7 \mathrm{~mm}, 2 ¢, 8.8,14.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ UMML $32: 5251$.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum broad proximally, tapering beyond eyes to apex; nearly horizontal, upcurved distally in large specimens; dorsal surface of carapace unarmed; frontal margin with small post-antennal tooth; anterolateral tooth small; broad tooth behind anterior branch of cervical groove on lateral margin followed by 2 or 3 smaller teeth; posterior margin of carapace and abdomen unarmed; eyes armed with prominent masial and smaller lateral tooth; epipods on chelipecis but not on ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad ( $\mathrm{cw} / \mathrm{cl}=0.86-0.88$ ); dorsal surface tuberculate, rugose laterally, but not armed with spines or distinct pairs of tubercles on gastric region. Central part and antericr branch of cervical groove defining moderately inflated gastric region; posterior branch of cervical groove distinct, extending obliquely co lateral margins; postcervical groove distinct across central third of


Figure 26. --Munidopsis livida (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894), $\%$, c1. $14.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-1180$ : a, lateral view of carapace and abdomen; $\underline{b}$, dorsal view.


Figure 27. --Munidopsis livida (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894), ©', cl. $12.7 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-1180: \mathrm{a}$, rostrum, right antennule, antenna and third maxilliped, ventrolateral view; $\underline{b}$, rostrum, right eye, antennule and antenna, dorsolateral view; $\underline{c}$, sternal..plate, ventral view; d, posterior abdominal segments, uropods and telson.
arapace. Rostrum less than $1 / 2$ but more than $1 / 3$ carapace length, broad; width at base approximately $1 / 3$ anterior carapace width; lateral margins subparallel or slightly concave, smooth in proximal $1 / 4$ or $1 / 3$, serrate distally, tapering from just beyond eyes to acute tip; dorsal surface with rounded longitudinal carina extending frum apex to anterior gastric region; ventral surface almost smooth with faint median carina; rostrum nearly horizontal or gently flexed downard with distal upcurve. Frontal margin with small triangular post-antennal tooth; anterolateral tooth small or obscure, followed by notch at lateral termination of anterior branch of cervical groove; large sharp triangular tooth lateral to notch, followed by 2 or 3 small teeth on lateral margin, decreasing in size posteriorly; small tooth or tubercle just behind lateral termination of posterior branch of cervical groove. Posterior margin unarmed; raised rim slightly concave.

Abdomen unarmed, exposed surface punctate; second and third tergites each with 2 iransverse carinae; anterior carina sharper, extending almost to pleuronal margins, blunt on pleuron; posterior carina rounded, not reaching to pleuronal margins. Anterior swelling discernible on fourth ( tergite, posterior one obscure. Fifth and sixth tergites smooth.

Sternum with anterior margins and intersegnental striae minutely denticulate; plate between chelipeds with scattered denticulate squamae, less distinct squamae on posterior sternites.

Eyes small, immovable eyestalk with mesial denticulate, often bifid anterior projection extending beyond cornea, and with shorter lateral projection.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle enlarged; lateral swelling tu$\checkmark$ berculate anteriorly; 2 dorsolateral teeth, most ventral tooth longer,
broader; distal margin serrate ventromesially, with small mesial or dorsomesial tooth. Extended flagellum reaching beyond tip of rostrum.

Basal segment of antenna with denticulate triangular lateral tooth and long sharp ventromesial tooth. Distal margin of second segment with conical lateral tooth, occasionally small mesial tooth or denticle. Third segment elongate; distal margin denticulate, with mesial projection. Fourth segment with distolateral projection and distal margin denticulate. Antennal flagellum 1 to 2 times total earapace length, reaching well beyond chelipeds.

Endopod of third maxilliped slender; ischium unarmed except for serrate mesial edge. Merus with flexor margin denticulate but with no prominent teeth; lateral extensor margin with several obscure tubercles along distal part.

Epipods on chelipeds but not on ambulatory legs.
Chelipeds short, approximately same length as carapace or very slightly longer. Manus broad (width approxinately $1 / 2$ length), dorsoventrally compressed; length less than $1 / 2$ cheliped length; dorsal surface tuberculate, with short setae; tubercles on mesial and lateral surfaces expanded to denticulate squamae with longer associated setae; mesial margin expended slightly, with longitudinal depression laterally. Dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus. Fingers abutting along bluntly toothed cpposing margins, or with narrow gape; teeth larger at spooned tips; fingers gaped ventrally and armed with large tufts of setae distally; distolateral margin of fixed firger expanded into row of small teeth. Carpus short, distal margin irregularly denciculate, small tooth at dorsal articulation; dorsomesial edge expanded, terminating in small tooth on distal margin; dorsal surface flattened, squamose; squamae
larger laterally. Merus approximately same length as manus, subtriangular in cross section; surfaces squanose or tuberculate; dorsal angle sharp, cristate proximally. Ischium cristate dorsally, with minutc tooth near distal margin.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Dactylus of second pereiopod reaching beyond cheliped. Dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ length of proi approximately 10 triangular teeth diminishing in size proximally, each armed on anterior edge with slender curved corneous spinule. Propodus with extensor margin expanded, cristate, denticuiate; mesial surface with longitudinal depcession; lateral surface with 2 lungitudinal swellings: most dorsal distinct, tuberculate; ventral surface rounded with 2 movable spinules on distal margin separated by notch. Carpus approximately same length as dactylus; extensor margin expanded into ridge and armed with denticulate tubercles, terminating in transverse denticulate crest on distal margin; lateral surface with narrow denticulate ridge; ventrolateral surface with irregular row of large squamae. Nerus approxinately twice length of carpus in second pereiopod; length of merus proportionately shorter in third and fourth pereiopods; extensor margin expanded, cristate, crest sharper proximally, terminating distally in denticulate triangle on second perelopod, tooth-like and more prominent on third and fourth pereiopods; lateral surfaces squamose with ventral triangle on distal margin.

Exposed surface of merus of fifth pereiopods lightly sculptured, expanded centrally, with several denticles on cristate flexor margin.

Protopod of uropod with posterior lateral lche notched; several denticles lateral to notch, 2 or 3 salall teeth or 1 bifid tooth mesial to
notch. Exposed surface of endopod punctate with longitudinal series of spinules.

Telson slightly broader than long; generally rectangular, smooth, punctate, consisting of 8 plates; posterior margin with moderate medial indentation.

Color.--All specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and were devoid of color except for the pale.brown corneous tips of the ambulatory dactyli and some thicker golden setae. The colored illustration of a specimen from near the Canary Islands (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900: pl. IV, fig. 3) show the carapace and abdomen pinkish white, the pereiopods faintly yellow, and the corneae red-orange, as is stated in the text (A. Milne Edwa ds and Bouvier, 1900: 346).

Size.--o', cl. 8.7-12.7mm,
¢, cl. 8.8-14.3 mm.

Pequegnat and Pequegnat (1971: 21) give a size range of 7 to 19.8 mm (including rostrum) for all specimens, and 9 to 10 mm for ovigerous females.

Sexual dimorphism.--Males have a series of short thick setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson, but these do not form the prominent "comb" characteristic of males of many species of Munidopsis. Females have no marginal setae in this location. The largest female (cl. 14.3 mm ) has the abdomen noticeably broader than other specimens examined. No other differences were observed between males and females aside from primary sexual characters. The features described by Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1900: 346) for the female they examined are apparently
attributable to her large size rather than to sex.

Habitat.--The bottom at $\mathrm{P}-1180$ consisted of yellow clay mud with vegetable debris and rotten logs.

Type.--The holotype is a male with cl approximately 9 mm . Present deposition of the type was not determined; possibly it is located at the Paris Museum.

Type locality.--Cap Ghir, TALISMAN Sta. $41,30^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (near the Canary Islands), 2115 m .

Geographic range.--This species is known from both sides of the Atlantic Ocean: in the east near the Canary Islands (type locality), and in the west from the NE Gulf of Mexico and from the Caribbean $S$ of Jamaica (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 20).

Bathymetric range.--The PILLSBURY collection extends the possible depth range for this deep-water species from 2070-2790 m to 3496 m . Calculated depth range based on all reports is $2115-3111 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--No external evidence of branchial or abdominal parasites was found in the material examined. In addition to several foraminiferans, many specimens have microscopic fliamentous epizoans, possibly fungi but as yet unidentified, attached to body surfaces, appendages and setae. These were also found on specimens of $M$. geyeri taken in the same sample (see parasite section of $\underline{M}$. geyeri for more information).

Associates.--Munidopsis geyeri was the only other galatheid crustacean collected at P-1180 along with ${ }^{*}$. Livida. The ALAMINOS also collected
M. geyeri with M. livida at a station south of Jamaica (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 20).

Relationships.--As pointed out by A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1900: 346), N. Livida appears to be most closely related to M. platirostris (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier), also from the western Atlantic. It is interesting that the depth ranges of these two species are near the extremes of the genus: $\underline{M}$. livida is a deep-water species (from depths greater than 2000 m ), while M. platirostris is one of the shallowest (usually less than 500 m ). Both are in the Orophorhynchus species complex, which includes $\underline{M}$. aries (A. Milne Edwards). M. ivida can be immediately distinguished from $M$. platirostris by the rostral carina, the lack of gastric tubercles and the presence of epipods on $\underline{M}$. livida. $M$. aries has the carapace and rostrum shaped differently than that of $M$. livida, lacks epipods on the pereiopods, lacks a lateral eyespine, and has a pair of gastric protuberances.

Munidopsis marginata (Henderson) and M. 1atirostris Faxon ( $=$ M. latifrons Henderson) from the western Pacific bear some resemblance to M. livida. The former is most similar to $M$. livida, but the perei opods are spinier and the frontal margin of the carapace and carapacial sculpturing are different. M. latirostris has the rostrum shorter and shaped differently, and has the chelipeds much 1 onger.

Munidopsis granosa Alcock and M. edwardsi (Wood-Mason) from the Indian Ocean are in the Orophorhynchus complex also. M. edwardsi is quite similar to $M$. livida, but has the rostrum more triangular and distinct spines on the meri and carpi of the ambulatory legs. M. granosa has the abdominal tergites armed with a short median tooth, lacks epipods
on the chelipeds, and has the rostrum broadly triangular.
Munidopsis parfaiti (A. Milne Edwards) from the eastern Atlantic is related to these species also, but is somewhat closer to $\underline{M}$. aries; $M$. parfaiti can be separated easily from $\underline{M}$. livida by its more granulate sculpturing and the median tubercles arming the abdomen.

Munidopsis longimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Figures 28, 29

Elasmonotus longimanus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 60.--A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894b: 282 (key), 283; 1897: 106-110, pl IX, figs. 1-6.--

Young, 1900: 414 (key), 416.--Perez, 1927: 288.
Munidopsis longimana: Benedict, 1902: 277 (key), 322 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 175, 176.(lists), 177 (table).--Schmitt, 1935: 179 (key), 179-180.--Chace, 1942: 75 (key), 95-96.

Munidopsis longimanus: Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 140 (key), 153,
fig. 5-1, table 5-2; 1971: 6 (key).

Material examined.- Straits of Florida: G-114, $869-759 \mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{G}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM); G-226, $802-805 \mathrm{~m}, 19,10.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ with branchial parasite, UMML 32: 5252; G-365, 672m, 1 ơ, 7.7 mm, UMML 32:5253; G-368, 961-1016m, 6.7 mm (USNM).--Off Atlantic coast of Colombia: $\mathrm{P}-388,814-1050 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}$, 9.9 mm (RMNH); P-776, 408-576m, $1 \mathrm{~m}, 7.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, UNML 32:5254.--Off coast of Venezuela (S of Orchilla): P-741, $1052-1067 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 9.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USMM).-Off Tobago: P-847, 733-1281 m, 1 ㅇ, 10.6 mm , UMML 32:5255.--Off St. Lucia: P-904, $589-439 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ơ, $5.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $?, 6.0 \mathrm{~mm},(\mathrm{RMNH}) .--$ Sof Jamaica: P-1224, 878-906 m, $1 \mathrm{of}, 9.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USMM). Distribution plot 9.

Dlagnosis.--Rostrum broadly triangular, unarmed, horizontal, slightly excavate medially, tip usually rounded; tubercles on dorsal surface of carapace, but no spines; frontal and posterior margins unarmed; second, third and fourth abdominal tergites strongly projected dorsally, each usually with median tubercle or blunt tooth, but no sharp spine; eyestalks unarmed; no epipods on pereiopods; chelipeds usually more than


Figure 28. --Munidopsis longimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), ón cl. $9.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-1224$, dorsal view. -



Figure 29. --Munidopsis longimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), ó, cl. $9.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-1224$ : $\underline{a}$, carapace and abdomen, lateral view; b, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view; $c$, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson; d, right antennule and antenna, ventrolateral view.

3 times carapace length.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad ( $\mathrm{cw} / \mathrm{cl}=0.85-0.90$ ), generally quadrate, slightly wider anteriorly; dorsal surface granulate or minutely tuberculate, decoration often obscure in small specimens; sculpturing often arranged in irregular transverse rows, particularly along middle of carapace and in metabranchial regions; transverse grooves not distinct across carapace; cervical groove visible as short channel separating meso- and metagastric regions at midline and at lateral termination, anterior branch distinctive as oblique groove; postcervical groove broader. Gastric region inflated but lateral margins not well-defined; anterior margin defined by transverse depression across base of rostrum; sculpturing larger, more distinct in center of gastric region, metagastric region and on small cardiac swelling. Surface of carapace with 4 depressicns without setae or sculpturing: 1 on either side of posterior mesogastric region, and 1 more mesial on either side of metagastric region. Rostrum in form of broad isosceles triangle, lateral margins tapering evenly to rounded apex, or slightly convex just anterior to eyes; dorsal surface with central excavation; center of depression smooth, without granules. Frontal margin with depressed post-antennal lobe lateral to base of rostrum; forward edge with several enlarged granules, but no major spine. Anterolateral angle rounded, slightly projected, densely granulate, but unarmed. Lateral margins rounded, granulate, interrupted anteriorly by channel at lateral termination of anterior branch of cervical groove, slightly convex between this point and indentation marking posterior branch of cervical groove. Posterior margin raised only slightly, unarmed, but with granulation more distinct
medially; smoothly concave.
First abdominal segment with several granules on rounded flange at posterolateral margin. Second tergite with conical granulate dorsal projection in center of segment, granules more distinct on forward edge; narrow transverse ridge extending laterally on either side $1 / 2$ distance to lateral margins; anterolateral surface and margin of pleuron with granules developed into broad spinules; posterolateral margin swollen, slightly projected laterally. Third tergite more strongly projected dorsally; projection curved anteriorly with several tubercles on forward edge and surface; posterior surface of tergite smooth; similar transverse ridge extending laterally, several tubercles anterior to ridge; pleuron narrowed laterally and curved forward with distinct depression fitting beneath pleuron of second tergite. Fourth segment with strong dorsal projection, not quite as acute as that on third tergite; projection giving abdonen distinctive triangular appearance in dorsal view with abdomen in normal tucked position; forward (upper) edge of projection with several sharp tubercles, slightly riased triangular area on posterior (lower) surface of projection; pleuron extremely narrow laterally. Fifth tergite without granules or tubercles; 4 shallow oval depressions in pairs on either side of midline. Sixth tergite smooth, with obscure depression centrally, posterolateral lobes distinct.

Sternum concave anteriorly in largest specimens, unarmed except for punctations and obscure flattened granules evenly covering surface between bases of chelipeds and on ridges following distinct intersegmental grooves.

Eyes small, oftelz nidden, かeneath rostrum; eyestalks movable, unarmed, with several obscure granules on ventrolateral surface. Cornea slightly
elongate, approximately same length as eyestalks, very slightly inflated;
Obscure spinules projecting from between bases of eyestalk and antenna.

Large basal segment of antennular peduncle with lateral swelling, slender dorsal spine and longer spine more distally usually with accessory spinules near tip and on ventral margin; ventromesial edge projected with several spinules increasing in size proximally.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle immovable, with strong ventral projection as blunt tooth, obscure lateral projection small. Second segment with obscure dorsal projection or blunt tooth near proximal margin; blunt lateral tooth on distal margin. Third segment with several tubercles or blunt teeth on surfaces; distal margin with 3 blunt spinules, 1 on each side smalle than dorsal spinule. Distal segment with blunt lateral tooth and broad mesial projection with sharp tip. Flagellum reaching distal margin of merus of cheliped.

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped with small sharp dorsal spine on distal margin; ventromesial edge projected as broad flattened protuberance with slight outward curve; distal end of protuberance smooth or obscurely dentate. Merus broad, flattened curved tooth proximally on flexor margin; medium-sized adjacent tooth followed by spinule distally on larger specimens; extensor margin with 2 or 3 sharp spinules followed by sharp spine distally. Carpus with 6 or 7 spinules on extensor (dorsal) surface.

Pereiopods with sculpturing on merus more distinct than on other segments. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds usually 3 to ${ }_{4}$ times carapace length; in females not less than 2 1/2 times carapace length. Manus dorsoventrally flattened;
width of manus in females approximately $1 / 6$ length; width of manus in male at widest point approximately $1 / 4$ length. Dactulus less than $1 / 2$ longth of manus, very straight on lateral and mesial margins; fingers toothed on opposing margins; gape variable in males, abutting in distal $1 / 3$ in largest specimens, abutting entire length in females. Tips spooned, with distinct ventral hollow. Manus smooth on dorsal and ventral surfaces with few scattered obscure tubercles; slightly expanded mesial margin with many small granules and fine setae of various lengths; lateral edge with similar sculpturing extending distally as ridge forming sharp margin between dorsal and ventral surfaces of curved fixed finger. Carpus short, dorsomesial margin slightly swollen, granulate; 2 irregular longitudinal rows of tubercles dorsolaterally; mesial and lateral surfaces sculptured; ventral surface smooth. Merus approximately same length as manus; sculpturing distinctive; all surfaces with evenlyspaced coarse tubercles enlarging proximally to form blunt spines; segment generally quadrangular in cross section; mesial surface with longitudinal indentation distally; distal margin denticulate dorsally, with no major spines; projection at ventromesial angle tooth-like, often with several blunt spinules at tip. Ischium with large somewhat tooth-like dursal projection at distal margin; all surfaces with blunt spines, ventral projection with large toothed projection mesially near distal margin followed by longitudinal row of blunt teeth, decreasing in size proximally.

Second, third and fourth perefopods similar, short. Tip of second pereiopod reaching approximately $1 / 2$ length of nerus of cheliped. Dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ lenģh of propodus; sharp curved corneous tip followed on flexor margin by series of projecticns becoming smaller and
more tooth-like proximally, each armed on anterior edge with slender corneous spinule; ventral and mesial surfaces with many setae of various lengths, singly and in tufis. Propodus setose on flexor margin; dorsal surface smooth distally with irregular longitudinal row of blunt teeth on proximal $2 / 3$ of segment, increasing in size proximally; similar rows dorsolaterally and laterally. Carpus short, broad, with crest on expanded extensor margin armed with 5 or 6 blunt teeth; lateral ridge with obscure tubercles, and several additional tubercles in irregular row below. Extensor margin of merus expanded into sharp dorsal crest, minutely dentate; longitudinal depression on lateral surface below crest; lateral surface with scattered tubercles, ventrolateral edge with irregular row of broad blunt teeth; mesial surface below dorsal crest with longitudinal concavity, mesial surface relatively smooth. Short ischium with several low blunt teeth on dorsal, lateral and ventral surfaces.

Expanded merus of fifth pereiopods with 3 irregular longitudinal rows of broad blunt spinules on distal $2 / 3$ of segment.

Protopod of uropod with smooth surface; posterolateral margin with 1 or 2 obscure teeth. Telson approximately as long as broad, narrowing posteriorly; telson consisting of 9 plates: anterior plate with posterius acute tip of margin continuous with plate, not separated by fissure or articulation; posterior margin of telson deeply indented medially.

Color.--The specimens examined had been preserved in alcohol and are chalky white. No records of color in this species have been found in the literature.

Size.--Specimens collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY had the following ranges of size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{\prime \prime} \text { cl. 5.0-9.9 mm, } \\
& \text { \%, cl. 6.0-10.6 mm, and } \\
& \text { ovigerous } \uparrow \text {, cl. } 6.0 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sexual dimorphism.--The most consistently dimorphic character is the comb of thick golden setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson in males; this comb is not present in females. Males have the manus of the cheliped broader (approximately $1 / 4$ length of manus) than females (width of manus is approximately $1 / 6$ length). The gape between fingers on the cheliped on the males varied from no gape ( $c 1.5 .0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) to a distinct gape (cl. 7.4-9.9 mm), but 2 males with $c l .7 .5$ and 7.7 mun have the fingers abutting along the entire length as do most females. The largest female exanined (cl. 10.6 mm ) has a small gape.

Habitat.--The bot 0 tom type at the 2 stations where that information was recorded was characterized as consisting of pteropods at one and of heavy brown clay ate the other.

Type.--The holotype is a male with cl. approximately 8.5 mm . Present deposition of the specimen was not determined; it is probably at the Paris Museum. A specimen labeled COTYPE is at the MCZ, number 2646.

Type locality.--Martinique, BIAKE Sta. 195, $918 \mathrm{~m}(502 \mathrm{fm})$.

Geographic range.--Munidonsis longimanus has been collected throughout the tropical western Atlantic, from the Straits of Florida through the Caribbean Sea as far south as Barbados, and frem the Gulf of Mexico. localities recorded in the literature include the following: Caribbean Sea: Fredericksted (St. Crörix), Dominica, Martinique, St. Lucia (A.

Milne Edwards, 1880: 60; A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1897: 109); N and S coasts of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 96); NE and NW Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 153). Schmitt (1935: 180) gives a location off Cape Catoche, Yucatan, apparently based on previous records, which, however, could not be found elsewhere in the literature.

Bathymetric range.--The possible depth range for material collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY is $408-1281 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range is $576-1052 \mathrm{~m}$. Possible range based on previous records was $512-1281 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated previous range was $681-1263 \mathrm{~m}$. If the depth given by Schmitt (1935: 80) is correct, the possible depth range is $44-1281 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--The branchial parasite carried by the female from G-226 is a bopyrid isopod of the genus Pseudione; the species is undetermined and may be undescribed. The unidentified branchial parasite reported by Chace (1942: 96) on a female from the north coast of Cuba is the only other record of parasitism in this species found in the literature. A few unidentified foraminiferans adhering to the bady surfaces of some specimens were the only epizoans observed.

Associates.--At the 10 stations where M. longimanus was collected, M. sigsbei was also taken. The index of affinity calculated from these data for these two species is 0.23 .

Relationships.--Munidopsis longimanus most closely resembles M. brevimanus (A. Milne Edwards) also from the western Atlantic. It can be distinguished from the latter, although with some difficulty, by its more rounded rostrum, longer more ornate chelipeds (which are also broader in males and proportionately narrower in females), and more
strongly dorsally-projected abdominal carinae. Also $\underline{M}$. longimanus has the lateral carapacial margins straighter, a tuberculate or spinulate projection on the second abdominal pleuron, no ventromesial spine near the distal margin of the merus of the cheliped, the posterior medial projection of the artterior plate of the telson contiguous with the plate (not separated by a fissure), and the fifth and sixth abdominal tergite with more distinctive sculpturing centrally. See relationship and discussion sections of the account of $M$. brevimanus for more information.

Munidopsis nitida (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Figures 30, 47b

Orophorhynchus nitidus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 59.
Orophorhynchus spinosus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 58.
Munidopsis nitida: A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894b: 275 (key); 1897:
74-75, pl VI, figs. 6, 7.--Young, 1900: 407 (key), 409.--Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 323 (list). --Doflein and Balss, 1913: 176 (list), 177 (table).--Chace, 1942: 73 (key).--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 139 (key), 153-155, figs. 5-1, 5-12, table 5-2; 1971: 6 (key).

Material examined.--Gonave Bay, Haiti: P-1178, 1766-1903 m, 2 ¢, 11.0 , $11.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $9,17.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, UML $32: 5256$.--St. Croix Basin, Virgin Islands: P-1304, $3477-3971 \mathrm{~m}, 100,13.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \subsetneq$ (damaged), 14.0 man , (USNM).

Diagnosis.--Rostrum simple, triangularly spine-like, very slightly upturned; l pair of spines on anterior gastric region of carapace; frontal margin with distinct post-antennal spine, anterolateral spine much smaller; 3 lateral spines; posterior margin unarmed; abdominal tergites unarmed; eyes armed with large mesial spine distally, and lateral denticle, occasionally bifurcate; epipods on chelipeds only; chelipeds approximately same length as carapace.

Description.--Carapace leasth measured from behind eyes greater than maximum width ( $\mathrm{cw} / \mathrm{cl}=$ approximately 0.90 ), transversely convex; gastric region with 1 pair of distinct spines anteriorly; posterior to these, short, sparsely setose striations arranged in 5 or 6 irregular transverse rows. Striae distinct on anterior ridge of metagastric


Figure 30. - Munidopsis nitida (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). \%, cl. 11.6 $\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{P}-1178:$ a, lateral view; $\underline{b}$, dorsal view; c, right third maxilliped. Scales in men.
and cardiac regions; metagastric region smoother than metabranchial region; cardiac and branchial regions sculptured with distinct but interrupted transverse striae. Rostrum $1 / 3$ to $2 / 5$ carapace length; width of rostrum between eyes approximately $1 / 2$ length, tapering distally, with several lateral denticles; rostrum almost horizontal with very slight distal upturn in larger specimens. Frontal margin of carapace with distinct post-antennal spine approximately same size as gastric spines; margin depressed to short anterolateral spine just posterolateral to antennal basis. Lateral margin with 3 distinct spines: anterior sine largest (larger than gastric spine), distinct smaller spine posteriorly, and spine equal in size to the second at lateral termination of cervical groove; occasionally denticle in space between second and last spines. Posterior margin of carapace unarmed.

Abdomen unarmed; second, third and fourthtergites each with 2 transverse carinae: anterior carina behind depressed front edge of tergite sharper, extending laterally almost to margins of pleura; posterior carina rounded dorsally, extending only across rergite, bending to terminate at posterior margin of segment; fifth tergite with swelling in posicion and shape of posterior carina, but without anterior ridge; sixth tergite with short setae in row extending from near center of seÆment toward posterolateral margin, followed by shallow depression. Most margins of carinae and swellings with sparse rows of short setze.

Sternum unarmed; several short rows of setae on striae between coxae of chelipeds, but no spines.

Eyes colorless, barely movable; eyestalk short, expanded distally over mesial margin of cornea to form prominent sharp spine directed anteruliterally, eyestalk expanded late:ally to form smaller antero-
lateral spine (2 such spines on large female specimen); eyestalk projected laterally at base, projection armed with $l$ or several denticles. Larger specimens with ventromesial denticle on swollen distal margin of eyestalk.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle broad, with denticulate lateral swelling; 2 dorsolateral spines: smaller dorsal spine above large conical distal spine; 1 denticle on serrate distomesial margin. Second peduncular segment not reaching rostral apex. Distal tuft of setae on third segment of antennular peduncle extending beyond distal carpal margin of cheliped.

Basal segment of antenna with triangular ventromesial spine and lateral tooth with terminal denticle. Distal margin of movable second segment with sharp lateral spine and small lobe just mesially; ventromesial projection with small denticle. Third segment with serrate distal margins, small mesial and lateral tooth. Distal margin of fourth segment minutely denticulate, with small dorsolateral projection. Flagellum 3 to 4 times carapace length.

Merus of endopod of third maxilliped small, with 3 or 4 very small irregular teeth on ventromesial margin; 1 minute tooth on distclateral margin. Ischium with blunt ventral carina terminating in 1 minute tcoch distally.

Epipods on chelipeds, but not on ambulatory legs.
Chelipeds approximately same length as carapace; broad dorsal surface of manus lightly sculptured, setae of various lengths sparsely scattered over surface of segments. Width of manus more than $1 / 2$ length; dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus. Cross section of manus ovally flattened. Abutting margins of fingers toothed dorsally, gaped
ventrally. Carpus approximately $1 / 2$ length of manus; distal margin obscurely denticulate, with sharp mesial spine, slightly smaller dorsolateral spine, and sharp spinule dorsally at propodal articulation; broader spine at ventral articulation. Merus extending only $1 / 2$ distance from base of rostrum to tip; distal marging with 4 spines: 1 lateral, 1 ventormesial, and smaller spines dorsally and ventrally; 3 or 4 spines in longitudinal line behind dorsal spine, decreasing in size proximally. Ischium with small distodorsal tooth and sharp ventrolateral spine; row of denticles near base of ventromesial projection.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods quite similar. Second pereiopod reaching beyond tip of cheliped by at least $1 / 2$ length of dactylus; dactylus of third and fourth pereiopods each reaching distal propodal margin of preceding leg. Tip of dactylus curved, pale brown; ventral margin with row of 10 denticles decreasing in size proximally, l short spinule projecting from distal edge of each denticle. Propodus approximately twice length of dactylus; distal ventral margin with 2 sharp movable spinules mounted on outer edges of 2 small denticulate lobes; second pereiopod with similar small spinule on ventral margin approximately $1 / 3$ distance from distal margin to base of segment; dorsal, nesial and lateral faces of propodus flattened or slightly concave, angles between surfaces distinct, ventral margins rounded. Carpus less than 1/2 length of propodus; angle between dorsal and mesial faces acute, armed with 3 sharp distally-directed spines, largest on distal margin with slightly smaller spine laterally; low longitudinal crest on se ${ }^{-}$ ment dursally; ventrolateral lobe with denticulate distal margin. Merus with dorsomesial ridge ărmed with 6 to 7 spines, including sharp distal spine, decreasing in size proximally; second sharp spine on
distal margin lateral to dorsal lobe, spine smaller on fourth pereiopod; ventrolateral surface with several denticulate ridges and associated setae. Ischium unarmed.
Eifth pereiopods unarmed.
Protopod of uropod in 3 lobes, posterior lobe with denticles lateral to notch and triangular spine mesial to notch. Exopod and endopod with granular denticles on lateral and posterior margins; similar denticles or small movable spinules on exposed surface of exopod, and larger ones, occasionally in pairs or with setae, on endopod.
Width of telson approximately $1 / 2$ times length; telson consisting of 10 plates, posterolateral margins of intermediate plates indistinct. Color.--The specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and are chalky white except for the brown corneous tips of the dactyli.
Size. $-\sigma^{\circ}, \mathrm{cl}, 12.0-13.6 \mathrm{~mm}$,
६, $=1.11 .0-17.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, and
ovigerous $\uparrow$, cl. 13.0-17.2 mm.

The specimens examined are within the previously reported size range, c1. 9-18 mm (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 155).

Sexual dimorphism.--There are no remarkable differences between the chelipeds of the male and the females examined. The only noticeable sexual difference other than those associated with the gonopores and pleopods, is the single row of thick medium-length golden setae on the posterolateral margin of the telson of the male; this fringe is not present on the females.

Habitat.--The bottom at P-1l78 was characterized as yellow clay mud with
much $\log$ debris.

Type.--The holotype is a male with cl. approximately 9.0 mm ; MCZ 6341 . Type locality,--Off Guadeoupe, BLAKE Sta. 163, 1407 m.

Geographic range. - Munidopsis nitida is known from the Caribbean Sea and from the Gulf of Mexico. The type locality and BLAKE Sta. 180, off Dominica, 1787 m , are the only previous records of $\underline{M}$. nitida from the Caribbean. Several specimens were taken by the ALAMINOS from the southwestern Gulf of Mexico. The extension of the range of $\mathbb{M}$. nitida to the Pacific as recorded by Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 75) is an error based on their consideration of $\underline{M}$. brevimanus Henderson, 1888, as a synonym.

Bathymetric range.--Possible de;th range for PILLSBURY specimens is 1766-3971 m; calculated range is $1903-3477 \mathrm{~m}$. Previous range reported was $1373-2133 \mathrm{~m}$, biinging the calculated range, including all reports, to 1373-3477 m.

Parasites.--The material examined showed no external evidence of parasitism. No reports of parasites were found in the literature.

Associates.- Munidopsis simplex occurred at P-1504 with M. nitida. In the other PILLSBLRY sample $\underline{M}$. nitida was the only galatheid taken. At 1 of the 3 ALAMI: $: O$ S stations where $\underline{M}$. nitida was collected, $\underline{M}$. simplex and M . Spinoculata were also taken.

Relationshifs.--Munilopsis nitida shows the closest similarities with M. spinoculata (A. Milne Edwards), M. Subspinoculata Pequegnat and $\mathrm{Pe}-$
quegnat, M. ramahtaylorae Pequegnat and Pequegnat, M. Similis Smith, and M. reynoldsi (A. Milne Edwards) of the western Atlantic species. It differs from the first three in having a pair of spines on the gastric region of the carapace and a small spine on the distolateral margin of the cornea; from the latter two species, it differs in having shorter chelipeds, and it differs from all five in having epipods on the chelipeds. Munidopsis crassa Smith shares this last character, but has several smaller spines on the gastric region in addition to the principal pair, a broader rostrum and heavier sculpturing overall.

Munidopsis nitida is quite similar to specimens identified as M . ciliata Wood-Mason from the eastern Pacific by Faxon (1895). Faxon compared the type of $M$. nitida with his material and stated that the major differences between the two are the pubescence, heavily sculptured carapace (with squamiform ridges) and prominent transverse furrows across the tergites of M. ciliata. Faxon indicated the possibility that these differences might not be specific, but retained the name $\mathbb{M}$. ciliata for the Indopacific form. M. vicina Faxon, from the eastern Pacific, is similar to, but smaller than the previous two species, and exhibits several differences in sculpturing and spination.

Munidopsis platirostris (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894)
Figure 31

Orophorhynchus platirostris A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894b: 287 (key), 286; 1897: 114-116, pl IX, figs. 12-15, pl. X, fig. 3.

Munidopsis (Orophorhynchus) platirostris: Benedict, 1901: 148.
Munidopsis platirostris: Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 324 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 175 (list), 178 (table).--Schmitt, 1935: 178 (key), 180.--Chace, 1942: 75 (key).--Pequegnat and Pequgnat, 1970: 140 (key); 1971: 6 (key).

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: $6-493,183-549 \mathrm{~m}, 100,3.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5257; G-972, 231-221 m, 1 $9,3.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM).--Arrowsmith Bank (Yucatan Channe1): G-952, $586-92 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 2.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \ddot{\ddagger}, 5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM); G-880, 101-329 m, 1 of, 6.0 mm, 1 q, 4.2 mm, UMRL 32:5258; G-894, 174$207 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{o}, 3.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ each, $19,5.8 \mathrm{~mm},(\mathrm{RMNH}) .-$ Off St. Vincent: P-876, 231-258 m, l ovigerous $9,5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH). - Off Dominica: P-931, 146-494 $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{o}, 3.9,6.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{UMML} 32: 5259 .-\mathrm{N}$ of Dominican Republic: P-1160, 201-842 m, $10^{\prime}, 4.0 \mathrm{~mm},(U S N M) .-$ S of Dominican Republic: P-1396, 390$395 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovigerous $\uparrow, 5.5 \mathrm{~mm},(\mathrm{USNM}$ ). See distribution plot 10 .

Diagnosis.--Rostrum spade-shaped, unarmed, slightly upturned; 1 pair of tubercles or small spines on anterior gastric region of carapace; frontal margin with large triangular post-antennal spine; posterior margin of carapace, abdominal segments and eyes unarmed; no epipods on pereiopods.

Description.--Carapace length measured from base of rostrum approximately same as carapace width at widest point near middle, occasionally broader
(
Distribution plot 10.--Munidopsis platirostris (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894) collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY.


Figure 31. --Munidopsis platirostris (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894). Q, cl. $5.8 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-894$ : $\mathfrak{a}$, lateral view of carapace and abdomen, setae omitted; $\underline{b}$, dorsal view; $\underline{d}$, posterior abdominal tergites, uropeds and telson. $\sigma^{\prime}, c 1.6 .0 \mathrm{~mm}, G-8 \overline{8} 0: c_{\text {c }}$ right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view.
an long; dorsal surface smooth or with scattered evenly-spaced tubercles; surface covered with minute punctations usually visible only with magnification; carapacial regions and grooves usually ill-defined or obscure; gastric region smoothly inflated, armed with single pair of rounded tubercles or spines anteriorly; carapace in front of gastric region sometimes with slight transverse indentation or channel delimiting base of rostrum; cervical groove obscure, centrally adjacent to obscure postcervical groove, cardiac and metabranchial regions very slightly inflated. Rostrum approximately $1 / 2$ carapace length, broad; lateral margins subparallel from base to just bayond eyes, serrace distally, tapering to point, apex acuminate; dorsal surface flat or barely excavate (lateral margins slighcly raised), smooth or with scattered obscure tubercles; ventral surface with round medial carina distally. Frontal margin with arge triangular post-antennal tooth, minutely dentate on margins. Anterolateral tooth broad, margins finely dentate; distinct notch posteriorly followed by $l$ smaller tooth; lateral margins convex, sharply carinate, obscurely dentate, with small notch approximately $1 / 2$ distance to post:-riur margin. Posterior margin very slightly concave, with smosth raised rim.

Abdomen unarmed; second, third and fourth tergites each with 2 rransverse carinae; anterior carina sharper, extending across tergite of second segment and to lateral margins on third and fourth segments; posterior swelling rounded, not extending as far laterally; fifth and sixth segments smooth; pleura of third to sixth segments narrowed laterally.

Sternum slightly convex antero-posteriorly, unarmed; intersegmental -hannels narrow.

Eyes movable, retractable beneath lateral mar§ins of rostrum; cornea
e with ístinctive Eaceting，こaaching l／2 lergeh of rustrim．
3asa：segmena of antennuar peduncia enlarged，distinctive，witin 2 large teeth distaliy：dorsal tooth siaghty lataral to one beneath，both Einel：jentate on margins；lataral swelling with small conical touth； ventrcmesial projections on distal marain tooth－like．Extended peduncle reaching ape：of rostrum，flageliun extending bevond．

Basai segment of anterna projectad ventromesiaily as unusualiy long triangular tooth，as long as antenna：peduncle；smaller curved toora laterally．Second segment with biunt iateral spine and smaller mesiai tooth．Third segment unarmed or irragularly dantata on distal margin． Distal segment of peduncle with small latきこal and smaller mesial pro－ jection．Elagelium reaching to manus of sineifeed or beyond．

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped with ventromesiai angie $\quad$ E：－ sting in triangular spine or rounded cooth；termination of dcrsai carina tooth－like or blunt．Merus flactened，flezor margin wieh 2 sma： teeth，extensor margin with sma：tooth distally．Carpus with severa： coarse tubercles on extensor suriace．

No epipods on chelipeds or amoulatory legs．
Chelipejs approximazaly twice carapace length in large nales（c：． 6．5 mm＇，usually about 1 li3 times carapace langth in Eamales and saal－ ler males．Manus broad，dorsoventyally Elatence；marus o：largo maies as Lonz as carapace iengri；dorsa：surface with eveniv－spaced low zuee：－
 than $:, 2$ lenjeh uf marus．Eingess of large males wizi slight outrazi Gur：き groducing small dape；Emales Miti lass curvaこuze and narrow gace


of manus expanded into sharp edges proximally, armed with irregular tubercles or small dentate projections; lateral ridge becoming cristate distally on fixed finger; tubercles or projections becoming dentate. Carpus short, dorsomesial edge cristate, terminating in denticulate triangle on distal margin; dorsal surface slightly depressed; dorsolateral surface raised with several irregular tubercles; ventral surface smooth, with triangular projection at distal articulation. Merus shorter than manus, subquadrate in cross section; distal margin with blunt spines at dorsomesial and ventromesial angles and with ventrolateral projection; dorsal surface with tubercles forming rounded longitudinal crest, sharper proximally; dorsomesial edge with row of tubercles or projections. Ischium with large triangular dorsal projection or spine.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Tip of dactylus of second pereiopod reaching base of manus of cheliped. Dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus, sharp corneous tip curved, followed on flexor margin by series of 6 or 7 slender sharp spines decreasing in size proximally, each armed on anterior edge with long slender corncous spinule, several long setae on either side of row. Propodus with extensor margin cristate, minutely serrate; ventromesial surface with corneous spinule, near distal margin and one about $1 / 3$ distance to base; lateral surface with faint longitudinal swelling; all other surfaces smooth, ventral margin rounded. Carpus more than $1 / 2$ length of propodus; extenscr margin sharply cristate, with prominent triangular spine distally; lateral surface with narrow longitudinal swelling and distal projection, sometimes tooth-like. Merus approximately twice length of carpus, triangular in cross section; extensor margin cristate, terminating in distal projection, increasingly spine-like on third and fourth pereiopods;
lateral surface flattened, smoother on anterior pereiopods, tuberculate on fourth pereiopod; lateral edge of flexor margin somewhat cristate, terminating in sharp tooth distally; mesial flexor edge sharp. Ischium short, with small dorsal tooth.

Merus of fifth pereiopods expanded centrally; distal half of exposed surface and flexor margin with denticles.

Protopod of uropod with lateral margin in 3 lobes; posterior lobe with several denticles on each side of small notch. Exposed surface of endopod with several spinules on raised area just lateral to telson.

Telson broader than long; generally trapezoidal, narrowing posteriorly, smooth consisting of only 7 articulated plates; posterior margin with small medial indentation.

Color.--All specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and were devoid of pigment. No records of color were found in the literature.

Size. $--0^{\prime \prime}, ~ c 1.3 .1-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, o, c1. 3.8-5.8 mm, and ovigerous $9, c 1.4 .5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Sexual dimorphism.--The largest male specimen ( $\mathrm{P}-931, \mathrm{cl} .6 .5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) has the chelipeds much longer (twice carapace length) and broader than the ovigerous females, with a small gape not found in other specimens. In addition, the rostrum of thls specimen is proportionately longer than others examined. Larger females have the abdomen slightly broader chan males. Males have heavier setae along the posterolateral margins of the telson than do females, but this is not developed into the "comb" characteristic of many species.

Habitat.--Information about the characteristics of the bottom was recorded at half the stations where $M$. platirostris was collected; it consisted variously of rocks, algae, coral conglomerate, pumice stone and other sedimeats.

Type.--The holotype is a male, cl. $4.8 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~A} 24762$.

Type locality.--Off Barbados, HASSLER, 183 m (100 im). (No other station information given except date, 27-30 december 1871.)

Geographic range. - This species in now known in the western Atlantic from the Straits of Florida, Arrowsmith Bank in the northwest Caribbean, norch and south of the Dominican Republic, and in the Lesser Antilles (southeastern Caribbean) from Dominica to Barbados. The new locations presented herein are the first to be repurted since Benedict (1901: $1 \times 8$ ) recorded it from the FISH HAWK collections off the east coast of Puerto Ricc. Schmitt (1935: 180) reported Curacao in the distribution of $\mathbb{M}$. platirustris; however, the record of this location could not be found elsewhere in the literature although apparently Schmitt's report was not based on new information.

Bathymetric range.-Munidopsis platirostris is one of the species of the genus found at the shallowest depths. The possible depth range for material in this collection is $101-842 \mathrm{~m}$; calculaced range is $207-390 \mathrm{~m}$. Calculated range including depths previously reported is $183-458 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--No external evidence of. . was found in the material examined. There are no records of parasitism in this species.

Associates.--At 7 of the 8 stations where $M_{\text {. platirostris was collected, }}$ it was the only species of the genus taken. Munidopsis squamosa was tal:en in the same haul with M. platirostris south of the Dominican Republic. It is interesting to not that these two species were the only Munidopsis collected from Arrowsmith Bank, although they were not collected together at any one station in that area.

Relationships.- - Munidopsis platirostris bears most resemblance to $M_{2}$ livida (A. Milne Edwards, 1886), and falls into the Orophorhynchus group of species which also includes M. aries (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). M. platirostris has the rostrum flat dorsally and carinate ventrally, the carapace relatively smooth with prominent gastric tubercles, unarmed eyes and no epipods on the pereiopods, while $M$. livida has the rostrum of a ifferent shape with dorsal carination, less prominent gastric tubercles on a more heavily sculptured carapace with more distinct grooves, mesial and lateral eyespines, and epipods on the chelipeds. M. aries has the chelipeds shorter and proportionately broader than M. platirostris, with the rostrum more triangular and dorsally carinate, the carapace broader anteriorly with more distinct sculpturing and grooves, post-antennal and anterolateral teeth weaker, and with a small mesial eyespine.

Remariss.--The 2 males from Dominica ( $\mathrm{P}-931$ ), particularly the larger one (cl. 6.5 mm ) differ slightly from the other specimens in the degree of sculpturing. Low tubercles are scattered evenly over the dorsal surface of the carapace, and are distinct everywhere but on the rostrum. The cervical groove is discernible and the postcervical groove is more distinct. The right cheliped of the larger specimen is longer (twice carapace length) with a very broad manus; the left is shorter and slenderer.

## Munidopsis polita (Smith, 1883)

Figures 32, 33

Anoplonotus politus Smith, 1883: 50-55, pl. 2, fig. 1, pl. 3, figs. 1-5a.--A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894b: 283.--Verrill, 1895: 558. Munidopsis polita: Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 324 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 175 (list, 177 (table).--Chace, 1942: 75 (key).--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 140 (key), 155, fig. 5-1, table 5-2; 1971: 6 (key), 2l.--Fowler, 1912: 575.

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: G-460, 207-247m, $100,5.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM); G-657, $216-201 \mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{c}, 6.5,6.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH); G-815, $618 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{q}$, 9.0 mm , (USNM); G-870, $807-755 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{q}, 6.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH); G-970, 512 m , 1 ovigerous $9,8.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM).--Off Atlantic coast of Colombia: P-375, j+-129 m, 1 ơ, 8.3 mm , URML $32: 5260$; P-776, $408-576 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ¢, 6.3 mm , UMML 32:5262; P-781, 567-531 m, $100,10.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM); P-784, 567-715 m, $1 \mathrm{of}, 6.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH). --Off Atlantic coast of Panama: P-447, 657-673 m, $2 \sigma^{\circ}, 7.0,8.6 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{l}$ ovigerous $\uparrow, 7.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, UNML $32: 5261$,--Off Guadeloupe: P-923, 476-686 m, $100,7.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USiM). See distribution plot 11.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum short, bluntly triangular, spine-like, unarmed, slightly flexed downard; carapace and abdomen completely without spines; anterior gastric region without distinct medial division; frontal margin of carapace with rounded post-antennal lobe; no spine or protuberanse beneath frontal margin mesial to eye; eyes unarmed except occasionally for small lateral protuberance near base of eyestalk; no epipods on peraiopods.

Descriotion.--Caraface longer than broad (cw/cl $=0.90$ ), generally
Distribution plot 11.--Munidopsis polita (Smith, 1883) collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY.



Figure 32. --Munidopsis polita (Smith, 1883), 9 , cl. $8.6 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-970$ : dorsal view.

quadrate, lateral margins slightly convex, broadest near middle; dorsal surface devoid of spines; gastric region inflated, defined laterally and posteriorly by smooth indentation; cervical groove distinct with anterior and posterior branches delimiting swollen epibranchial region; postcervical groove in form of deep channel, most prominent feature of carapace and curving posteriorly then anteriorly separating inflated meso- and epibranchial regions; triangular cardiac region further defined on its posterolateral margin by distinct branchiocardiac groove, separating it from tuberculate metabranchial region. Sculpturing consisting primarily of minute flattened obsc:re tubercles, arranged in 1 pair of transverse lines on anterior part of gastric region; sculpturing very fine on rostrum and on gastric and cardiac regions, coarse: laterally, becoming rugose and forming small transverse ridge on anterior margin of metabranchial region; 2 closely-set larger rounded tubercles near lateral margin of gastric region, 1 mesial and posterior these on each side, and 1 lateral to these on mesial part of hepatic region. Rostrum short, blunt, in shape of isosceles triangle, $1 / 4$ to $1 / 3$ carapace length; dorsal surface finely tuberculate with broadly rounded carina narrowing posteriorly in front of gastric region; rostrum with downard flexure; iateral margins tapering evenly to apex with narrow border continuing in smooth curve posterior to eyes to post-antennal lobe on frontal margin; lobe sometimes denticulate. Anterolateral angle at $90^{\circ}$, granulate or tuberculate, but unarmed. Lateral margin wich distinctive notch at termination of anterior branch of cervical groove. Posterior margin slightly concave; raised rim broadest medially, with fine sculpturing. Second, third anc fourth abdominal tergites with anterior cransverse rim, followed on second tergite by shalliw groove, and barely
perceptible on third and sometimes fourth tergites. Fifth and sixth segments smooth.

Sternum concave and punctate anteriorly; pair of curved projections at each coxal articulation; intersegmental groove followed by ridges, distinct towards lateral margin, obscure medially.

Eyes small, movable; cornea reaching $1 / 2$ length of rostrum, slightly dilated from dorsoventrally flattened eyestalk, facets not visible; minor projection or tubercle laterally near base of eyestalk.

Plate at intersection of bases of eyestalk, antennule and antenna unarmed.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle enlar ged with tuberculate lateral swelling; 2 distal spines located one above other, most ventral spine largest and slightly mesial, reaching to or just beyond apex of rostrum. Second segment as well as distal segment and flagellum extending beyond rostrum.

Basal segment of antenna with small ventromesial projection, denticulate on distal margin laterally, but not projected. Second and third segments unarmed exsept for occasicnal denticle or spinule distolaterally on second segment. Fourth acgment with distolateral projection sometimes denticulate.

Endopod of third maxilliped with ventral angle of ischium not sharp, terminating distally in several denticles; dorsolateral edge more carinate, with distal tooth. Merus with 2 large teath, occasionally third smaller, on flexor margin; extensor margin with obscure tubercles along edge and small tooth distally.

Pereiopods long, slender, subcylindrical, generally smooth, sculpturing in form of low rounded tubercles, more distinct on mesial sur-

Faces of proximal segments; no epipods on chelipeds or ambulatury legs.
Chelipeds approximately $31 / 4$ to $33 / 4$ times carapace length. Manus slightly dorsoventrally-flattened; width of manus in large males (cl. more than 8.0 mm ) approximately $1 / 4$ to $1 / 5$ length; width of manus $1 / 7$ to 1/8 length in females. Dactylus slightly less than $1 / 2$ length of manus, subcylindrical, very straight; mesial margin with large blunt tooth near base, followed by even row of small teeth, increasing in size distally. Fixed finger of larger males toothed along entire mesial margin, with outward curve forming gape at base, fingers abutting in distal $1 / 2$ to $2 / 3$; females and smaller males (cl. less than 7.5 mm ) without curve or gape; tips spooned, dentate, gaped ventrally. Carpus approximately $1 / 3$ length of manus; dorsomesial margin rounded, slightly inflated near distal and proximal ends. Merus shorter than manus, distal half with slight outward flexure; distal margin with conical ventromesial tooth and longitudinal groove on mesial surface. Ischium with small dorsal tooth.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar, long slender; tip of third pereiopod often reaching beyond tip of second peraiopod to manus of cheliped; fourth often reaching middle of carpus of cheliped. Dactylus more than $1 / 2$ length of propodus, curved, terminating in very sharp corneous tip, unarmed on flexor margin. Propodus and carpus unarmed. Merus long, unarmed except for small lateral tooth on distal margin, and tubercles becoming more distinct proximally, especially on fourth pereiopod. Ischium with small dorsal tooth decreasing to obscurity from second to fourth pereiopod.

Middle of merus of fifth pereiopods expanded, finely tuberculate; several small teeth or tubercles on ventral margin.

Posterolateral margin of protopod of uropod in 2 lobes, each with
small notch in middle. Lateral margin of exopod and endopod very straight.
Telson broader than long, narrowing posteriorly; lateral plates with slight central concavity; posterior margin with medial indentation.

Color.--All specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and were devoid of pigment. No records of color were found in the literature.

Size.--Specimens collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY showed the following ranges of size:
$\sigma^{\prime}$, cl. 5.4-10.7 mm,
९, c1. 6.1-9.0 mm,
ovigerous ¢, cl. 7.2-8.6 mm.

Sexual dimorphism.--Males have the characteristic fringe of thick golden setae on the lateral margins of the telson; few, if any, marginal setae are present in this location on females. Large males have the manus much broader than females and smaller males. Males with c1. 6.7 mm or more have the manus width $1 / 4$ to $1 / 6$ length with a gape near the base of the fingers increasing proportionately to carapace length; females and smaller males have the width of the manus equal to only $1 / 7$ or $1 / 8$ length and have the fingers closely abutting along their entire opposing margins.

Habitat.--The bottom type or characteristics were recorded at 4 GERDA and PILLSBURY statiors where M. polita was collected: 2 stations had pteropod shells and mud, 1 had thick green-brown mud, and 1 had sponges on the bottom. At the 5 FISH HANK stations off Martha's Vineyard, the bottom was muddy at 4 stations and had hard sand and sponges at one.

Type.--Designation of holotype not indicated in Smith, 1883. Subsequent designation not determined.

Type locality.--Western North Atlantic (off Marcha's Vineyard), FISH HAWK Sta.

Geographic range.--Munidopsis polita has been collected in the northern Atlantic Ocean near Cape Cod, in the Gulf of Mexico, in the southern and northern Straits of Florida, in the Caribbean along the north coast of South America, Nicaragua and off Guadeoupe in the Lesser Antilles. (Except for the FISH HAWK Sta. 941 , where 16 specimens were collected, 3 is the maximum number of specimens taken in an individual haul.) In addition to the type locality, the following locations are reported in the literature: NW Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegrat, 1970: 155); E of Nic:aragua (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 21).

Bach metric range.--The possible depth range for material collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY is $129-807 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated depth range is $134-755$ m. Possible depth range including previous records is $129-860 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--There is no external evidence of branchial or abdominal parasites in any of the material examined. No records of parasitism in this species were found in the literature.

Associntes.--Other species of galatheids were collected with N. polita at 8 of the 11 stations of the GERDA and PILLSBURY. M. erinaceus was collected at 7 of these, and $\underline{M}$. riveroi at 5, giving them an index of affinity wirh M. polita of 0.32 and 0.25 , respectively. Several other $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ species occurred with $M$. polita at lation only.

Relationships.--Munidopsis polita is very closely related to M. impolita, a new species, also from the western Atlantic, with which it may be easily confused. Both species have the rostrum, carapace and abdomen the same general shape and size, similar pereiopods, arrangement of epipods, and most other characters. M. polita, however, has the rostrum distinctly flexed downward, the terminal spines on the basal segment of the antennular peduncle appearing adjacent or overlapping in dorsal view, a more distinct post-antennal lobe, and no spine or tubercle emerging from the plate at the intersection of the bases of the eye, antennule and antenna; also, the regions of the carapace are less distinct and sculpturing is generally finer in M. polita than in M. fmpolita (see discussion of the relationships of $M$. Impolita).

Two other western Atlantic species, M. espinis Benedict and M. gulfensis Pequegnat and Pequegnat, bear some resemblance to $\underline{M}$. polita in general appearance, but both have epipods on the first 3 pairs of pereiopods, the eyes fused to the rostrum, and the chelipeds not more than twice carapace length.

Munidopsis inermis Faxon, from the eastern Pacific, resembles M. polita in many ways, but the rostrum of the former species is broader basally, narrower distally, and more strongly decurved; the carapace is longer and narrower in $\underline{M}$. inermis, and the propodi of the ambulatory legs are proportionately shorter. It is interesting that M. polita, M. impolita, $\mathbb{M}$. espinis and $M$ inermis are among the few species of the genus which lack ventral spinules or teeth on the dactyli of the ambularory legs. It seems likely that if the genus Munidopsis is subdivided, this Anoplonotus complex, including these 5 species and others with this general appearance and unarmed dactyli, ma: form a natural group.

The relative significance of the arlangement of epipods is questionable in this group, since M. polita and M. impolita definitely lack epipods on any pereiopods while $\underline{M}$. espinis and $M$.gulfensis have epipods on the chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs. The arrangement of epipods in $M$. inermis could not be determined from the literature.

Discussion.--Pequegnat and Pequegnat (1971.: 21) reported differences between specimens collected from deeper waters in the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean and the type material fron the North Atlantic. It appears that the differences they observed fall within the range of variation of Munidopsis polita, and may be due to individual variation as much as to their deeper occurrence. Specimens from $134-807$ m display the following variation; eyes both larger and smaller with both shorter, narrower and longer, wider eyestalks than the type material; basal segment of the antennule with short spines not reaching the apex of the rostrum and with long slender spines reaching well beyond the rostrum; the merus of the cheliped shorter than the total carapace length in a specimen from 567-531 m, and longer than the carapace in a specimen from $13 \div-129 \mathrm{~m}$; rostrum both narrower and broader than type material. The rounded postantennal lobe varics somewhat among specimens, but apparently the arount of projection is not absolutely correlated to the depth at which they live, although the specimens from the shallowest stations (C-460, G-657, P-375) all have the post-antennal lobe as prominent as that shown on the illusuration of the type (Smith, 1883: pl.2, fig. 1). This is an important character in distinguishing $M$. polita from M. impolita which has the lobe almost obscure and a small projection or spine emerging from beneath the frontal margin; it $\because$ ould be pointed out that specimens
of M. polita with a distinct post-antennal lobe have been collected from depths even greater ( $618-807 \mathrm{~m}$ ) than one at which $\underline{M}$. impolita has been collected ( 585 m ).

Munidopsis ramahtaylorae Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971
Figure 34

Munidopsis ramahtaylorae Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 7 (key), 11-13, figs. 5, 6.

Material examined.-Oiff Atlantic coast of Colombia: P-394, 416-834m, 2 ovigerous $9,7.4,7.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 19,8.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML $32: 5263 ;$ P-776, 408-576 m, $10^{\circ}, 8.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USMM).--Off Honduras: P-1355, $450-576 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovigerous $\uparrow$, 11.0 mm , (USNM). Distribution plot 12.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum unarmed, acarinate, decurved distally; lateral margins subparallel at base, slightly convex distally; gastric region of carapace unarmed, unsculptured; frontal margin with distinct postantennal spine, but no spine at anterolateral angle; posterior margin of carapace and abdominal tergites unarmed; length of eyespine approximately $1 / 2$ diameter of cornea; no epipods on pereicpods; sternum armed with 2 pairs of slender spines; coxa of chelipeds unarmed.

Description.--Carapace longer than bracd ( $\mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{cl}=0.87-0.91$ ), longitudinally and transversely convex. Cervical groove shallower medially; anterior branch distinct, delimiting slightly inElated gastric region; postcervical groove separating metagastric and cardiac region. Regions of carapace not prominent, dorsal surface unarmed, pubescent, short setae arranged in irragular discontinuous transverse rows posteriorly, giving posterior third of carapace slightly striated appearance. Rostrum acarinate, slightly decurved distally, transversely convex at Jase, flatter distally; length, measured from point even with base of cornea, $1 / 4$ to $1 / 3$ carapace length; width at base approximately $2 / 5$


length of rostrum; margins subparallel in basal half, convex and serrate distally, apex acute, often with apical spinule. Frontal margin with distinct sharp post-antennal spine; no tooth at anterolateral angle; notch lateral to angle; triangular tooth at anterior termination of slightly convex lateral margins; ohterwise, margins of carapace unarmed.

Abdomen pubescent except on most prominent surfaces of swellings and on surfaces sliding beneath preceding segment, unarmed. Second, third and fourth tergites each with blunt transverse ridge, followed by shallow groove on second and third tergites. Fifth and sixth tergites without sculpturing.

Sternum armed with 4 sharp spines between coxae of chelipeds: central 2 spines curved mesially; lateral spines smaller, with denticles laterally.

Eyes colorless; l large spine projecting anteriorly from center of cornea; length of eyespine less than diameter of cornea, but more than 1/2 diameter; smaller sharp spine on ventromesial part of cornea projecting from distal margin of eyestalk. Calcification, with setae, covering large part of corneal surface.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle swollen; 2 distolateral spines, ventral spine longer, broader at base; 1 small tooth on diatomesial margin.

Basal segment of antenna with lateral spine and broad ventromesial tooth. Second segment with lateral spine and small ventromesial tooth; transverse indentation in dursal surface. Third segment with lateral and mesial tooth distally. Dorsolateral lobe of distal segment with denticle distally. Flagellư approximately twice carapace length.

Endopod of merus of third maxilliped with 2 small teeth or spines
on ventromesial margin: 1 proximal, 1 in middle of segment; occasionally 1 or 2 minute teeth distally. Ischium with blunt ventral carina with 1 small tooth distally; mesial border serrate with approximately 18 teeth.

Pereiopods lightly sculptured, dorsolateral surfaces of proximal segments scabrous; dorsal surfaces with many short setae; dactylus of ambulatory legs and both fingers of chelipeds noticeably lacking pubescence found on other segments; several larger longer, non-plumose, setae scattered mainly on mesial and ventral surfaces. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds short, broad, slightly longer than total carapace length. Manus and half of carpus only extending beyond apex of rostrum; width of manus $1 / 2$ length. Dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of propodus. Propodus and carpus dorsoventrally flattened; double row of small rounded teeth on distolateral margin offixed finger; tips of fingers spooned, dentate; teeth continuing proximally on abutting dorsal margins, gape between margins ventrally. Carpus less than $1 / 2$ length of propodus; 1 small tooth on distal margin dorsally; another on mesial margin near distal end of segment. Merus subtriangular in cross section; distal margin with 1 small tooth dorsally and 1 large tooth at each angle; dorsal surface expanded with row of 5 or 6 smaller teeth diminishing in size proximally. Ischium with several teeth on distal magin: largest tooth dorsal, 1 or 2 ventrolateral; ventromesial prolongation with small tooth on mesial margin.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Second pereiopod extending beyond cheliped by $1 / 2$ length of dactylus; dactylus of third and Eourth pereiopods eactreaching distal margin of propodus of preceding leg. Tip of dactylus pale brom, followed on flexor margin by
series of 8 or 9 denticles diminishing in size proximally; corneous spinule projecting from anterior edge of each denticle. Distoventral margin of propodus with 2 movable spines separated by small denticulate lobes; otherwise, propodus unarmed. Carpus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus; distal margin with 2 sharp dorsal teeth, 2 indistinct, slightly tuberculate ridges dorsally; 1 or 2 blunt teeth on mesial ridge on second pereiopod; slight protuberance at this location on third and fourth pereiopods; occasionally few minute denticles on distoventral margin. Merus slightly longer than propodus, laterally compressed with lateral surface dorsally oriented; 2 sharp teeth on distal margin: 1 mesial, l lateral, with smooth lobular projection between; similar projections on distal margin ventromesially; row of 4 or 5 spines and tubercles on flexor margin, row or 6 or 7 distinct spines on extensor margin of second and third pereiopods, less distinct on fourth pereiopods; all projections decreasiag in size proximally. Ischium unarmed.

Fifth pereiopods with merus expanded, lateral surface slightly scajrous.

Protopod of uropod with posterolateral margin in 3 lobes, minute denticles between lobes; 1 small tooth on posterior margin mesial to notch. Exopod and endopod with granular denticles on lateral marsins; similar but larger denticles or spinules on exposed surface of endopod and exopod.

Telson broader than long, divided into 8 plates; anterior plate wich slight medial inflation; posterior margin with small medial indentation.
$*$
Color.--Specimens examined are preserved in alcohol and are devoid of pigment.

Size. - -o' $^{\text {c }}$ cl. 8.4 mm ,
¢, cl. 7.4-11.0 mm,
ovigerous \&, cl. 7.8-11.0 mm.
The male holotype increases the size range of males to 11.0 mm .

Sexual dimorphism.--The male specimen has an inconspicous row of setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson; there are no setae in this location on female specimens, The chelipeds of the male are slightly broader and longer than those of a female of conparable size, and the opposing margins of the fingers are sinusoidal in the male, straight in the female. A larger female (cl. 11.0 mm ) has a slight gape between the fingers, with a small blunt projection on the fixed finger; the margins are straight in the distal half.

Habitat.--Data on the bottom type were not recorded for any of the 3 PILLSBURY stations where M. ramahtaylorae was taken.

Types.--The holotype is a male, cl. 11 mm , USNM 138232; the paratype is alss a male, cl. 10 mm , USNM 7807.

Type locality.--Near St. Barthelemy, Lesser Antilles, OREGON Sta. 6696, $649-667 \mathrm{~m}$.

Geceraphic range.--Muntdopsis ramahtaylorae has been collected infrequently from widespread locations throughout the Caribbean Sea: in the north, near Cuba; in the east, near St. Barthelesy; in the south, from the coast of Colombia; and in the western Caritocan, off Honduras. The type locality and another location south of Cuba reported by Pequegnat and Pequegnat (1971: 11) are the only records $s=$ far published.

Bathymetric range.--Possible range for the material examined is 408-634 $m$, which falls within the calculated range for previously reported depths of $368-649 \mathrm{~m}$; ssible previous range was $368-667 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--No parasites were found on the specimens examined, and there are no records of parasitism in this species.

Associates:--Munidopsis erinaceus was collected at all 3 PILLSBURY stations where $\underline{M}$. ramahtaylorae was taken; $\underline{M}$. riveroi was taken at 2 of these stations. The index of affinity was calcilated between M. ramahtaylorae and these at 0.24 for the first species, and 0.29 for the second.

Relationships.--Munidopsis ramahtaylorae is similar to M. spinoculata (A. Milne Edwards) ; both species have the characters used to distinguish M. Spinoculata from , ther species of Munidopsis in the keys presented by Benedict (1902), Chace (1942) and Pequegnat and Pequegnat (1970). See Table 1 for comparison of characters of these 2 species and those of M. subspinoculata (p. 344 ). M. ramahtaylorae, however, has the rostrum slightly decurved, with the lateral margins convex, and lacking a median longitudinal carina, whereas M. spinoculata has the rostrum straight or slightly upturned, with straight lateral margins, and a distinct longitudinal carina. Also, M. ramahtaylorae has the dorsal surface of the carapace smoother, more pubescent, and with the lateral margins convex, compared to the ridged carapace of $M$. spinoculata which has straight lateral margins and setae restricted to transverse rows.

Munidopsis ramahtaylorae bears some resemblance to M . bermudezi Chace from which it can easily be distinguished by the absence of epipods on the chelipeds, the absence of spines on the gastric region of
the carapace, the central location of the large eyespine, and the lack of an anterolateral spine on the frcntal margin of M. ramahtaylorae.

Munidopsis ramahtaylorae appears to be related to M. hendersoniana Faxon, from the Gulf of Panama. M. hendersoniana however, has the rostrum bluntly carinate and longer with respect to carapace length, the dorsal surface of the carapace lateral to the gastric region concave, and the pereiopods with larger, evenly-spaced spines.

Munidopsis riveroi Chace, 1939
Figures 35, 36

Minidopsis riveroi Chace, 1939: 48; 1942: 75 (key), 93-95, figs. 31, 32.
--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 140 (key); 1971: 6 (key), 21-22.

Material examined.- Off Atlantic coast of Ccionbia: P-374, 434-373m, 1 o, $9.6 \mathrm{~mm},(U S N M) ; P-394,416-634 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \circ^{\circ}, 9.1,12.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 2$ ovigerous 7, 12.8, 13.5 mm, (USNM); P-776, $408-576 \mathrm{~m}, 50^{\circ}, 6.8-12.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ (l with branchial paraaite), $19,10.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 3$ oviger us $9,11.2-14.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMM 32:5264; P-781, 567-531 m, $100,12.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 29,8.1,12.5$ m (with branchial parasite), 5 ovigerous $9,14.2-15.5 \mathrm{~mm},(R M N H) .-$ Off Guadeloupe: P-923, 476$686 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 12.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ (with branchial parasite), (PNNH).Distribution plot 13.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum unarmed, broad hood-like, dorsally excavate, ape: drawn out to point; no spines on dorsal surface or margins if carapace, but several raised tuberculate areas on inflated gastric region; frertal margin with post-antennal lobe; second, third and fourth abdominal tergites armed with blunt median tooth on each of 2 transverse carinae; eyes unarmed; no epipods on pereiopods.

Description.--Carapace distinctly longer than broad (cw/cl $=0.80-0.85$ ); slightly narrower anteriorly; dorsal surface transversely convex, various areas decorated with tubercles and distinct groups of tubercles arranged somewhat symmetrically, nearly all body surfaces pubescent, smooth between decorations; cervical groove visiole as broad transverse indentation with oolique anterior and posterior branches extending co lateral margins. Gastrič region further inflated, well-defined anteriorly and laterally by groove extending across sase of rostrum, turning

Distribution plot 13.--Munidopsis riveroi Chase, 1939 collected by the PILLSBURY.


Figure 35 . --Munidopsis riveroi Chace, 1939, ơ, cl. $12.0 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-394$, dorsal view.


Figure 36 . --Munidopsis riveroi Chace, 1939 , $0^{\circ}$, cl. $12.0 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-394$ : a, lateral view of carapace and abdomen, setae omitted; b, posterior abdominal tergites, telson and uropods, setae shown on posterior margins
 two ventrolateral view.
posteriorly behind antenna; center of gastric region armed with most prominent cluster of tubercles; smaller cluster anteriorly on midline; front of gastric region with pair of irregular transverse groups of granules, several small groups behind this on either side; prominent sculpturing also posterior to central protuberance; groups of tubercles arranged transversely, extending to lateral margins on raised areas behind cervical groove; forward part of cardiac region in raised tuberculate crest; transverse rows of tubercles on cardiac region posteriorly; hepatic regions granulate or tuberculate, sculpturing most dense near margins. Rostrum broad, hood-1ike; lateral margins subparallel from base to just beyond eyes, tapering distally to apex, tip often somewhat drawn out, slightly upturned, margins minutely dentate; dorsal surface with broad medial excavation, protuberances or tubercles arranged symmetrically on either side of excavation, basal pair most prominent. Frontal margin with slight rim curving away from base of rostrum to tuberculate post-antennal lobe. Anterolateral angle rounded, densely tuberculate but unarmed. Lateral margin unarmed; indentation at lateral termination of anterior branch of cervical groove; convex between this and slight indentation at terminus of posterior branch of cervical groove. Posterior margin unarmed; leading edge or rajsed rim minutely granulate, obscure sculpturing posterior to ridge.

First abdominal tergite with smooth rounded flange at posterolateral margin. Second, third and fourth tergites each with 2 transverse carinae armed medially with blunt triangular tcoch; anterior ridge sharFer, extending to lateral margins of pleura, second tergite with knob approximately $2 / 3$ distance to margin of pleurn; posterior carina rounded; pleura of third, fourth and fifth segments narrowed laterally; fifch
segment with medial knob anteriorly followed by 2 pairs of shallow oval depressions with few or no setae; similar depressions on sixth segment; posterolateral margins of sixth segment with distinct rounded lobes.

Sternum smooth, devoid of setae except for few on rounded intersegmental ridges.

Eyes movable, unarmed; cornea conspicuous, dilated.
Spinule projecting from plate at intersection of bases of eye, antennule and antenna.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle enlarged, but ventrolateral inflation somewhat flattened; anterior surface with sharp dorsal spine and large spine beneath and slightly mesial; ventromesial projection dentate.

Basal segment of antenna with small lateral protuberance and ventral spiae. Second segment with dorsal projection proximally at articulation; sharp lateral spine on distal margin. Third segment with small sharp dorsolateral spine on distal margin and minute spinule or protuberance mesially and laterally. Fourth segment with lateral projection terminating in sharp spine. Flagellum reaching to manus of cheliped or beyund fingers.

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped with gharp dorsal carina terminating in spinc; rounded ventral adge terminating in sharp point. Merus concave laterally, flexor aargin with 2 or 3 small trangular teeth following curved proximal edge; extensor margin with small distal tooth. Carpus with several minute tubercles on extensor (dorsal) surface.

No epipods on chellpeds or arioulatory legs.
Chelipeds $21 / 2$ to 3 times carapace length, subcylindrical, slender. Manus almost $1 / 2$ length of cheliped, width approximately $1 / 9$
length. Dactylus slightly less than $1 / 2$ length of manus; mesial margins of both fingers very straight, finely toothed along dorsal abutting edges, several tufts of long golden setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces, narrow gape basally; tips spooned, dentate. Manus setose, smooth, with few scattered obscure tubercles. Carpus short, less than $1 / 4$ length of manus, dorsal and mesial swellings minutely tuberculate at distal articulation; scattered tubercles. Merus approximately same length as manus; tubercles distinct; dorsomesial row of 3 or 4 sharp spines; distal margin with transversc row of small tubercles across dorsal surface, and venrromesial spine; ventrolateral projection often with several spinules near tip. Ischium with blunt dorsal projection, ventral prolongation unarmed.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar, short. Tip of second pereiopod not reaching $1 / 2$ length of merus of cheliped. Dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus; curved corneous tip followed on flexor margin by 4 or 5 triangular teeth decreasing in size proximally, leading edge somerimes with setae, but no corneous spinules; vencral surface with many short setae, some in tufts. Propodus smooth, subcylindrical, length increasing proportionately from second to fourth pereiopod, most surfaces pubescent;blunt tooth on flexor margin near distal articulation. Carpus unarmed, setose, with obsture longitudinal dorsolateral elevation. Merus laterally compressed, expanded flexor margin straight, obscurely denticulate on ridge-like edge, longitudinal depression below ridge; scattered low tubercles on lateral surface more distinct on third and fuurth pereiopods; merus becoming proportionately shorter and broader from second to furth perelopods; mesial surface smoother with fewer setae. Ischium short, not distinctive.

Expanded merus of fifth pereiopod with distal half of exposed surface setose, flexor margin with flattened denticulate expansion midway along length; another obscure tooth distally on flexor margin.

Protopod of uropod with margin of posterolateral lobe obscurely dentate.

Telson broader than long, consisting of 10 plates, slightly narrower posteriorly; posterior margin with medial indentation.

Color.--All specimens examined has been preserved in alcohol and were devoid of color except for certain thick golden setae on telson and other appendages. No records of color in this species were found in the literature.

Size.-~で, cl. 6.8-12.5 mm, ¢, cl. 8.1-15.5 mm, and ovigerous $\%$, cl. 11.2-15.5 mm.

Sexual dimurphism.--Males have the dense comb of setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson; these setae are lacking in fenales. Chelipeds of both males and females have only a narrow gape between the bases of the almost straight fingers; males have the chelipeds slightly longer proportionately than do the females. Females, particularly large ovigerous specimens, have the abdomen noticeably broader and fuller.

Habitat.--Of the stations where M. 드앙 was collected, the bottom type was recurded only at $\mathrm{P}-781$; the bottom there consisted of mud and pterupod shells.

Types.--The holotype is a male, cl. 12.8 mm , XCZ 10230. The paratype
is an ovigerous female, c1. 13.8 mm .

Type locality.--North coast of Cuba (Nicholas Channel off Punta Sagua la Grande, Santa Clara Province), ATLANTIS Sta. 2989, 659 m (360 fm).

Geographic range. - Munidopsis riveroi has been collected from locations throughout the Caribbean and along the north coast of Cuba, and with some regularity along the north coast of South America. Apart from the locations listed herein for material, the following localities have been reported in the literature: North coast of Cuba (Chace, 1939: 48; 1942: 93); Caribbean Sea: Honduras, Colombia, Venezuela, Dominica, St. Barthélemy, Haiti (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 22).

Bathymetric range.--The possible depth range for material collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY is $373-686 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range is 434-531 m . Possible range including previous records is $338-914 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range including previous records is $430-860 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--The branchial parasites mentioned in the listing of material are bopyrid isopods of the genus Pseudione, probably an undescribed species.

One of the male specimens from $P-776$ has several colonies of campanulariid hydroids attached to the propodi of the second and third pereiopods.

No records of parasites appear in the literature.

Assciates.--An indax of affinity between M. riveroi and M. erinaceus was calculated at the relatively high significance point of 0.34 ; the inde: for M. riveroi with M. ramataylorae and M. polita was 0.29 and
0.23 respectively.

Relationships.--Munidopsis riveroi can be included in the Elasmonotus group of species including other western Atlantic species, M. brevimanus (A. Milne Edwards), M. Longimanus (A. Milne Edwards) and M. alaminos Pequegnat and Pequegnat. The rostrum of $M$. riveroi is more acuminate than that of the first two of these, and broader than that of the third. M. riveroi has larger eyes and longer, narrower chelipeds than any of these. It is less spiny than M. alaminos, has the abdominal tergites less prominent dorsally than $M$. longimanus, and the carapace more strongly arched transversely with the raised portions more coarsely cuberculate than M. brevimanus.

Munidopsis robusta (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Figures 37, 38

Galathodes robustus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 54.
Munidopsis rotusta: A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894: 275 (key); 1897:
69-71, pl. VI, figs. 15-20, pl. VII, fig. 1.--Young, 1900: 407 (key), 411.--Benedict, 1902: 277 (key), 325 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 175. (list), 178 (table).--Chace, 1942: 74 (key).--Springer and Bullis, 1956: 15.--Bullis and Thompson, 1965: 9.--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 140 (key), 155, fig. 5-1, table 5-2; 1971: 6 (key).

Material cxamined.--Straits of Florida: $G-654,324 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovigerous $\uparrow, 19.1$ $\mathrm{mm},($ USint: ; G-970, $512 \mathrm{~m}, 29,11.9 \mathrm{~mm}, 16.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (with branchial parasite) UME 32:5265; G-1099, 1 ovigerous $9.16 .5 \mathrm{~mm},(\mathrm{ZNH}) .-$ Gulf of Mexico: 439-454 m, 19, 18.1 mm UMML 32:2488.--Off Surinam: OREGON Sta. 4301, $366 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ oviधerous $\uparrow, 17.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM). See distribution plot 14 .

Diagnosis.--Rostrum triangular, spine-like, dorsally tuberculate, lateraily serrate, but unarmed; rostrum flexed upward or with sharp distal upturn; gastric region of carapace with large central protuberance or blunt spine and 5 smailer protuberances arranged anterior to this; frontal margin with triangular post-antennal lobe, with tubercles anteriorly; sharp triangular touth at anterolateral angle directed anterolaterally; posterior margin with blunt medial spinc; secord, third and fourth abdominal tergfles with medial spine; eyes unarmed; no epipods on chellpeds or ambularory legs.

Discription.--Carapace distinctly longer than iroad ( $\mathrm{cw} / \mathrm{cl}=0.85-0.95$ ) ; broadest posteriorly, strongly convex transversely; dorsal surface

Distribution plot 14.--Munidopsis robusta (A. Milne Edwards, 1880) collected by the GERDA.


Figure 37. - -Munidopsis robusta (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), Y, cl. 11.9 mm , G-970, dorsal view, setae omitted from right side.

decorated with many knobs and tubercles, densely putescent in smooth areas between protuberances; regions well-defined; gastric region not greatly inflated, bordered posteriorly by central part of shallow cervical groove; deep indentation on either side at bifurcation of cervical groove; posterior branch oblique, deeper than anterior branch, both delimiting epibranchial region; hepatic region separated from gastric region by shallow smooth depressions; postcervical sroove smooth, distinct extending laterally nearly to margins then curving to merge with posterior branch of cervical groove; branchiocardiac grooves less distinct. Center of gastric region with prominent knob with tubercle or tooth directed dorsally and forward; smaller medial tosth or tubercle anterior to this preceded by widely-spaced pair of tuberculate crest-like protuberances, and followed by 2 pairs of protuberances laterally; 5 distinct protuberances arranged around posterior margin of gastric region, and 1 protuberance on either side; groups of 3 or 4 low tubercles anterior to lateral protuberances. Metagastric region with transverse crescentic row of tuberculate swellings poscerior tc cervical groove. Anterior raised part of cardiac region with medial conical tooth on ante:ior edge; several tuberculate swellings posteriorly; similar sculpturine in 2 irregular oblique rows extending anterolaterally on metabranchial regions, and on swelling behind and slightly mesial to epibranchial retion; coarse tubercles lateral to this and on epibranchial and hepatic regi na. Rostrum triangular, dersally inflated, lateral rounded surfaces of swellin; with pairs of tuberculate procuberances merging and becoming indistinct on rounded dorsal carina; restrum flexed upward from frontal margin or with distal upturn; lateral margins serrate distally beyond eyestalks; ventral surface with rcunded carina. Frontal
margin with triangular post-antennal lobe tuberculate or dentate anteriorly. Anterolateral angle with broad triangular tooth, projecting anterolaterally and terminating in spine. Lateral margins tuberculate from carapacial sculpturing; portion of branchiostegite visible in dorsal view below and behind epibranchial region. Posterior margin finely sculptured; raised rim beaded on leading edge with medial projection tooth-like.

First abdominal segment with posterolateral articular flange rounded. Second, third and fourth abdominal tergites with large medial conical spine; anterior transverse swelling on second, third and fourth tergites with distinct ridge extending laterally to pleuronal margins, becoming tuberculate laterally; second and third tergites with transverse swelling on posterior half; posterior part of fourth tergite and fifth and sixth tergites relatively smooth; fifth tergites with 4 obscure ovate depressions centrally; sixth tergite with posterolateral lobes.

Sternum unarmed; intersegmental depressions followed by rounded transverse swellings with rows of short fine setae; shallow longitudinal depression in center between sternite of ambulatory legs.

Eyestalks novable; mesial edge pinched, mesial surface indented, projected onto cornea as dorsomesial lobe; cornea dilated, scmewhat elongate and inflated anteromesially; cornea of preserved specinens somewhat corneous; facets small, barely perceptible.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle not swollen, elongate, relatively slender; distal margin armed dorsolaterally with sharp triangular spine; smaller sharp spine posterior and slightly lateral to this; sharp ventromesial spine with setae on distal margin and smaller dorsomesial tooth; spines on basal segment reaching beyond eyes but not
beyond rostrum. Extended second segmert, as well as distal segment of peduncle and flagellum, reaching beyond rostrum.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle unarmed except for low lateral tooth with setae. Second segment with dorsomesial knob at articulation near basal margin; distal margin with sharp conical lateral spine and small lobe just mesial to it. Distal margin of third segment with dorsal, slightly lateral spinule, and lateral denticle. Dorsolateral projection of last segment terminating in small spine. Flagellum more than twice carapace length, reaching well beyond tip of chelipeds.

Carpus of endopod of third maxilliped with several small tubercles in longitudinal, slightly lateral, row on extensor margin, terminating in sharp spinule on distal margin. Merus with sharp dorsal spine at distal end of extensor margin; proximal part of flexor margin projected into laterally compressed lobe with distal triangular tooth, often with smaller tooth distally adjacent. Ischium with dorsal tooth distally; flexor margin projected into ventral carina terminating in broader, blunter tooth; mesial carinate margin with corneous teeth.
tho epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.
Chelipeds 2 to 2 1/2 times carapace length. Nanus less than $1 / 2$ cheliped length, unarmed, slightly compressed dorsoventrally; width of manus $1 / 7$ to $1 / 8$ manus length in females. Dactylus approximately l'2 length of manus; fingers fairly straight, finely tootive and abutting aloug entire length oミ dorsal opposing margins; tips slightly spooned; ventral surface excavate, gaped, with 4 distin=tive tufts of heavy golden sctae on mesial surface of each edge, and several smallur tufts. Carpus short, with sharp dorsal spine on distal margin; proximal dorsal surface with 2 rows of rubercles, becoming cbscure distally.

Merus with sharp spine at each of dorsolateral, dorsomesial and ventromesial angles of distal margin; dorsal surface with 3 large spines in longitudinal row, and smaller spine distal or proximal to these, 2 or 3 spines mesial to these; surface elsewhere tuberculate, setose, with setae conspicuous on mesial surfaces. Ischium with large conical tooth dorsally; ventral projection with smaller tooth near distal margin.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar, setose, particularly on mesial surfaces and on all surfaces of dactyli. Dactylus of second pereiopod reaching to or just beyond distal margin of merus of cheliped. Dactylus shorter than propodus, but more than $1 / 2$ length, curved; brown corneous tip strongly curved, followed on flexor margin by series of 6 or 7 sharp conical teeth, decreasing in size proximally, each with thick seta on anterior edge. Propodus unarmed, subcylindrical. Carpus short, obscurely tuberculate, unarmed. Merus shorter and broader proportionately proceeding from second to fourth pereiopods; dorsal edge slightly raised, obscurely tuberculate; dorsolateral surface tuberculate, tubercles more prominent on fourth pereiopod; unarmed except for small triangular tooth distolaterally, more prominent on second and third pereiopods. Ischium unarmed.

Merus of fifth pereiopods expanded, 1 or 2 small teeth on flexor (ventral) margin.

Uropods with posterolateral margin in 2 lobes; margin of ancerior lobe irregular at arifculation of exopod; posterior margin of posterior lobe with 2 or 3 blunt, obscure denticles.

Telson broader than long, composed of 10 articulated plates; large lateral plate with lowrounded tubercle near center; posterior margin slightly indented.

Color.--The specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and were devoid of any pigment except for the brown corneous tips of the pereiopodal dactyli, certain thick golden setae on the mouthparts and other appendages, and the translucent yellowish corneae. No records of color in this species were found in the literature.

Size.--Only females are represented in the GERDA collections; 2 ovigerous females, cl. 16.5, 19.1.mm and 2 females without eggs, cl. 11.9, 16.2 mm (the latter with a large branchial parasite). Other material examined was within these ranges.

Sexual dimorphism.--As indicated above, only female specimens of $\mathbb{M}$. robusta were examined. These have the fingers of the cheliped abuiting along their entire dorsal margins and only a few fine setae along the posterolateral margins of the telson.

Habitat.--The bottom type was recorded at only one of the GERDA stations where this species was collected: it consisted of pteropods and grey mud.

Type.--The holotype is an ovigerous female, cl. approximately 17 mm ; MCZ 6339.

Type lucality.--Off Grenada, BLAKE Sta. 258, 281 m (159 fm).

Geographic range. - Munidopsis robusta has been collected throughout the Gulf of Mexico, and in the western Atlantic from northern Florida to Surinam. This species appear to be more abundant and widespread in the Gulf of Mexico and on both sides of Florida than in any other area where it has been taken. It has not been collezted in the Caribbean

Sea, except for the single type specimen from Grenada. Apart from the type locality and the localities listed herein, the following locations have been reported in the literature: NE Gulf of Mexico, southern Straits of Florida (Springer and Bullis, 1956: 15); east of northern Florida (Bullis and Thompson, 1965: 9) ; NW, SW and NE Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 155).

Bathymetric range.--Possible and calculated depth ranges for the material examined are the same, $324-622 \mathrm{~m}$. Possible depth range including previous records is $110-824 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range based on previous records is $110-47 \mathrm{~m}$. Thus GERDA station 1099 in the Straits of Florida is the deepest confirmed record ( 622 m ) for M. robusta.

Parasites.--The branchial parasite on a specimen from G-970, is a bopyrid isopod identified as Pseudione, probably of an undescribed speaies. The only record of parasitism in M. robusta is an abdominal parasite, the type specimen of a rhizocephalan, Tortugaster fistulatus Reinhard (1948: 33) found on a specimen of $M$. rcbusca from off Tortuga, Flurida.

Associates.--Other sptcies of Munidopsis (M. erinaceus and M. polita) ware taken with M. rclusta at only one GERDA station.

Relationships.--A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 71) suggested affinities between Munidopsis robusta and M. serratifrons (A. Milne Edvards); the lattar, however, is a much smallar species with a prominant pair of gastric spines, 2 medial eardiac spines, 3 pairs of posterior branchial spincs, mure abdomiral š้pines, a carinate rostrum and immovable eyestalks in addition to numerous other distinguishing characters. The
general shape of the carapace and rostrum is somewhat similar, however, and this species may be M. robusta's closest western Atlantic relative. M. sericea Faxon and M. margarita Faxon from the eastern Pacific were also reported as closely related to $M$. robusta; the first definitely belongs in the group with 2 sharp lateral spines on the rostrum which contains M. erinaceus and M. spinifer, rather than with M. robusta. M. margarita, however, is closer to M. robusta. M. margarita also displays a close similarity in many features with $\underline{M}$. serratifrons which serve to distinguish both from M. robusta.

Remarks.--All reports in which the sex of the specimens examined is presented indicate that so far only females of this species have been ccllected. As the reports of Springer and Bullis (1956: 15) and Bullis and Thompson (1965: 9) do not give details about the fairly large quantity oi material they had, it is possible that some males were collected by the OREGON.

Munidopsis rostrata (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Figures 39, 40

Galacantha rostrata A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 52.--Smith, 1882: 21, pl. IX, figs. 2, 2a; 1884: 355.--A. Milne Edvards and Bouvier, 1894: 271 (key), 322; 1897: 60-63, pl. IV, figs. 21-24; 1900: 308-311, pl. VI, fig. 9 (color).--Faxon, 1893: 180; 1895: 78-79, pl. B, figs. 1, la. --Benedict, 1902: 304-305 (list).--Stebbing, 1908: 20.--Hansen, 1908: 35-36.--Fowler, 1912: 575-576.--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 174 (table). Perez, 1927: 285 (sexual dimorphism).--Barnard, 1950: 494, fig. 92, e-f.--Haig, 1955: 39-40.--Tirmizi, 1966: 206 (key), 206-209, figs. 23, 24.--Kensley, 1968: 284 (list), 292.

Munidopsis rostrata: Smith, 1885: 493; 1886: 649, pl. VI, figs. 1, la. --Chace, 1942: 72 (key), 75-76.--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 138 (key); 1971: 4 (key).--Miyake and Baba, 1970: 95 (list).

Galacantha Talismani Filhol, 1885, pl. 3.--Perrier, 1885: 295, 341, íig. 242, no. 8.

Galacantha talismanii: Henderson, 1888: 167, pl. XX, fig. 1.
Galacantha bellis Henderson, 1885: 418; 1888: 167-168, pl. XIX, fig. 6. --Murray, 1895: 1129.

Galacantha arenlata Wcod-Mason and Alcock, 1891: 200.--Alcock and Anderson, 1894: 173.--Illustrations of Zoology of the Investigacor, Crustaceans, 1901: pl. 55, figs. 5, 5a.

Galacantha investigatoris Alcock and Anderson, 1894: 173.--Illustrations of Zoology of the Investigator, Crustaceans, 1901: pl. 12, fig. 4. --Benedict, 1902: 304 (1ist).

Galacantha rostrata var. Investigatoris Alcock, 1901: 275 (key), 276-277.


[^0]

Figure 39. --Munidopsis rostrata (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), ó, cl. 21.7 mm , P-844: a, lateral view of carapace and abdomen; b, posterior abdominal segments, uropods and telson; $\underline{c}$, dorsal view. Sonie setae omitted.


Figure 40, --Munidopsis rostrata (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), ó, c1. 21.7 mm , P-844: a, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view; b, rostrum, eye, antennule and antenna, ventrolateral view; $\underline{c}$, dactylus of right third pereiopod, lateral view.

Galacantha faxoni Benedict, 1902: 304 (new name for Faxon's material).

Material examined.--Off Atlantic coast of Colombia: P-782, 2669-2626 m, $1 \sigma^{\prime}, 26.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH). - OEf TObago: P-844, $1464-1843 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}^{\prime}, 21.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ (with abdominal parasite), $19,20.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML $32: 5266 .-$-Gonave Bay, Haiti: P-1181, 2489-2548 m, $19,11.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH). See distribution plot 15.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum narrow horizontal proximally with strong distal up"turn, armed laterally at distal part of horizontal portion; gastric region of carapace with prominent pair of spines anteriorly and huge lat-erally-compressed spine projecting upward from posterior part; frontal marsin unarmed between rostrum and sharp anterolateral spine; posterior margin unarmed; median spine on second, third and fourth segments; eyes unarmed; epipods on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad (cw/cl $=0.82-0.89$ ), moderately convex transversely; cervical groove discernible as smooth crescent in center of tuberculate carapace, anterior and posterior lateral brancnes obscure; postcervical groove broader, smooth, extending across central 1/3 of carapace, terminating laterally in depressions; anterolateral to each of these, on efther side of gastric region, another smooth ruanded depression. Anterior gastric region with pair of prominent conical spines; posterior gastric region with huge laterally-compressed median spine projecting dorsally and slightly anteriorly; anterior ridge of cardiac region with median spine equal in size to anterior gastric spines. Rostrum narrow, dorsally carinate, horizontal in basal portion; distal portion projecting upwards as laterally-compressed spine similar to median gastric spine; $\stackrel{\text { pair }}{ }$ of smaller spines projecting anterolacerally
and slightly upward from distal termination of horizontal portion, followed on base of lateral margins by $l$ or nore pairs of smaller teeth. Frontal margin unarmed between base of rostrum and large, flattened anterolateral spine; anterolateral spine followed by longar similar spine projecting anterolaterally from lateral margins and occasionally by additional small triangular tooth. Lateral margin with notch indicating termination of postericr branch of cervical groove, followed by triangular tooth or blunt tuberculate protuberance. Raised ridge bordering posterior margin of carapace sharply carinate; carina followed by transverse line of tubercles: area with sculpturing broader at posterolateral angles. Abdomen with large median spine on anterior ridge across center of second, third and fourth tergites. Two transverse tuberculate carinae across tergites; pleura with coarser tubercles, especially on second segment; anterior ridge interrupted laterally, with tuberculate swelling lateral to depression. Fifth segment smooth, unarmed, with slichtly oblique nearly longitudinal channel in same location as depressions on preceding tergites; center obscurely punctate, weak tubercles laterally and on sixth tergite. Sixth tergite with channels shalluwer, more oblique.

Sternum with intersegmental grooves and setiferous ridges distinct; anterior plate between chelipeds concave, occasionally with pair of sounded tubercles; longitudinal median furrow between pereiopods on second thresigh fourth sternites.

Eyes movable, prominent, unarmed; cornea ovoid, slightly inflated. Short tooth emerging from intersection of bases of eyestalk, antenna and antennule.

Basal seģment of antẽ̛nnular peduncle enlarged; lateral swelling
tuberculate anteriorly; distal margin with large, slightly incurving dorsolateral tooth, short dorsomesial tooth, and minutely denticulate ventral projection. All setae associated with tubercles and margins long and fine.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle broad, immovable; distal margin wtih small triangular ventromesial projection, and larger, blunter lateral projection. Second segment broad, with small tooth proximally at mesial articulation; triangular lateral projection on distal margin with groove and small lobe mesially on dorsal surface; ventromesial tuberculate protuberance near distal margin. Third segment with scattered tubercles; distal margin with dorsolateral and ventromesial minutely denticulate crests. Distal margin of fourth segment with dorsolateral part projected anteriorly. Antennal flagellum 3 to 4 times carapace length.

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped terminating distally in triangular point on ventromesial angle and small tooth dorsolaterally. Merus with broad basal tooth on flexor margin followed by smaller sharp spine, 2 spines, or bifid spine; lateral surface and extensor margin tuberculate. Carpus with lateral part of extensor margin slightly expanded, weakly tuberculate.

All peraiopods with tubercles, usually multidenticulate, arranged over most exposed surfaces. Epipods present on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds approximately $11 / 2$ times carapace length. Dactylus more than $1 / 2$ length of manus; fingers dorsoventrally compressed; dorsal surfaces smooth: opposing margins toothed and abutting along entire length; tips spooned with largot teeth; fingers gaped ventrally. Manus approximately $1 / 2$ length of cheliped; palm broader than fingers; dorsal palmar
surface with coarse tubercles arranged in irregular longitudinal rows, sculpturing weaker on ventral surface. Carpus more than $1 / 3$ length of chela, with large dorsomesial spine on distal margin; 1,2 or 3 smaller triangular spines dorsolaterally near distal margin. Merus shorter than chela, armed distally with sharp mesial, ventrcresial and lateral spine; distal margin with denticulate crest dorsally, with small triangular tooth near lateral termination. Ischium with conical dorsal touth and ventral tooth near distal termination of ventral prolongation.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods quite similar. Dactylus of second pereiopod reaching beyond chelipeds; dactyli of third and fourth pereiopods reaching middle of dactylus of preceding leg. Dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus, cerneous brown tip followed on flexor margin by series of approximately 18 small triangular teeth, each armed on anterior edge with short curved setae; distal half of extensor margin with 2 parallel rows of setae forming fringe. Propodus slender, subcylindrical, tubercles arranged in longitudinal rows on dorsal and lateral surface; tubercles weaker, sparse on ventromesial surface; ventral surface with lobe lateral to notch near distal margin bearing movable spinule. Carpus slightly more than $1 / 3$ length of propodus; flexor margin slightly expanded, coarsely tuberculate; distal tubercles prominent; longitudinal row of smaller tubercles dorsolaterally. Merus with terminal triangular spine on either side of lobe on distal margin, dorscmesial spine larger. Ischium with small dorsa! footh on distal margin. Herus cf fifth pereioped tuberculate on exposed lateral surface; cristate flexor margin with several ventral projections.

Protepod of urofod with posterior lobe notched, minutely serrate lobe lateral to notch and 2 small teeth mesial to notch. Exposed
surface of protopod and endopod tuberculate.
Telson broader than long, narrower anteriorly and posteriorly; divided into 10 plates, medial plate slightly inflated; tubercles arranged somewhat symmetrically on mesial part of most plates; posterior margin with medial indentation.

Color.--A color slide of a freshly-caught Munidopsis rostrata shows the animal to be completely red-orange, with the spines, distal segments of the appendages and antennae slightly darker, and the corneae paler orange.

Smith (1884: 355) reported the colors of recently-preserved material collected off the east coast of the United States as dark purplish-red, with lighter red antennal flagella and nearly white eyes.
A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1900:311) reported the general color of the body as white tinted with orange, with spines of the "shell" and appendages, the sides and numerous band on the abdomen, and the greatest part of the surface of the legs vivid orange, as are the ocular peduncles. The colored figure (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900: pl. VI, fig. 9) shows the colors as described above, except that the background colur appears to be more yellowish.

Hansen (1908: 36) reported the INGOLF specimens as reddish-yellow, with pale red eyes..

The material examined is preserved in alcohol and is chalky or creamy white except for the brown corneous tips of the ambulatory dactyli and the golden color of thicker setae.

Alcock (1901: 276) reported specimens from the Indian Ocean varying from dull chalky-orange to bright orange-red wich whitish patches.

Size. --Specimens taken by the PILLSBURY fall within the following ranges:
$\sigma^{\circ}$, c1. 21.7-26.3 mm, and
¢, c1. 11.5-20.0 mm.
Males have been reported with cl. equailling 34.5 mm (Smith, 1882: 355) ; thus M. rostrata attains a larger size than most other species in the genus.

Sexual dimorphismi.--The most striking secondary sexual character is the "comb" of thick golden setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson of the males; marginal setae are completely lacking in this location on the females. The males have the fingers of the cheliped abutting along their entire margins, as do the females.

Habitat.--The bottom at P-1181 consisted of fine yellow mud. Globigerina ooze and blue mud are the principle bottom types reported for stations whert $\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{H}}$ ristrata has been collected previously.

Type.--The holotype is a female with cl. approxinately $18 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{MCZ} 4740$.

Type locality.--Oif Beq̧uia, Lesser Antilles, BLAKE Sta. 236; 2912 m (1591 fm).

Geographic range.-- This species is one of the most widespread in the genus, having been taken on both sides of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans as well as in the Indian Ocean. This report extends its Caribbean range south to the coast of Colombia, and further south in the western Atlantic to Tubago. Locality records found in the literature are as follows: Western North Atlantic: off eastern coast of United States (Smith, 1882: 21; 1884: 355; 1886: 649); Caribbean Sea: S of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 76),

Lesser Antilles (A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 33) ; North Atlantic, W of Iceland (Hansen, 1908: 36) ; eastern Atlantic: near Canary Islands (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900: 311), near Cape Point, South Africa (Stebbing, 1908: 20; Barnard, 1950: 494; Kensely, 1968: 292); eastern Pacific: off coast of Chile (Henderson, 1885: 418), Gulf of California and near the Galapagos (Faxon, 1895: 78) ; western Pacific, near Banda (Henderson, 1888: 167) ; and the Indian Ocean: Bay of Bengal (Wood-Mason and Alcock, 1891: 200), Arabian Sea (Alcock and Anderson, 1894: 33).

Bathymetric range.--Munidonsis rostrata is a deep-water species, which has not been found shallower than 1600 m . Possible depth range for specimens collected by the PILLSBURY is $1464-2669 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated depth range is $1848-2626 \mathrm{~m}$, which falls within the previously reported range of 1647-2912m.

Parasites.--The male specimen from P-844 has a small rhizocephalan, probably Sacculina sp., attached beneath the second segment of the abdomen. No other parasites were found on the material examined. No records of parasitism in this species wera found in the literature.

Associates.- Munidopsis rostrata was the only Mundopsis taken at 2 of the 3 PILLSBURY stations where it was found.

Relationships.--Munidopsis rostrata is a member of the relatively distinctive Galacantha complex of species with extreme development of carapacial spines. The old genus Galacantha was one of the last to be merged with Munidopsis. Although Smith (1885: 493) included it in Munidopsis, citing $M$. bairdi as intermediate, several recent authors (Barnard, 1950: 494; Haig, 1955: 39; Tirmizi, 1966: 206; and Kensley, 1968:
292) have continued to split the two, despite the arguments and additional intermediate species (M. cubensis Chace, M. Expansa Benedict and M. gilli Benedict) presented by Chace (1942: 69). Chace admits that the typical forms can be recognized readily by the abnormal development of dorsal carapacial spincs, but he states that the latter three species, along with $\underline{G}$. camelus Ortmann, show the unreliability of the form of the rostrum (horizontal prosimally with distinct distal upturn) which A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier considered so important in defining the geñus. There is no question, however, that the Galacantha-like species are ciosely related to one another. There has been some controversey over the validity of some of the species included in the synonymy of $M$. rostrata, but there is general agreement (Chace, 1942: 76; Stebbing, 1908: 20; and Faxon, 1895: 79) that M. rostrata is a variable abyssal species distributed world-wide.

Munidopsis spinosa (A. Milne Edwards) is the closest relative to M. rostrata in the western Atlantic; the former can be easily distinguished from $\mathbb{M}$. rostrata by the lack of lateral spines on the rostrum and the greater number of median cardiac spines on the carapace.

Munidopsis trachynotus Anderson and $\underline{M}$. valdiviae Doflein and Balss, from the Arabian Sea and the east coast of Africa respectively, are very close to M. rostrata, both having the basal portion of the rostrum armed with lateral spines. M. trachynotus, however, has the posterior marsin of the carapace as well as the lateral parts of the abdominal carinae armed with a row of small spines and the general sculpturing of the carapace is more spinulate. M. valdiviae has only one prominent anterolateral spine, rather than two. A. diomedeae Faxon, from the eastern Pacific, has no lateral spincs on the rustrum, smaller zastric spines than
M. rostrata, rugose sculpturing posterolaterally on the carapace, and often lacks a median spine on the fourth abdominal tergite.

Munidopsis surratifrons (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Figures 41,42

Galathodes serratifrons A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 55.
llunid:2psis serratifrons: Henderson, 1888: 149-150, pl.XVI, fig. 3.--A.
Milne Edwards and Bouvier: 275 (key); 1897: 78-80, pL VI, fig. l-5.
--Young, 1900: 407 (key), 410-411.--Benedict, 1902: 277 (key), 326
(1ist).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 175, 176 (lists), 178 (table).--
Chace, 1942: 73 (key), 85-86.--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 139 (key), 155-156, table 5-3; 1971: 5 (key).

Material examined.- Bahama Islands: $G-190,733-897 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 7.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH); G-191, $824-860 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{c}^{\circ}, 9.2,11.0 \mathrm{~mm},(U S N \mathrm{VI})$; (Mayaguana Passage) $\mathrm{P}-1438$, 770-742 m, l ovigerous ?, 6.0 mm, UML 32:5?68.-Off Yucatan, Mexico: P-607, 715-787m, $1 \mathrm{ol}, 8.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 19,7.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5267. Distrib.plot 16 .

Diagnosis.--Rostrum unarmed, lateral margins serrate, nearly horizontal or gently flexed upward; gastric region of carapace with 1 pair of witalyspaced sharp spines; frontal margin unarmed, post-ancennal lobe with denticles, but no major spine; posterior margin armed with 1 pair of sharp curved spines; second and third ablominal tergites with lateral spine un either side of medial spine, third with additional medial spine, fourth with single medial spine; fye with dorsmesial denticle on surface of cornea; no epipods or. fereiopods.

Description.--Carapace, measured from behind eyes, slightly longer than broad (cu/cl $=0.85-(-95)$, broadest posteriorly; dorsal surface evenly granulate or tuberculate, tubercles spinulate anteriorly; cervical groove distinct, transverse centrally behind gastric region, turning forward



Figure 41. --Munidopsis serratifrons (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), 9 , cl. $7.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-607$, dorsal view, left fourth pereiopod missing.


Figure 42. - - Munidopsis serratifrons (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). © , cl. $11.0 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-191:$ a, lateral view of carapace and abdomen, only major setae on carapace shown; d, carpus and manus of cheliped, dorsal view, setae not shown; e, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view. of, cl. $9.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-191: \underline{b}$, right antennular peduncle, lateral view, setae omitted; $\underline{f}$, posterior abdominal tergites, telson and uropods (exopods hidden beneath endopods). $\quad$, cl. $7.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{p}-607: \mathrm{c}$, right antennal peduncle, dorsal view, setae omitted. All scales in $\overrightarrow{m m}$.
laterally; anterior branch distinct, continuing obliquely to lateral margin; posterior branch less distinct as shallow smooth depression; postcarvical groove adjacent and posterior to central part of cervical groove, extending across central third of carapace, connecting pair of small oval depressions on either side in front of cardiac region. Gastric region greatly inflated, armed anteriorly with large pair of spines, medial tuberosity with several long thick setae slightly anterior to spines; larger medial swelling posterior to spines flanked by small spinulate protuberance on either side, similar protuberances arranged symmetrically anterolateral, lateral and posterior to major spines. Center of inflated cardiac region with large spine curved forward, followed by smaller spine; metabranchial region with longitudinal series of 3 large projections near lateral margins: most anterior spine largest, curved anteromesially, followed by small tooth-like tuberculate protuberance. Rostrum approximately $1 / 3$ carapace length, broad at base, lateral margins subparallel, smooth between eyes, serrate distally, tapering to apex; rostrum nearly horizontal or flexed upward from base; dorsal surface with evenly distributed granules on either side of strong medial carina; carina denticulate in distal 2/3; 1 pair of cubercles prominent near base; ventral surface smooth. Frontal margin curving smoothly behind eyes to rounded postantennal lobe, armed anteriorly with several minute denticles. Anterolateral spine large, well-developed. Lateral mar£in with similar spine just behind termination of anterior branch of cervical groove; spinules or tubercles enlarged just behind lateral terminacion of posterior branch of cervical groove. Posterior margin inflated, armed with pair of large curved spines and many small granules.

First abdominal tergite with knob or tubercle on articular flange.

Second tergite with prominent rounded tooch projecting posterolaterally from raised anterior margin near articulation with first segment; anterior part of segment smooth; posterior part with 2 transverse carinae: first indistinct medially, forming rounded denticulate ridge most prominent approximately $1 / 2$ distance from center of tergite to pleural margin; second carina rounded, extending across tergite with 3 large curved spines centrally on posterior margin; 2 small teeth lateral to the se; carina interrupted by short deep channel, then extending laterally from rounded swelling, curving slightly forward, and forming ornately spinulate crest; pleuron with granules on excavate dorsal surface. Third segment with large curved medial spine on anterior carina; carina extending laterally to margins as continuous dentate crest; second carina with large curved medial spine flanked on either side by a smaller curved spine and 2 small protuberances; channel interrupting swelling similar to preceding segment, rounded protuberance lateral to this followed by granules, but no projected ridge. Fourth segment with cristate anterior carina armed medially with large curved spine, followed by transverse swelling across tergite with rounded tubercle at lateral termination; larger tubercle lateral to indentation and smaller tubercles lateral and slighty anterior to this, occasionally with medial tubercle. Fifth segment relatively smooth, obscure swelling in position of posterior carina of previvus segments and 3 lateral tubercles as above. Central portion of stath tergire quadrate, posterior margin with posterolateral lobe; obscure tubercle lateral to this and 1 even more distinct lateral and anterior to this on mesial surface of slightly concave pleuron.

Sternum unarmed; intersegmental ridges distinct with setae following broad depression between coxae of pereiopods.

Eyes large, imanable; eyestalk short, barely visible at base of slightly elongate cornea, extending out onto dorsomesial surface of cornea terminating in small but distinct spinule and strong setae along forward edge.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with proximal half inflated laterally, swelling armed with several small tubercles or spinules on leading edge; dorsal margin expanded with series of irregular sharp teeth or spinules in longitudinal row, varying in size, but terminating in large sharp conical spine; distal portion narrower, with larger sharp distal spine dorsally and 2 small teeth below this. Second segment reaching tip of rostrum. Third segment and flagellum extending beyond rostrum.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle with large ventromesial spine, often with denticies on lateral edge. Second segment with large lateral spine on distal margin, spinule on mesial margin and dorsal protuberance proximally near basal articulation. Distal margin of third segment with small mesial, dorsal and lateral teeth. Fourth segment with lateral portion and projected mesial portion terminating in triangular tooth. Flagellum extending just beyond distal margin of merus of chel iped.

Carpus of endopod of third maxilliped with 6 or 7 small teeth along dorsal edge. Flexor margin of merus with 3 conical spines, basal spine Largest, small one near distal margin; extensor margin with series of approximately 4 sharp spinules along dorsal edge, distal spinule largest; spinulate tubercles arranged evenly over lateral surface. Ischium with ventral carina terminating in sharp spine distallÿ; dorsolateral edge with similar spine distally; ventrolateral face with several tubercles.

Pereiopods spinulate on all dorsal and lateral surfaces; sculpturing obscure on dactyli. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds 3 to 4 times carapace length, sligntly conipressed dorsuventrally; mesial surface with long curved setae, especially prominent on merus. Width of manus $1 / 5$ to $1 / 6$ manus lengch. Dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus. Fingers toothed along entire length of opposing margins dorsally; proximal half gaped, very narrow in females, pronounced in males; fingers hollowed ventrally, tips curved, spooned; dorsolateral and dorsomesial surfaces with short transverse rows or clusters of denticles, sometimes obscure. Manus with distinct longitudinal row of 6 or 8 small spines on dorsomesial edge, several other prominent spinules arranged irregularly on dorsal surfaces; ventral surface relatively smooth, with minute, often obscure tubercles. Carpus approximately $1 / 3$ length of manus; large curved spine prominent on dorsomesial edge about $1 / 3$ length from distal end; 3 longitudinal rows of 6 or more small spines located on dorsomesial, dorsal and dorsolateral ridges, with spine at distal termination. Merus approximately same length as manus; distal margin with slender sharp mesial and ventromesial spine; smaller lateral spine benind articular lobe and similar spine posterior and slightly dorsal to this; mesial surface with large curved spine about $1 / 2$ length from cistal margin, and 2 similar spines more proximally. Short ischium with conical dorsal spine.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar, slender, spiny. Dactylus of second pereicpod reaching to distal margin of merus of cheliped. Dactylus slightly more than $1 / 2$ length of propodus, curved, tip corneous; flexor margin with series of 12 or more slender corneous spinules mounted on obscure swellings; extensor surface with obscura denticulate sculpturing, more distinct proximally; mesial surface with several tubercles in oblique row near proximal margin. Propodus with several longitudinal
rows of spinules; row of 7 to 10 on lateral face most distinct; dorsolateral and dorsal row more irregular; dorsolateral row entending to distal margin. Carpus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus, armed distally on extensor (dorsal) margin with small, sharp spine, followed by 3 sharp spinules; iongitudinal row of approximately 6 spinules lateral to this on dorsolateral edge; lateral surface with 2 or 3 spinules. Merus of second pereiopod slightly longer than propodus, proportionate length of merus decreasing in third and fourth pereiopods; dorsal (extensor) margin raised, with several small spines along edge, proximal spines more prominent, terminating in conical spine on distal margin; ventral edge with many similar spines, and 1 on distal margin; lateral surface with spinules in irregular longitudinal rows; mesial and ventral surfaces relatively smooth. Ischium with small tooth dorsally and several spinules on mesial surface and on distolateral margins.

Merus of fifth pereiopods slightly expanded; distal $2 / 3$ of laceral surface densely spinulate.

Protopod of uropods with 2 separated denticles on posterolateral margin, occasionally 2 denticles in place of lateral one. Endopod with several short calcified setae on exposed surface and on posterior margin.

Telson composed of 7 plates; small swelling slightly anterulateral to center of slightly concave lateral plate, and several minute tubercles on mesial portion; similar tubercle near posterolatural margin of anterolateral plate; posterior plates each with longitudinal row or 5 or 6 obscure rubercles; posterior margin barely indented miedially.

Color.--All specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and were devoid of any pigment except for pale brown corneous tips on the dactyli of
perciopods, and the golden color of the larger setae.

Size. $-\mathbf{- o}^{\prime}$, cl. 7.811 .0 mm ,
0, cl. 7.2 mm , and
ovigerous $\}, c l .6 .0 \mathrm{~mm}$.
The only size recorded in the literature is for the type specimen which falls within these ranges.

Sexual dimorphism.-Males have the typical comb of thick golden setae on the lateral margins of the telson; this comb is lacking in females. Finfers of the cheliped are abutting along their dorsal length in females, while they are noticeably gaped in the larger males, and to a lesser degree in the smaller males. No obvious difference in the breadth of the abdomen was observed between sexes.

Majitat.--The bottom types at stations where Munidopsis serratifrons was taken were characterized variously as white clay, dead coral and rubble with pteropod shells and fine sediment.

Type.--The holotype is a male with cl. approximately $9 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{MCZ} 4748$.

Type locality.--Off Dominica, BLAKE Sta. $185,609 \mathrm{~m}$ (333 fm).

Geographic range.-Munidopsis serratifrons is known in the westirn North Atlantic from Bermuda to Dominica, from the Bahamas and Cuba, and in the Caribbean from off Yucatan. Apart from the type locality, records in the literature are: off Bermuda (Henderson, 1888: 149); off Havana, Cuba (Benedict, 1902; 326); and north coast of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 86).

Bathimetric rance.--Possible range for the GERDA and PILLSBURY material
is $715-897 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated depth range is $770-824 \mathrm{~m}$. If previous records are included, possible and calculated ranges are the same, 604-1908 m, with the CHALLENGER record of 1075 fm off Bermuda greatly increasing the bathymetric range of this species.

Parasites.--The material examined is without any external evidence of branchial or abdominal parasitism; no reports of parasites were found in the literature.

Associates.--Other Munidopsis were taken at only 2 of the 4 GEFDA and PILLSBURY stations where M. serratifrons was collected.

Relationships.--The relationship between M. serratifrons and M. robusta (A. Milne Edwards) is discussed in the species account of the latter. Although these two species have in common the general shape of the carapace and the lack of pereiopodal epipods, $\underline{M}$. robusta has the rostrum without a medial carina, the dorsal sculpturing on the carapace not as distinctly spinose, no posterior branchial spines, only 1 blunt medial spine or tooth on the posterior carapacial margin and on each of the second, third and fourth abdominal tergites.
A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 80) mentioned an affinity of M. serratifrons with $M$. ornata Faxon, pointing out, however, that the abdomen of the latter species is completely unarmed. They failed to mention the even closer similarity to M. margarita Faxon as revealed by the illustrations of this species from the eastern Pacific (Faxon, 1895: pl. XX , fig. 2); this species has more spines on the gastric region and posteriur maryin of the carapace, and on the abdominal tergites; the armature of the frontal margin of the carapace was not well described and
may have been illustrated incorrectly, as the figure distinctly shcws 2 post-antennal spines. Unfortunately the chelipeds were missing from the type specimen of $M$. margarita.

Munidopsis hastifer Benedict, from Japan, is another close relative cf $\underline{M}$. serratifrons. It appears from the original description and illustration of the former, hovever, that $\mathcal{M}$. hastifer has many more spines on all regions of the carapace and has the chelipeds broa er and somewhat shorter than does M. serratifrons.

Munidonsis sigsbei (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Figures 43, 44

Calathodes Sigsbei A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 56-57.
Munidopsis sigsbei: Henderson, 1888: 150-151, pl. 18, fig. 2.--A. Milne
Edwards and Bouvier, 1894: 275 (key); 1897: 83-88, pl. V, figs. 8-
26.--Young, 1900: 406 (key), 407-408.--Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 326 (list).--Schmitt, 1935: 179 (key), 181.--Chace, 1942: 73 (key), 82-83.--Springer and Bullis, 1956: 15.--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 139 (key), 156, fig. 5-1, table 5-2; 1971: 5 (key).

Munidopsis sigsbeyi: Doflein and Balss, 1913: 176 (list), 178 (table).

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: G-121, $1281 \mathrm{~m}, 20^{\circ}, 7.0,13.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, URML 32:5281; G-129, $1281 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 11.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5282; G-130, 1021 m , $100,13.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ¢, $14.8 \mathrm{~mm}, \operatorname{UMNL} 32: 5283 ; G-223,897-915 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, 15.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM) ; G-226, 802-805 m, $1 \mathrm{\sigma}, 11.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5284; G-368, $961-1016 \mathrm{~m}$, $1 \sigma^{\circ}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \neq 7.2-11.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM); G-372, $1107-1162 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \sigma^{\circ}, 13.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, $1 ¢, 14.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $\uparrow$, 11.1 mm , (USNM); G-374, $1208-1241 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \sigma$, 12.6-16.5 mm, 3 ?, 8.5-12.5 mm, UMML 32:5285; G-375, 1153-1190 m, 3 v , 7.6-15.7 mm, 1 ovigerous $\uparrow, 12.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH); G-448, 1135-1184 m, 1 o , 10.5 mm , UMML $32: 5275 ; G-449,1373-1428 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 9.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML $32: 5276$; G859, 1162-1201 m, $10^{\circ}, 12.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH); G-860, $724-755 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{f}, 11.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5277; G-963, 1442-1455 m, 1 ¢, 11.9 mm , L?AL $32: 5278$; G-965, 1395-1400 m, l ơ, 16.0 mm , (RMNH); G-980, $920 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l}$ ơ, 9.5 mm , UNPI 32: 5279; G-1111, 1080-1089 m, 1 ovigerous ¢, 11.0 mancl $32: 5280 ;$ P-636, 1003-1336 m, 2 $\uparrow, 14.5,15.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM). - Off Atlantic coast of Colonbia: P-364, 924-950 m, $10^{\circ}, 12.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 19,7.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, LTML $32: 5271 ;$ P-388, 814-1050 m, l ó, $18.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \mathrm{f}, 14.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML $32: 5272 ;$ P-407, $1158-1225 \mathrm{~m}$,
$8 \mathrm{c}, 12.0-16.3 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mathrm{f}, 9.0-14.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 7$ ovigerous $=11.4-16.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, LPAL 32:5273.--Off Atlantic coast of Panama (Golfo de los Mosquitos): P-448, 952-869 m, $1 \mathrm{o}, 10.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM). - Off Venezuela: (S of Orchilla), P-74, 1052-1067 m, 10 ơ, 7.4-18.8 mm, 7 ૬; 10.0-17.0 mm, 1 ovigerous $\ddagger, 16.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM) ; (off Los Roques) P-747, $1098-1175 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{of}, 9.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, with abdcminal parasite, (USNM); (N of Gulfo de Venezuela) P-770, 1299-1318 m, 1 ơ, 19.0 mm , (USNM) .--Off Surinam: P-672, $1221-1336 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 10.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM); P-675, 1235-1272 m, $18 \epsilon^{\prime}, 10.9-21.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 9 \uparrow, 12.2-17.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ ovigerous $\uparrow, 13.7-$ 20.0 mm , (USNM) ; P-673, 1042-1070 m, 3 $0^{\circ}, 14.5-22.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 2 \mathrm{f}, 11.5,20.1 \mathrm{mri}$, 2 ovigerous $\ddagger, 14.0,17.0 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{UMRL} 32: 5269 ; \mathrm{P}-682,1318-1345 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{o}, 5.6$, 14.0 mm , UMML $32: 5270$.--Off Tobago: P-846, $659-1126 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, 15.1,18.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMMH); P-847, 733-1281 m, $5 \mathrm{o}^{\prime}, 14.7-19.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $\mathrm{f}, 12.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH). --Off Monserrat and Nevis: P-954, 686-1043m, 2 ó, $12.0,13.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, $2 ¢, 9.6,11.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, UTML $32: 5274$.-Off Martinique: P-892, 116-1354, 1 §, 11.8 mm , (USNM).--H of Haiti: P-1187, $1087 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{ol}^{\circ}, 6.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 19,6.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH) .--S of Jamaica: P-1224, $878-906 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, 14.0,17.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RNNH); P-1261, 595-824 m, $4 \sigma^{\circ}, 13.8-16.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 19,10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ (USNM). - -SW of Janaica: P-1235, 1226-1629 m, $10^{\circ}, 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM). See distribution plot 17. Diagnosis.--Rostrum long, simple, spine-like, horizontal; dorsal surface of carapace unarmed; frontal margin unarmed; anterolateral spine siort; lateral margin unarmed except for obscure denticles anteriorly; posterior marginal rim armed with 1 to 5 spines near midline; abdominal segments unarmed; eyestalks long, no eyespines; epipods on chelipeds, but not on ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace distinctly longer than broad (cw/cl = approximately $0.65-0.70$ ); gastric region inflated, delimited posteriorly by brcaj



Figure 43. --Munidopsis sigsbei (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), c', cl. 12.6 mm, G-374.


$\qquad$
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Figure 44. --Munidopsis sigsbei (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). Ovigerous $\mathcal{F}$, cl. $11.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-407$ : $\underline{a}$, lateral view of carapace and abdomen. on, cl. $19.0 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-675 \mathrm{~B}$, posterior abdominal segments, uropods and telson. ¢, cl. $12.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \overline{\mathrm{P}}-675$ : c , right chela and carpus, dorsal view. 0 , cl. $17.8 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{p}-1224:$ left chela and carpus, dorsal view. $0^{\prime}, \mathrm{c} 1.16 .0 \mathrm{~mm}$, G-965: e, endopod of rieht third maxilliped, ventrolateral view. of, cl. $9.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-675: \underline{f}$, anterior carapace with aberrant rostrum. Setae shown on $\underline{b}$ and $\underline{e}$ only, scales in mm.
central portion of cervical gruove; shallower postcervical groove separating metagastric and cardiac regions, and narrower branchiocardiac grooves. Anterior gastric region smooth, low transverse striae with minutely dentate anterior borders on posterior half; similar sculpturing on posterior surfaces of carapace, more continuous and distinct across inflated cardiac and metabranchial regions, forming small crest on anterior margin of cardiac region, and lower ridge on anterior margin of métagastric region. Rostrum more than $1 / 3$ carapace length, horizontal, with rounded dorsal carina, tapering distally; lateral margins unarmed except for minute anteriorly-projecting teeth, widely-spaced. Frontal margin unarmed between base of rostrum and small anterolateral spine; lateral margins unarmed except for occasional minute tooth or tubercle anteriorly. Posterior raised rim of carapace armed medially with usually 1 to 5 sharp spines, occasionally more.

Abdominal tergites without spines. Second segment with sharp transverse carina extending across tergite anteriorly; slight transverse swelling posterior to this. Third tergite with lower rounded carina anteriorly, posteriur swelling ill-defined. Fourth, fifth and sixth abdominal tergites nearly smooth.

Sternum unarmed; intersegmental ridges sharp.
Eyestalks movable, relatively long, slightly compressed and dilaced at base, narrowing distally; cornea slightly wider than distal part of peduncle, reaching approximately $1 / 3$ length of rostrum; eyestalk with scattered setae but otherwise unarmed. Sharp broad spine emerging from plate at intersection of bases of eyestalk, antennule and antenna b:neath frontal margin of carapace.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with upper lobe appearing
discoidal in dorsal view; sharp spine on anterior edge of lobe, longer spine below and slightly mesial to this on dorsodistal margin of segment. Ventromesial margin dentate, larger specimens frequently with several welldeveloped spinules. Antennular pedincle, when extended, reaching beyond tip of rostrum.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle with lateral and ventral tubercles, but no distinct spines. Second segment with sharp conical spine on distal margin laterally.* Thrid segment unarmed, distal margin obscurely dentate. Fourth segment with dorsolateral dentate lobe on distal margin. Antennal flagellum more than tiwce carapace length.

Endopod of third maxilliped with propodus slightly flattened, mesial surface concave. Merus with proximal ventral margin expanded into broad tooth, several setae on curved lower margin of tooth; small tooth or spine adjacent to this on ventral margin; dorsal margin with several small swellings and sharp distal spine. Ischium with distal ventrolateral angle expanded with broad tooth; dorsal angle terminating in small spine.

Pereiopods tuberculate, many tubercles projected distally with dentate anterior margins and setae between denticles. Larger specimens often with some denticles developed into spines. Epipods on chelipeds, but not on ambulatory lega.

Chelipeds 3 to 4 times carapace length. Dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ length of manus. Fingers often slightly curved outward; toothed on opposing margins; larger males (cl. 12.0 mm or longer) with pronounced proximal gape and tubercles projecting into gape from dactylus; smaller males and most females with toothed opposing margins abutting along entire length. Larger males sometimes with tubercles developed into spines on lateral margin of manus; manus broad and slightly compressed
in larger individuals. Carpus less than $1 / 3$ length of chela, 3 sharp spines at angles on distal margin: 1 dorsomesial, 1 dorsolateral and 1 ventrolateral. Merus more than twice length of carpus, shorter than chela; 4 spines at angles on distal margin; 3 or 4 spines in line behind distal dorsolateral spinc; 2 or 3 behind dorsc-esial spine. Ischium with dorsolateral spine at insertion of merus; occasionally spine or tubercles on ventral projection; cuxa with sharp ventromesial spine.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods sinilar. Tip of dactylus of second pereiopod not reaching distal margin of merus of cheliped; relative lengths of ambulatory legs varying, but dactylus usually reaching at least to distal margin of propodus of preceding legs. Dactylus with curved corneous tip followed on ventral margin by approximately 6 teeth, decreasing in size proximally, distal 3 or 4 usually well-developed; short corneous spinule projecting from anterior edge oi each spine, also greatly decreasing in size proximally. Propodus broader distally, less than wice length of dactylus, unarmed except for small scattered tubercles on all surfaces and pair of denticles on ventral distal margin followed by similar denticle approximately $1 / 3$ distance to base of segment. Carpus approximately $1 / 2$ propodus length; sharp single dorsal spine on distal margin, followed by low ridge with several tubercles; ridge lateral to this more distinct, often terminating in 1 ol more denticles, but no large spine. Merus of second pereiopod slightly lunger than propodus, third and fourth pereicpods with this segment proportionately shorter; large sharp dorsal spine on distal margin, sculptured lobe lateral to this with small sharp tooth (sometimes tubercle on second fereiopod) below this; lateral surface heavily sculptured with short denticulate transverse striae; dorsal edge with several small spines or teeth
proximally; teeth more distinct on third and fourth pereiopods. Ischium short with small dorsal tooth near insertion of merus.

Fifth pereiopods with merus broader in middle of segment, 2 or 3 small tubercles on ventrolateral edge.

Uropod with posterolateral margin or protopod scalloped, lateral edge of posterior lobe denticulate; dorsal surfaces of endopod and exopod with setae but no denticles.

Length of telson approximately same as maximum width, narrower posteriorly, consisting of 8 plates; males with fringe of thick curved golden setae on margin of lateral plate; setae thinner, plumose, fewer in this location on females; posterior margin of telson deeply scalloped.

Color.--In live material, the carapace, chelipeds, eyestalks and mouthparts are dull orange in color. The cornea is distinctly white. The ambulatory legs and edges of the tailfan are lighter, from pale orange to white distally. The dorsal surface of the abdominal tergites may be evenly dull orange, or lighter like the ambulatory legs, with an orange band acruss each segment.

Specimens preserved in alcohol soon lose all traces of pigment and the corneae appear translucent.

Size.--Specimens taken by the GERDA and PILISBURY fell within the following size ranges:
$\sigma^{\prime}, c 1.5 \cdot 6-22.0 \mathrm{~mm}$,
¢, c1. 6.8-20.1 mm, and
ovigerous 9, cl. 11.0-20.0 mm.
Ovigerous females with $c^{c} 1$. as small as 10.0 mmere taken by the ALAMINOS (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970).

Sexual dimorphism.--Males of all sizes have the characteristic row of thick golden setae on the lateral margins of the telson, while in the female, setae in this position are like the other marginal setae.

The chelipeds of large males are much broader than those of female of the same size, with the manus slightly compressed and a large gape with several tubercles between the bases of the fingers. Also the che-- lipeds may be much spinier in the males, and have a distinct dorsal depression on the carpus. Smaller males (c1. 12 mm or shorter) have the chelipeds slender and ungaped, as in the females.

The difference in the rostral curvature between males and females noted by A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 88) is not obvious nor consistent in the material examined, although a few females have the rustrum very slightly curved upward.

Habitat.--The bottom at many of the stations in the Straits of Florida where M. sigsbei was taken was characterized by pteropod ooze; several stations also had dead Thalassia blades and coral rubble. The bottom type at the deeper PILLSBURY stations was primarily mud or clay.

Type.--The holotype is a female with cl. approximately 16 mm ; present deposition $n$ nt determined, probably at the Paris Museum.

Tupe locality.--Martinique, BLAKE Sta. 200, 864 a.

Geographic range.--Munidopsis sigsbei is widely iistributed in the Gulf of Mexico, throughout the Caribbean, and from the Straits of Florida at least as far south as Surinam in the western Atlantic. In addition to the type locality and the locations listed for the material examined, N. Sigsbei has been reported in the literature from the following loca-

1ities: off Sombrero, West Indies (Henderson, 1888: 150); southern Gulf of Mexico, Fredericksted, and Guadeloupe (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1897: 88); north coast of Cuba, Grenada and south of Jamaica (Chace, 1942: 82); and throughout the Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970:156).

Dathymetric range.--The possible depth range for material in this collection is 595-1629 m; calculated range is $805-1442 \mathrm{~m}$. The range recorded previously was 733-1784 m.

Parasites.--A male specimen taken at $\mathrm{P}-747$ has 1 specimen of Tortugaster fistulatus Reinhard, a peltogastrid rhizocephalan, attached to the ventral surface of the abdomen.

Several other specimens have foraminiferans and hydroids attached to various surfaces of the body and appendages.

Associates.--Specimens of Munidopsis sigsbei in this collection were taken at 38 stations; other species collected with it, and their indices of affinity are as follows: M. simplex at 12 ( 8 of 17 stations in the Straits of Florida), $0.34 ; \underline{M}$. armata at $7,0.25$; $\underline{M}$. abbreviata at $6,0.17$; and $M$. longimanus at $4,0.23$.

Relationships.--Although Munidopsis sigsbei aperficially resembles other Western Atlantic species in the group having a long simple apine-liks rostrum and no spines on the frontal margin of the carapace (M. gimplex, M. curvirostra, $\underline{M}$. reynoldsi and $\underline{M}$. abbreviata), in fact it differs markely from them; it is the only species with the following combination of characters: the gastric region of the carapace unarmed, the posterior margin armed, the abdonen unarmed and epipods on the chelipeds only. (See discussion of relationships in $M$. simplex).

Munidopsis similis Smith, 1885
Figures 45, 46, 47a, 48

Munidopsis similis Smith, 1885: 496; 1886: 647-649, pl. 5, figs. l-le, pl. 6, figs. 2, 2a.--Benedict, 1902: 276 (ke $\wp$ ), 326 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 176 (1ist), 177 (table).--Chace, 1942: 73 (key).-Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 139 (key); 1971: 7 (key).

Not Hansen, 1908: 38-39, pl. 3, figs. 4a-4b. (= ? M. crassa Smith)

Material examined.--Nestern North Atlantic: ALBATROSS Sta. $2192,1940 \mathrm{~m}$, ovigerous $\mathbb{C}$, holotype, 17.0 mm , USNM 8255.--Caribbean Sea, off St Vincent: P-871, 2628-2681 m, $1 \uparrow, 14.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5286.--S of Hispaniola: P-1266, 1894-3111 m, 1 specimen, badly damaged, approximately 15 mm , sex undeterminable, $\operatorname{IMML}$ 32:5287.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum simple, triangular, spine-like, with very slight distal upturn; 1 pair of spines on anterior gastric region of carapace; frontal margin with distinct post-antennal spine, anterolateral spine slightly smaller; 4 lateral spines; posterior margin unarmed; abdominal tergites unarmed; eyes armed with large mesial spine distally and small lateral spine; no epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs; chelipeds approximately twice carapace length.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad (cw/cl $=0.75-0.80$ ), transversely convex; gastric region with 1 pair of distinct spines anteriorly; posterior to these, swellings with 1 or more setae; posterior half of gastric region with 5 distinct transverse striae; several swellings with setae on hepatic regions. Central ${\underset{r}{r}}^{\text {ridges }}$ behind cervical and postcervical grooves distinct, striate. Entire dorsal surface of carapace behind


Figure 45. --Munidopsis similis Smith, 1885, \%, cl. $14.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-871$, dorsal view.


Figure 46. --Munidopsis similis Smith, 1885 , 9, cl. $14.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-871: \mathrm{a}$, carapace and abdomen, lateral view; b, endopod of righr third maxilliped, ventrolateral view.



Figure 48. --Munidopsis similis Smith, 1885, ovigerous $\$$ holotype, cl. 17.0 mm , ALBATROSS Sta. 2192 : $\underline{a}$, dorsal view; $\underline{b}$, carapace and abdomen, lateral view, setae shown on antennular flagellum and telson only; $\subseteq$, endopod or left third marxilliped, ventral view.
cervical groove sculptured with short swollen transverse striae, particularly prominent on metabranchial regions. Rostrurn $1 / 4$ to $1 / 3$ carapace length; width of rostrum between eyes slightly more than $1 / 2$ length, tapering, with slight upturn distally, taper more acute at tip. Frontal margin of carapace witin distinct post-antennal spine; anterolateral spine slightly smaller. Lateral margin with 4 distinct spines: most anterior spine largest, followed by 2 slightly smaller spines, and 1 broader spine just behind lateral termination of cervical groove. Posterior margin of carapace unarmed.

Abdomen unarmed; second and third segments with 2 transverse carinae: anterior carina behind depressed front edge of tergite sharper, extending laterally almost to pleuronal margins; posterior carina rounded dorsally, extending only across tergite, bending posteriorly to terminate at posterior margin of segment; fourth tergite with suggestion of transverse swelling and row of setae in place of carina; fifth segment almost smocth. Carinae with sparse rows of moderately long setae.

Sternum unarmed; several short transverse striae with setae between coxae of chelipeds; margins minutely serrate, but without spines.

Eyes colorless, barely movable; eyestalk short, expanded distally over dorsomesial margin of cornea, forming sharp, anteriorly-directed spine: distolateral margin of eycstalk forming small lateral spine directed anterolaterally; base of eyestalk with lateral projection forming denticle; slightly larger ventromesial denticle on eyestalk extending anteriorly as far as distal margin of cornea, smaller denticles on dorsal and ventral sides of lateral denticle.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle broad with sculpturing on for--
vard edge of lateral s:sellıng; 2 sharp dorsolateral spines, 1 above and

1 on distodorsal margin: dorsomesial carina terminating in 1 distal denticle; distoventral margin serrate; tuft of setae at base of antennular flagellum barely reaching distal margin of merus of cheliped, long flagellum extending beyond base of carpus.

Basal segment of antenal peduncle with triangular ventromesial spine, broadest at base, and smaller lateral tooth with terminal spine. Distal margin of movable second segment with sharp lateral spine, small projection with denticle just mesial to it, and minute ventromesial denricle. Distal margins of third and fourth segments with small mesial and lateral denticles, and pairs of setae, longer setae laterally. Antennal flagellum approximately 3 times carapace length.

Merus of endopod of third maxilliped with 3 or 4 small, well-spaced zeeth on ventromesial margin; 1 small tooth on distolateral margin. Ischium with small tooth or teeth terminating blunt ventral carina and small tooth on distolateral corner; no tooth at distal end of serrate mesial margin.

No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.
Chelipeds approxirately twice length of carapace and 10 times maximum width of cheliped (at manus); widely-spaced tubercles with straicht setae scattered on all surfaces. Length of manus almost 4 times maximum width; dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus. Tips of fingers spooned, dentate; toothed on adjacent abutting margins dorsally, rounded and gaped ventrally. Mesial margin of propodus with 2 sharp curved spines. Curpus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus; distal margin with large curved mesial spine, dorsal spine, smaller dorsolateral spine and triangular projection ventrally terminating in small spine; large curved spine posteriur and slightly dorsal to mesial spine; 1 small tuoth posterior and
more dorsal to this. Herus approximately same length as manus; distal margin armed with 4 spines: 1 dorsal, 1 dorsomesial, 1 ventrolateral and 1 ventromesial; 4 or 5 spines in dorsal row, decreasing in size proximal1y; second large curved spine on mesial margin posterior to dorsomesial spine; 2 sharp spines on ventral margin. Ischium with spine dorsally and ventrally and lateral denticle just posterior to articulation with merus.

* Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar, long and slender. Dactylus of each of second, third and fourth pereiopods reaching manus of cheliped; each segment reaching beyond middle of same segment oil preceding leg. Dactylus more than $1 / 2$ length of propodus, tip slightly curved, pale brown; ventral margin with row of approximately 12 denticles, decreasing in size to obscurity proximally, distal 8 to 10 with corneous spinule projecting from anterior edge of each denticle. Propodus smooth with sparsely-distributed long, straight, setae, unarmed except for 2 sharp novable spines on small ventral lobes at distal margin, and a similar small spine or spines located posteriorly $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ distance to base of segment. Carpus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus; dorsomesial edge with 3 or 4 sharp spines including 1 on distal margin; smaller spine laterally on distal margin followed by longitudinal ridge, with several tubercles, lateral to this irregular row of tubercles; ventromesial surface smooth except for several minute denticles on distal margin. Nerus with dorsomesial ridge armed with 7 to 9 spines including larger sharp distal spine, decreasing in size proximally; sharp lateral spine on distal margin of second and third pereiopods reduced to blunt tuoth on fuurth pereiopod; dorsolateral and ventrolateral surfaces smoother. Ischium with dorsal swelling or tubercle, but no spines.

Fifth pereiopods with merus expanded, lightly sculptured laterally, but unarmed.

Protopod of uropod with posterolateral margin divided in 2 distinct lobes, with smaller lateral projection anteriorly; fosterior lobe with 4 or 5 minute denticles lateral to notch and sharp spine posteromesially: small granulose ridge anterior to notch. Exopod with approximately 12 widely-spaced, small, movable spinules; lateral margin with widely-spaced granular denticles, posterior margins of exopod and endopod with closely approximated granules; endopod with 2 larger spinules posterior to uropodial notch, 2 or 3 groups of 3 to 4 spinules posterior to this along center of exposed surface, lateral margin with approximately 3 widely-spaced denticles.

Length of telson almost as grear as maximum width, narrowing posteriorly, consisting of 8 distinct plates, and 2 less distinct intermediate places; posterior margin deeply scalloped; no spinules on posterior margin.

Color.--The specimens examined are preserved in alcohol and are totally Cevoid cf color except for pale brown tips on dactyli and thicker golden setae.

Size. $--\nmid$, cl. 14.3-17.0 mm; other specimen damaged, sex indeterminabie, cl. approximately same as holotype.

Sexual dimorphisn.--Only a complete female of this species was available; lateral margins of the telson of this specimen have no thick setae, and the single remaining cheliped did not have the fingers gaped. The 2 chelae of the damaged specimen ${ }^{\text {'all }}$ so have the opposing margins of the fingers abutting along their entire length.

Habitat.-- The bottom at station P-871 in the Venezuelan Basin was characterized as a mixture of blusih sticky clay and a fine silt with an impoverished fauna. The trawl at P-1266 brought up a large chunk of consolidated clay with its flat top coated with manganese; the fauna from that station was negligible.

The bottom at the type locality was characterized as consisting of globigerina ooze.

Tvpe.--The holotype is a female with cl. 17.0 mm , USNM 8255.

Type locality.--Off Nantucket, western North Atlantic; ALBATROSS Sta. 2192, $39^{\circ} 46.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 1^{\prime} .8^{\prime} \mathrm{W} ; 1940 \mathrm{~m}$.

Ceographic range.--The 2 new locations reported here extend the geograplical range of Munidopsis similis from the northeastem coast of the United States to include the Caribbean Sea.

Bathymetric range. $-1885-2681 \mathrm{~m}$ is the possible range of depth for this species according to he records for the stations where it has been collected. The calculated range is $1885-2628 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites.--The specimens examined show no external evidence of abdominal or branchial parasitism.

Asscciates.--Munidopsis similis was the only representative of the genus taken at the 2 PILLSBURY stations where it was collected.

Relationships.--There are several wastern Atlantic species to which M. similis appear to be relatee. It is very similar in morphology to M. nitida (A. Milne Edwards), but differs from it in two striking characters: $\underline{M}$. similis has the chelipeds much longer (approximately 2 times
the carapace length) than $\underline{M}$. nitida (which has the chelipeds approxinately equalling the carapace length) and $M$. similis has no epipods on the pereiopods, whereas they are present on the chelipeds of $\underline{M}$. nitida. M. crassa Smith and M. geyeri Pequegnat and Pequegnat, also from the western North Atlantic, are somewhat similar to $\underline{M}$. similis, but have epipods on the chelipeds, a pair of mesial eyespines only, and are more robust species; in addition $\underline{M}$. crassa has 2 pairs of gastric spines. $\underline{M}$. similis also bears some resemblance to $\underline{M}$. reynoldsi as described by A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 80-83, pl. VI, figs. 1-5) but the latter species lacks a post-antennal spine, has a longer rostrum and shorter chelipeds. The presence of gastric spines on the carapace serves to distinguish $M$. similis from M. spinoculata (A. Milne Edwards), M. subspinoculata Pequegnat and Pequegnat, and $M$. ramahtaylorae Pequegnat and Pequegnat.

Munidopsis similis looks quite similar to $M$. verrilli from snuthern Cilifornia as illustrated by Benedict (1902); the length and shape of the chelipeds appear to be different, however, as will as the number of carpal spines on the pereiopods. M. ciliata Wood-Mason from the IndoPacifie is similar tc M. similis, but has the chelipeds shorter and the posterior abdoninal segments more rugose than the latter specits. M. ceracophthalna Alcock resembles $\underline{M}$. similis, but lacks epipods on the chelipeds and lacks gastric sfines.

Remark .--The damaged specimen from P-1266 was identified as $\underline{M}$. similis on che basis of its chelipeds which are intact, and fragments of the anterior portion of the budy. The chelipeds are nearly identical to those on the undamaged specimen from P-871. The ablomen and sternites are missing entirely however, which has made it impossible to determine the $s x$ of this individual.

The most striking difference between the holotype and the specimens from the Caribbean is the carpal spination of the ambulatory legs: the holotype has 4 or 5 well-developed spines on the dorsal edge of the carpus, whereas in the Caribbean material the spines are quite reduced except for the distal pair. The cheliped on the holotype is broader with respect to its length, and the shape of the manus is slightly different in the holotype. The holotype is larger overall, the sculpturing on the *posterior half of the carapace is less distinct, more rounded, and many of the setae are worn away. Both the holotype and a specimen from the caribbean have been illustrated to show these differences.

Discussion.--I cannot agree that the specimen from the north Atlantic, west of Iceland, assigned to M. similis by Hansen (1908) belongs to the same species redescribed here from Smith's holotype. The differences between the two (both ovigerous females) are as follows: the holotype has the rostrum almost straight with only a slight upward curve distally, a distinct spine on the frontal margin of the carapace directly behind the antenna, and one pair of distinct spines on the anterior gastric region of the carapace; as Hansen pointed out, his specimen has the rostrum strongly recurved, no post-antennal spine, and 5 small gastric spines in addition to the 2 major ones. Other differences include the length of the chelipeds with respect to that of the carapace (3:1 in the holotype, $1: 1$ in Hansen's specimen) and the presence of a small tooth on the eyestalk fust outside the cornea of the holotype which does not appear on Hansen's illustration. Also the 2 anterior lateral spines appear much broader than in the holotype and all lateral spines are nore laterally directed in the specimen illustrated by Hansen.

While the identity of Hansen's material remains undetermined, his specimen appears to be closer to M. crassa and/or to M. geyeri than to M. similis, but differs from both in lacking a post-artennal spine.

The eggs of the holotype of M . similis measure approximately. 2 mm after long preservation in alcohol, in contrast to 3 mm as reported by Hansen.

In his original description of M. similis, Smith (1885: 496) stated tha this species was " very closely allied ta M. crassa, and will possibly prove to be a variety of it." He described M. similis as having epipods on the first pereiopod like M. crassa. Examination of the holotype has shown this to be in error; epipods are completely lacking on all pereiopods in M. similis.

## Munidopsis simplex（A．Milne Edwards，1880）

Figure 49

Galathodes simplex A．Milne Edwards，1880： 56.
Munidopsis simplex：A．Milne Edwards and Bouvier，1894：275（key）；1897： 89－91，pl．V，figs．2－7．－－Young，1900： 406 （key），408．－－Benedict， 1902： 277 （key）， 326 （list）， 178 （table）．－－Perez，1927： 236 （sexual dimorphism）．－－Chace，1942：75（key），92．－－Pequegnat and Pequegnat， 1970：140（key），156－157，figs．5－1，5－13，table 5－2；1971：6（key）．

Material examined．－－Straits of Florida：$G-121,1281 \mathrm{~m}, 19,9.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ，（USNM）；
G－128，1391－1464 m，2 $\sigma, 8.5,8.7 \mathrm{~mm}, 29,8.7,9.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMIL $32: 5288 ;$ G－129， $1281 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovigerous $0,11.5 \mathrm{~mm},(U S N M) ; G-370,1281 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{~s}, 8.0-$ $10.3 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $\uparrow, 8.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, UML $32: 5289 ; G-374,1208-124 \mathrm{~m}, 2$ ご， $8.5,9.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \underset{+}{c}, 9.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $\ddagger, 9.7 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{UNL} 32: 5290 ; \mathrm{G}-375$ ， 1153－1190 m，30，6．0－10．0 mm， 1 ovigerous 千， 8.4 mm ，UMRL $32: 5291$ ； G－449，1373－1428 m，l ¢， $8.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{l}$ ovigerous $\uparrow$ ， 8.3 mm （RMNi）；G－859， 1162－1201 m， $20^{\circ}, 7.2,8.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $q, 10.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, （USNM）；G－963， 1442－145j m， $3 o^{\circ}, 6.8,8.2$ with abdeminal parasite， 8.7 mm ，（RNOH）；G－964， 1391－1415 m，1 O＇，7．3 mm with abdominal parasite，（RMNH）；C－965：1395－ $1400 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}^{\prime}, 6.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, （USNM）．－－Bahama Islands：G－923， $1555-1574 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{c}$ ， $8.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $\because, 11.0 \mathrm{~mm}(U S N M) .-$ Off At lantic coast of Columbia： P－39i，1222－1748 m，3o，7．5－8．6 mm， 8.6 with abdominal parasite，$l \mathcal{Q}$ ， 8.3 mm with abduminal parasite，uMNU $32: 5292 ;$ P－407， $1158-1225 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovi－ gerous ₹， 13.0 mm ，（USNM）；P－455， $1446 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \underset{+}{\circ}, 9.8 \mathrm{~mm}$（RMNH）．－－Off Suri－ nam：P－675，1235－1272 m，8 0 ，8．8－11．0 mm，4 \％，9．8－11．1 mm， 6 cvigerous ¢，9．2－12．1 mm，UMML 32：5293．O－Off British Guiana：P－689，1373－14．6m，


Distribution plot 18.--Munidopsis simplex (A. Milne Fdwards, 1880) collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY.


Figure 49. --Munidopsis simplex ! A. Milne Edwards, 1880). ©, cl. 11.1 mm, P-675: a, carapace and abdomen, lateral view, setae shown on tailfan only; b, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson; d, dorsal view. Ovigerous $\ddagger, c 1.12 . \downarrow \mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{p}-675: \mathrm{c}$, endopod of right third maxilliped. Scales in mm.
 Venezuela): P-770, $1299-1318 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l}$ ovigerous $9,10.6 \mathrm{~mm},(\mathrm{RMNH}) .-$ Off Tobago: P-844, 1464-1848 m, $30^{\circ}, 9.1-10.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 4 \xi, 8.6-12.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $9,11.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMPL $32: 5295$.--Off Martinique: P-892, $1116-1354 \mathrm{~m}$, 1 ovigerous $\stackrel{c}{+}, 10.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, (RMNH).--St. Croix Basin, Virgin Islands: P-1304, 3477-3971 m, 1 c , 9.9 mm , (USNM). See distribution plot 18.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum long, simple, spine-like, slightly curved upward; anterior gastric region of carapace with pair of sharp spines; several small spines or tubercles along midline, frequently on anterior cardiac ridge; frontal margin unarmed between base of rostrum and anterolateral spine; lateral and posterior margins unarmed; second and third abdominal tergites with sharp median spine; no eyespines; no epipods on pereiopods.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad (cw/cl = approximately 0.80); gastric region inflated, cervical groove much shallower than broad rounded postcervical groove separating metagastric and cardiac regions. Dentate projections on front of gastric swelling, anterior gastric region with pair of small spines separated by longirudinal median swelling, armed behind forward pair with 1 to 4 (usually 3) spines or spinules; several transverse ridges, minutely dentate, arranged symmetrically on gastric region, more numerous and contintous on posterior third of carapace. Metagastric region reduced centrally; triangular cardiac region raised anteriorly, forming dentate crest on anterior margin with small median spine. Length of rostrum between $2 / 5$ and $3 / 5$ length of carapace, gently fle:ed upward with rounded dorsal carina; rostrum lightly sculptured with small dentate tubercles dorsally, ventrally and on lateral margins. Fruntal margin of carapace with narrow rim, dentate behind
antenna, but unarmed between base of rostrum and anterolateral spines. Lateral margins of carapace sculptured with small teeth or tubercles, but no major spines. Anterior margin of raised posterior rim minutely dentace, but otherwise unarmed.

Second and third teraites each with 2 dentate transverse carinae (anterior carina slightly more extensive), each armed wich sharp medial tooth, occasionally slight prutuberance in this position on fourth tergite. Fourth tergite with anterior carina only, srooth posteriorly. Fifth and sixth abdominal tergites smooth.

Sternum unarmed; intersegmental ridges distinct.
Eyestalks movable, short, dorsoventrally constricted at base, occasionally few small denticles on lateral margin near base, but no major eyespine; cornea slightly elongate.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with lateral swelling aid scattered small protube:ances; 2 sharp spines projecting tron dorsolateral surface of segment anteriorly, most dorsal spine usually thicker, longer; lower spine simple, or occasionally bifurcate or with accesscry spinule beneath; distal ventromesial margin dentate, projected with 1 or usually 2 sharp spines. Ancennular peduncle not long, winen extended reachinz just beyond tip of rostrum.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle broad, with dentate lateral projection and complex ventromesial projection. Second segment with sharp lateral spine on distal margin with small tooth or lobe mesial to chis and ventrolateral projection. Third segment with sharp mesial, dorsal and lateral spines and dentate ventral projection on jistal margin. Fcurth segment with dorsolateral lobe elongate, dentate distally; shorter mesial lobe with denticle. Antennal flagellum more than twice carapace
length.
Merus of endopod of third maxilliped flattened; ventral margin with broad tooth proximally, and $l$ or 2 smaller teeth decreasing in size distally; several small rounded teeth along dorsal edge and sharp tooth distally. Ischium with small tooth at each of dorsolateral and ventral angles.

Pereiopods tuberculate; tubercles slightly projected distally with dentatz anterior margins and setae between denticles, particularly cn dorsal surfaces. Larger specimens frequently with denticles developed inco spines. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds slightly less than 2 to $21 / 2$ times length of carapace (males generally with longer chelipeds). Manus almost $1 / 2$ leng.h of cheliped, dactylus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus. Combined width of both fingers wider than palm in females, slightly narrower in males; opposing margins dentate, abutting along entire length in both sexes: tips of fingers sponed; ventral surfaces concave; fingers almost devoid uf tubercles, dorsal surface of manus tuberculate, but usually withoat spines. Carpus approximately $1 / 3$ length of chela; distal margin with 3 to 5 spines dorsally, misial spine often broadly bifurcate; 1 spine : trally at articulation; dorsal surface tuberculate, frequently 1 or imure tubercles developed into spines on dorsonesial aurface; shallow longitudinal depression dorsally. Merus slightly more thar twice length of carpus; distal margin with 1 spine at each of 4 angleg, frequently ajditional spines on either side of dorsal spine; mesial surface with 1 sharp spine, approximately $1 / 3$ distance to base of segment, similar spine ventrally pusteriur to this; occasionally other smaller spines posterior-
ly. Ischium with sharp dorsal tooth at insertion of merus.

Second, third and Eourth pereiopods similar. Tip of dactylus of second pereiopod when extended reaching just beyond distal margin of carpus of cheliped; dactylus of third and fourth perei:jods reaching well beyond distal margin of propodus of preceding pereiopod. Dactylus with curved corneous tip followed by 8 to 12 sharp teeth on flexor margin, decreasing in size proximally; corneous spinule projecting from distal edge of each tooth. Propodus slightly broader distally, less than twice length of dactylus, tuberculate, but without spines; 'istal margin with 2 minute lobes with central denticle ventrally. Carpus less than $1 / 2$ length of propodus, distal margin with small sharp spine dorsally, usuaily distinct on second pereiopod, decreasing in size on third and fourth pertiopods; extensar margin behind this slightly raised, tuberculatn; distinct narrow low ridge with denticles laterally. Distal margin of merus with several small lobes, small teeth or spinules on dorsal and ventral lobes, usually betcer developed on anterior pereiopods; all surfaces tuberculate. Ischium with small dorsal tooth near insertion of merus.

Fifth pereiopods with merus broader in middle of segment, exposed lateral surface sculptured but usually without spines.

Uropod with posterolateral margin scalloped, posterior lobe minutely dentate; sculptured swelling on basal portion near articulation with sixth tergite. Dorsal surfaces of endopod and exopod smooth.

Telson broacer than long, consisting of 10 plates; scattered setac near junction of plates, particularly on posterolateral margin of medial plate. Males with thick fringe of golden setac on margin of lateral plate; setae short and sparse in this location on female; posterior margin of telson scalloped. .

Color.--Color notes were taken on a live male specimen. The carapace, chelipeds eyestalks and mouthparts were dull orange; the dorsal abdomen was paler with an orange transverse ridge across each tergite; ambulatoty legs and tailfan were also pale, lightening to white distally; the corneae were distinctly white.

All material examined is preserved in alcuhol and has lost all traces of pigment; the corneae appear translucent in these specimens.

Size. - - ${ }^{\prime}$, c1. 6.0-11.0 mm, 8, cl. 7.4-13.0 mm, and ovigerous $\ddagger, c 1.8 .2-13.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Sexual dimorphism.--Males of all sizes have the characteristic row of thick golden setae on the lateral margin of the lateral plate of the telsun (also reported by Perez, 1927: 284); setae in this position on the female are short and sparse.

Fingers of the chelipeds abut along their entire length in both sexes; even the largest males display no gape. There are subtle differences in the size and shape of the chelipeds, however, which may be used tu distinguish the soxes: males have the chelipeds slightly more than 2 times the carapace length; females have them somewhat less than twice the carapace length. Alsu the male has the fingers slightly more ateenwate and both manus and fingers more slender than do the females. As in most species, the abdomen of the female is broader than that of the male.


alsu were characterized as having mud, and several with Thalassia blades and coral rubble. The deeper stations at various locations in the Caribbean had diverse bottom types: green and brown mud, rocks, coral ruoble, ptercpod shells and siliceous sponges (see appendix).

Tupes.--A. Milne Edwards evidently did nut indicate a holotype, and a lectctype has not yet been selected from the type series. Some of the syntypes are housed at the MCZ.

Tre locality. The following BLAKE stations are presently considered Eype Localities: off Guadeoupe, no. 162 ( 734 fm ), no. 163 ( $769-878 \mathrm{im}$ ); off Dominica, no. 180 ( 982 fm ), no. 185 ( 333 fm ) ; off Martinique, no. $195(502 \mathrm{fm})$, no. 214 (892 fin); and off St. Vincent, no. 226 (424im), no. 227 (573 fm).

Gecgraphic range. - Munidopsis simplex appears to be widely distributed throughout the Gulf of Mexico, the Caribbean Sea, ari in the rastern Atlantic from the Bahama Islands at least as far south as Surinam. Apart from the type localities, records in the literature are: norin coast of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 92); Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 156-157).

Bathymetric ranse.-- The possible depth range for material in this collection is 1088-3971 m; calculated range is $116-347 \mathrm{~m}$. The previzusly recorded depth range was $609-1858 \mathrm{~m}$, so the deep station in the St . Croix Basin (P-1304) dranatically increases the known depth range.

Parasites.--Two males specimens from the Straits of Florida (G-963, G-96-) each had a paltogastrid rhizocephalan attashed to the ventral surface of the second aidominal segment; the two parasites appear to te
alike, and were tentatively identified as Sacculina sp., quite similar to S. bucculenta Boschma, 1933. The first of the parasitized specimens has the fourth fifth and sixth pleopods slightly better developed than normal for males. The other specimen has, in addition to Sacculina, a specimen of Galatheascus minutus Boschma, 1933 attached vantrally between the fifth and sixth segments of the abdinen. A male and female taken off the Atlantic coast of Colombia (P-391 each were parasitized by Galatheascus sp., possibly G. striatus Boschma, ${ }^{\text {F }} 1929$, attached between the fifth and sixth segments. These constitute the first recurds of abdominal parasites in this species; there have been no reports of branchial parasites.

Several specimens have various foraminiferans attached to body surfaces.

Associates.--At 10 of 22 stations where $M$. simplex was taken, M. sigsbei was also collected. The index of affinity calculated between tiese two species based on these data is 0.34 .

Relacionships.--As Chace (1942: 92) pointed out, Munidopsis simplex is closely related to M. curvirostra Whiteaves from the northern part of the North Atlantic; on comparing the two species, he expressed little doubt that they are distinct, but said that $\mathbf{M}$. simplex might properly be reduced to subspecific rank. In specimens he examined, the rosirum varied from 41 to 53 per cent of the remainder of the carapace in $M$. simislex, and from 71 to 76 per cent in $\underline{M}$. curvirostra; the rostrum was always more strongly curved in $\mathbb{M}$. curvizostra and the armature of tic dursal surface of the carapace, basa segment of the antennular pedtnole and third maxillipeds was usually weaker. In specimens of $M$. simplex
collected by the GERDA and fILLSBURY, the rostrum/a carapace length ra-io varies from 0.40 to 0.60 ; the rostrum appears slightly more curved in fomales than in males, although there are a few males with greater rostral curvature than certain females. The slight increase in rostrum/ carapace length ratio in this material is not considered sufficient to alter the status of this species.

Other species in the western Atlantic closel; related to M . simplex are M. reynoldsi (A. Milne Edwards) and M. similis Smith; both of theiese have a simple, spine-like rostrum, 1 pair of gastric spines and laci: epipods on the pereiopods. M. simplex is the only species with the above characters which also has the second and third abdominal tergites armed. M. reynoldsi has the posterior margin of the carapace arme: the ambulatory legs longer in respect to the chelipeds, and distinc: spines along the merus of all periopods; M. similis has more lateral spines, a post-antennal spine on the frontal margin of the carapace and distinct eyespines, which are lacking in the other species mentioned.

Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897) emphasized the similarities between $M$. simplex and $M$. sigsbei. These similarities are quite super ficial, but since the two species usually occur cogether, it is useful Lo cite the major differences between them: $M$. simplex is a smaller species, more heavily sculptured and spined on the carapace, with snortcr, narrower chelipeds (about 2 times carapace length) ; the abdomen is armed on the second and third segments, and there are no epipuds on the pereiopods. M. glesbei is larger, with the gastric region of the carapace unarmed and relatively smooth; the posterior margin is armed with scveral spines; the chelfpeds are longer (about 3 times carapace length) and broader; the abdomen is unarmed, and there are epipods on
the first 3 pairs of pereiopods.
Munidopsis stylirostris Wood-Mason from the Gulf of Aden and the Arabian Sea may also be related to M. simplex; it lacks epipods on the pereiopods, has the rostrum spine-like and upcurved, has no post-antennal spine, and has a pair of gastric spines (followed by several medial spines in M. stylirostris var. africana Doflein and Balss), but it lacks spines on the abdominal tergites.

Figures 50, 51

Galathodes spinifer A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 54.
Munidopsis spinifez: A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894: 275 (key);
1897: 64-67, pl. VIE, figs. 6-8.--Young, 1900: 407 (key), 412.-Benedict, 1902: 277 (key), 327 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 175 (list), 178 (table).--Perez, ${ }^{\circ} 1927$ : 285 (sexual dimorphism).-Chace, 1942: 74 (key), 91-92.--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 140 (key), 157, table 5-3; 1971: 6 (key).

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: G-169, 567-522 m, 1 ơ, 9.1 mm , 1 ovigerous $9,7.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML $32: 2682 ; \mathrm{G}-386,604 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{O}, 6.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, URML 32:5296; G-635, 458-480 m, 1 ovigerous $9,7.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5297; P-209, depth not recorded, $10^{\prime \prime}, 5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5298.--Bahama Islands: G-690, 494-503 m, $10^{\circ}, 6.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, (USNM). --Off Guadebupe: $P-944,360-421 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}$, 6.5 mm , (RMNH).--S of Jamaica: P-1225, 457-558 m, 3 $\mathrm{o}^{\circ}$, 8.5-12.7 mm, UMML 32:5299. See distribution plot 19.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum almost horizontal, with 1 pair of lateral spires; gastric region of carapace with 3 pairs of spines and 3 to 5 spines on posterior margin; second, third and fourth abdominal segments. with sharp medial spine, additional spine or spines laterally on second and third segments; no eyespine; no epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad, lateral margins almost parallel, slightly wider posteriorly. Gastric region inflated, with 3 pairs of sharp spines in longitudinal row, anterior spines largest; 2 pairs on cardiac region posterior to those: 1 spine on mesobranchial reaion


Figure 50. - -Munidopsis spinifer (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). © , cl. 12.7 $\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{P}-1225$ : a, dorsal view, no setae shown, shaded areas indicate redorange color pattern (drawn from color slide taken by D. M. Opresko). 0 , cl. $12.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-1225: \underline{b}$, left cheliped, most setae shown. Scales in mm.


Figure 51. --Munidopsis spinifer (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). Ovigerous $9,$. cl. $7.7 \mathrm{~mm}, G-169:$ a, carapace and abdomen, lateral view showing third maxilliped, not all setae shown. Ovigerous o, cl. $7.7 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-635$ : b, anterior carapace and cephalic appendages, setae omitted; $c$, dactylus of left second pereiopod. $\sigma, c 1.12 .5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{p}-1225$ : d, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson, only marginal setae shown; e, right second pereiopod. Scales in mm.
followed by 2 on each metabranchial region; metabranchial region lightly sculptured. Cervical groove distinct behfind gastric region across center of carapace; postcervical groove posterior to this deeper across central third of carapace. Surface covered with short, curved setae. Rostrum approximately $1 / 2$ carapace length, nariow, slightly carinate, armed with 1 pair lateral spines approximately $1 / 2$ distance from base of rostrum to tip, tapering distally. Frontal margin with 1 sharp spine posterior and slightly mesial to antenna, sometimes greatly reduced. Anterolateral spine sharp, curved, followed by 4 similar spines on lateral margin, spine posterior to cervical groove slightly heavier. Raised rim at posterior margin armed with 3 to 5 spines on each side of midline. First abdominal tergite smooth, unarmed. Second and third segments with 5 spines on rounded crest of transverse carina: spine on midline largest, with smaller spine on each side, other spines more lateral, smaller. Fourth segment with 1 spine on midline, occasionally a small spine on either side. Fifth and sixth segments unarmed, smooth. Second through fifth segments covered with short setae, curved on anterior 2 segments, straight on posterior ones.

Sternum unarmed intersegmental swellings with setae.
Eyes colorless, movable, unarmed; eyestalk short, triangular projection from base of cornea; distal margin of eyestalk with forwardprojecting setae, some curved, several long, thick.

Sharp spine projecting from beneach frontal margin of carapace between eyestalk and bases of antennule and antenna.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle swollen, armed with 2 long sharp spine dorsodistally, distal most spine longer. Antennular flagellum extending slightly beyond tip of rostrum.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle, broad, ventromesial tooth prominent, lateral triangular projection with terminal denticle. Second segment with 2 long sharp spines on distal margin: ventromesial spine longer than lateral spine. Third segment unarmed. Fouth segment with small dorsolateral spine on distal margin. Antennal flagellum as long as 7 times carapace length.

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped triangular in cross section; mesial margin serrate, ventral and lateral angles with sharp distal spine. Ventral margin of merus with 3 , sometimes 4 , sharp spines, decreasing in size distally; proximal spine broad at base; dorsal margin with small sharp distal spine.

No epipods on pereiopods.
Chelipeds $21 / 2$ to 3 times carapace length. Dactylus approximately 1/2 length of chela; gape at base of fingers (small gape even in some females). Tips of fingers spooned, dentate; fingers toothedalong opposing margins; in males distal $2 / 3$ with margins abutting. Chela narrow, width at widest point less than $1 / 4$ length; manus with several low protuberances on mesial margin, 1 -or 2 in center expanded to small tooth. Carpus short, less than $1 / 2$ length of chela, with 4 sharp spines on distal margin: 1 dorsomesial, 1 dorsolateral, 1 lateral and 1 ventrolateral; dorsal face of segment with 3 small spines 1 spine behind each of dorsal distal spines, and 1 proximal spine. Merus not quite as long as chela, with 4 large spines at distal angles; dorsal surface with 4 large ventromesial spines and 2 or 3 small ventrolateral spines. Ischium with 3 sharp spines along ventral projection and 1 spine on dorsal surface. Surfaces of ambulatory legs and proximal segments of chelipeds with many low, rounded protuberances and short, curved setae.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar, dorsal and lateral surfaces covered with setae, some longer, most plumose. Dactylus of second pereiopod barely reaching carpus of cheliped; curved tip corneous, followed by 8 to 10 triangular teeth on ventral margin, decreasing in size proximally, distal edge of each tooth with movable corneous spinule. Propodus with 2 or 3 sharp curved spines on dorsal surface, 1 small tooth at dorsolateral edge of distal margin, 1 movable spine at distal ventral edge of segment, and 1 approximately $1 / 3$ distance from distal end. Carpus with 3 sharp, curved spines on dorsal crest, distal spine largest; distal margin beneath spine with dorsal and ventral denticles. Merus with 5 to 7 sharp curved spines on dorsal edge, decreasing in size proximally; ventrolateral edge with 5 to 7 smaller spines, arranged in row on second pereiopod, more irregular on third and fourth pereiopods. Distal margin of ischium with small dorsal and lateral teeth, several protuberances ventrally on second and third pereiopods, fourth pereiopod only with several protuberances.

Fifth pereiopods with merus expanded, lateral surface with short setae, lightly sculptured, with 2 or 3 small teeth on ventral edge.

Posterolateral margin of protopod of uropod scalloped; posterior lobe with 3 denticles on lateral side of notch, 1 or 2 mesially.

Telson divided into 8 plates, central plate very small. Posterior margin in 2 lobes.

Color.--From a color slide of the dorsal aspect taken by Dennis M. Opresko: This species is strikingly colored with broad red-orange stripes on a white background. The rostrum is colored with the pigment extending across the anterior carapace (except for a narrow white frontal rim) and including the anterolateral spines. The colored area continues
posteriorly on either side of the midline as a broad stripe taking in the anterior gastric spine, but passing lateral to the 2 posterior pairs; it includes the area of the meso- and metabranchial spines, but does not include the medial pairs on the cardiac region and posterior margin. The abdominal segments show a continuation of this pattern, with the central 3 spines in the white stripe; the lateral spines are not colored, but are in the center of the red-orange area, and there is a narrow area without color at each lateral margin.

* The cornea of the eye appears to have an inner sphere of red-orange pigment, and there is some color dorsally on the eyestalk at the base of the cornea. It is not possible to be certain about coloration of patterns on the cephalic appendages, but the basal antennular spines are colored, as are the second and third segments of the antennal peduncle. The chelipeds have a broad longitudinal orange band on most of the dorsal surface of the merus with white on either side. The dorsal and mesial surface of the carpus is pale orange, as is the dorsal surface of the chela. The manus appears to have a lighter area on the mesial surfaces, and the dactylus has a darker stripe dorsally. The merus and carpus of the ambulatory legs have a broad orange stripe along the lateral face; the propodus of the second ambulatory leg has a longitudinal stripe on the lateral surface, and it is probably that this segment of the other legs does also. The dactyli and ventral surfaces of the animal were not visible.

Size.--ه́, cl. 5.5-12.7mm, ¢, cl. 6.8-7.7 mm, and ovigerous $\ddagger, c l .7 .7 \mathrm{~mm}$.

These sizes compare favorably with those given by A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 66) which indicate carapace lengths of approximately 12 mm for the male and 7 mm for the female.

Sexual dimorphism.--Some large males have the cheliped gaped, but there is a small gape in all specimens examined (including females) and one large male has the opposing margins abutting for almost the entire length of the fingers. Thus the gape is inconsistent in males.

The fringe of thick golden setae on the lateral margins of the telson occurs only in males. This feature was pointed out by Perez (1927). The differences in the shape of the rostrum and placement of the lateral spines mentioned by A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 66) were not observed in the only male and female taken at the same station (G-169).

Habitat.--At the 3 stations for which information about the bottom was recorded, sponges, alcyonarians and coral were characteristic.

Type.--The holotype is a male, cl. approximately 12 mm . Present deposition of the type not determined.

Type locality.--Caribbean Sea (St. Kitts), BLAKE Sta. 146, 450 m .

Geographic range.--Munidopsis spinifer has been collected in the western Atlantic from the Straits of Elorida and the Bahamas and in the Caribbean from Cuba to Barbados. Records in the literature are: St. Kitts to Barbados (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1897: 66-67); north coast of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 91).

Bathvmetric range.--The possible depth range for specimens collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY is 203-604 m ; calculated range is $421-522 \mathrm{~m}$,
which falls within the previously recorded depths of 275-880 m.

Parasites.--None of the specimens in this collection shows external evidence of parasitism. The abdominal parasite mentioned by Chace (1942) was identified by Reinhard (1958) as the rhizocephalan Tortugaster fistulatus Reinhard, 1948.

Associates.--No other representative of the genus occurred at more than 1 of the 6 stations where Munidopsis spinifer was collected.

Relationships.--Munidopsis spinifer resembles M. erinaceus (A. Milne Edwards), also from the western Atlantic, in having a pair of lateral teeth on the rostrum and sharp spines arranged symmetrically over the carapace, abdomen and appendages, but differs from it in a number of characters: $\underline{M}$. spinifer has 3 pairs of gastric spines instead of 2 pairs; the posterior margin of the carapace is armed; there are medial spines on the abdominal tergites, lateral spines on the propodus of the ambulatory legs, and most spines are directed more anteriorly than laterally.

Munidopsis sericea Faxon and $\underline{M}$. agassizi Faxon from the eastern Pacific are close to these species morphologically; both have lateral rostral spines, armed abdominal tergites, and the general configuration of the above, but both lack medial abdominal spines. In addition, $M$. sericea has only 1 pair of gastric spines and a pair of small supraocular spines; $\underline{M}$. agassizi has spines on the dorsal surface of the manus and more lateral spines on the carapace.

Remarks.--Although all specimens examined have short curved setae to some degree over the surface of the carapace, abdomen and pereiopods, the male and female frum G-169 (northern Straits of Florida) have these
surfaces extremely setose (fig. 51).
As has been pointed out by Chace (1942), the armature of the third maxillipeds can be quite variable. A single specimen of $M$. spinifer has 3 sharp ventral spines on the merus of one of its third maxillipeds, as is usually the condition, and 4 distinct ventral spines on the opposite maxilliped.

Chace also indicated that $M$. spinifer had a frontal spine on the carapacial margin which contradicts A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier's description and illustration. While this spine is a consistent feature of individuals in our collection, one specimen (from G-635) has the spine reduced to a spinule.

# Munidopsis spinoculata (A. Milne Edwards, 1880) 

Figure 54

Orophorhynchus spinoculatus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 59.
Munidopsis spinoculata: A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894: 275 (key);
1897: 75-78, pl. VI, figs. 8-11.--Young, 1900: 407 (key), 409.--
Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 327 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913:
176 (list), 178 (table).--Chace, 1942: 74 (key), 86.--Pequegnat and
Pequegnat, 1970: 139 (key), 158 (in part), fig. 5-2, table 5-2; 1971: 6 (key), 23-24, fig. 7c.

Material examined. - -Straits of Florida: $G-446,1135-1184 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 7.9 \mathrm{~mm}$,
(USNM) ; G-448, 988-1071 m, $1 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, 8.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5300.--Off Atlantic coast of Colombia: P-381, $724-597 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 7.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5301.--Off Dominica: BLAKE Sta. $179,1508 \mathrm{~m}(824 \mathrm{fm}), 10^{\circ}$, holotype, approximately $9 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{MCZ}$ 4750. See distribution plot 20.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum long, triangular, spine-like, horizontal; lateral margins straight, tapering directly from base to apex; gastric regicn unarmed, with obscure irregular transverse sculpturing; frontal margin with prominent post-antennal spine; anterolateral tooth small or lacking; posterior margin of carapace and abdominal segments unarmed; cornea with central spine approximately same length as corneal diameter; no epipods on pereiopods; sternum armed with 2 pairs sharp spines between chelipeds; coxa of cheliped with slender mesial spine.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad ( $\mathrm{cw} / \mathrm{cl}=0.78-0.84$ ), narrower anteriorly, slightly convex transversely; gastric region moderately inflated; obscure transverse striae in 5 or 6 irregular transverse lines,

Bistribution plot 20.--Munidopsis spinoculata (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY.


Figure 52. --Munidopsis spinoculata (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). ©́, cl. $8.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-448$ : a, carapace and abdomen, lateral view, setae not shown; d, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view. ó, cl. $7.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-381$ : $\underline{b}$, anterior sternites and coxae of chelipeds; $c$, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson; e, dorsal view.
interrupted medially, with evenly-spaced setae directed anteriorly; cervical groove shallow but distinct, followed by raised sculpturing across central thiri of carapace at anterior margin of metagastric region; sculpturing on surface of metagastric and cardiac regions very obscure; anterior margin of cardiac region raised, defined anteriorly by postcervical groove separating cardiac and metagastric region; striae on metabranchial regions more distinct, obscurely beaded, interrupted. Rostrum $1 / 3$ to $2 / 5$ carapace length, horizontal; lateral margins straight, tapering directly from base to apex, minutely serrate in distal half; blunt median longitudinal carina with series of obscure denticles. Frontal margin oblique, fused to eyestalks between base of rostrum and prominent post-antennal spine; margin continuing obliquely to small anterolateral tooth , or anterolateral tooth lacking. Lateral margin with large sharp tooth behind termination of anterior branch of cervical groove, and 1 or more denticles posterior to this on lateral edge of epibranchial region. Raised rim on posterior margin of carapace unarmed except for minute beading.

Abdomen unarmed. Second and third segments with 2 transverse carinae: anterior carina sharper, extending laterally to center of pleuron; posterior carina rounded, extending across tergite. Fourth tergite with rounded transverse swelling anteriorly, and very obscure central swelling on posterior part. Fifth and sixth segments smooth. Posterolateral margin of sixth segment slightly lobed.

Sternum armed with 2 pairs of small sharp spines on anterolateral margin between coxae of chelipeds.

Eyes immovable, base fused to frontal margin of carapace, armed
with prominent conical spine projecting anteriorly from center of cornea; length of eyespine almost equal to diameter of cornea; small ventromesial tooth projecting from eyestalk beyond surface of cornea.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with minutely tuberculate lateral inflation; dorsolateral spine smaller than prominent spine beneath it on distolateral margin; longer spine extending beyond eyespine; distal margin serrate ventrally, with minutely denticulate mesial projection.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle with small lateral spine and longer* prominent sharp ventromesial spine. Distal margin of second segment with small conical lateral spine and occasionally smaller ventromesial tooth. Distal margin of third segment minutely denticulate, denticles occasionally developed into small teeth. Distal margin of fourth segment with dorsolateral spine and dorsal and mesial denticles. Flagellum more than twice carapace length, extending well beyond tips of chelipeds. Merus of endopod of third maxilliped with 2 spinules on flexor (ventral) margin. Rounded ventral carina on ischium terminating in spinule distally.

Pereiopods lightly sculptured on dorsal and exposed lateral surfaces. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory lega.

Chelipeds short, broad, length 1 to $11 / 3$ times carapace length. Manus dorsoventrally compressed, equal to approximately $1 / 2$ cheliped length; width of manus almost $1 / 2$ length. Dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus; fingers quite compressed, slightly gaped in both males and females, gape more pronounced in males; opposing margins abutting in distal half, toothed; tips spooned, gaped ventrally; fixed finger with distolateral margin expanded to dentate crest; manus with widely-spaced groups of long setae on most surfaces, particularly on lateral and
mesial margins. Carpus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus; distal margin with small dorsomesial tooth and 2 dorsolateral teeth. Merus shorter than manus, extending just beyond tip of rostrum; dorsal margin rounded distally, sharper proximally with denticles becoming coarser proximally; distal margin serrate dorsally, with sharp spine beneath lateral and mesial articular lobes. Ischium with small dorsal tooth distally, and several smaller tubercles posteriorly. Basal segment with sharp slender spine on ventromesial surface directed mesially.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Second pereiopod reaching beyond distal margin of cheliped; third and fourth pereiopods reaching beyond distal margin of propodus of preceding pereiopod. Tip of dactylus curved, corneous, pale brown, followed on flexor margin by series of 10 to 12 triangular teeth, decreasing in size proximally, each armed on distal edge with slender corneous spinule. Propodus approximately twice length of dactylus; extensor surface with 2 minutely denticulate or tuberculate longitudinal ridges, surface flat or slightly excavate between them; slender articulated spinule projecting from each of 2 small ventral lobes on distal margin. Carpus approximately $1 / 2$ length of propodus, extensor margin expanded, with sharp distal spine followed by smaller distinct spine and several denticles or tubercles, decreasing in prominence proximally, occasionally 1 of these developed into spine; distal margin with smaller lateral spine followed by minutely denticulate longitudinal ridge; ventral margin denticulate. Merus with extensor margin expanded dorsally, with widely-spaced denticles, terminating distally in sharp triangular spine; similar distal spine beneath lateral articular lobe on flexor margin. Lateral surface with transverse sculpturing, most distinct on fourth pereiopod. Ischium unarmed.

Merus of fifth pereiopod compressed, expanded, cristate ventrally with samll projection near

Protopod of uropod with obscure sculpturing in center of posteromesial portinn; posterior lobe of posterolateral margin with sharp spine mesial to obscure notch. Endopod with several pairs of articulated spinules in oblique row on exposed surface; exopod and endopod with granular denticles on posterolateral and posterior margins.

Telson broader than long; consisting of 9 plates, snooth; posterior margin indented medially.

Color.--Specimens examined were preserved in alcohol and were completely devoid of color except for the pale brown tips of the dactylus and thick golden setae on certain appendages and body surfaces.

Size. $-0^{\prime \prime}$, c1. 7.9-8.3 mm, and १, c1. 7.7 mm .

No ovigerous females were collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY. Cahce (1942: 86) reported an ovigerous female with the carapace and rostrum measuring about 10 mm .

Sexual dimorphism.--Males have a row of setae on the posterolateral margins of the telson which are slightly thicker than other marginal setae, but they do not form the prominent golden "comb" characteristic of many species of Munidopsis; females have very few fine setae in this location.

No difference between sexes was observed in the chelipeds or in the width of the abdomen.

Habitat.--The bottom type was recorded at all 4 GERDA and PILLSBURY stations where $\underline{M}$. spinoculata was collected; the botom was muddy at all

4 stations; pteropod shells were present at 2 stations; sand and rocks were present at 1 of the latter, and coral and Thalassia debris were found at the other.

Type.-- ${ }^{*}$, cl. approximately 8 mm ; MCZ 4750.

Type locality.--Off Dominica, BLAKE Sta. 189, 1508 m (824 fm).

Geographic range.--Munidopsis spinoculata is known from widely scattered locations throughout the westernatlantic: irom the Straits of Florida in the north, west from the SW Gulf of Mexico, south from the Atlantic coast of Colombia in the Caribbean, and east from Dominica. Records found in the literature are: north coast of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 86); SW Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 158); off British Honduras and near Jamaica (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 23).

Bathymetric range.--Possible depth range for the GEFDA and PILLSBURY collections is 597-1267 m ; calculated range is 724-1135 m. Calculated range including previous records is 724-1508 m.

Associates.--Other species of Munidopsis were taken with Munidopsis spinoculata at 2 of the stations reported here; no species occurred with it more than once.

Parasites.--The female specimen from P-413 has a single abdominal parasite, probably a peltogastrid rhizocephalan. Unfortunately, the material is dried and further identification is impossible.

Relationships.--Several other western Atlantic species are somewhat similar to M. spincculata. M. subspinoculata Pequegnat and Pequegnat
is very similar to M. spinoculata, but the former species lacks the prominent post-antennal spines, has a distinct anterolateral tooth, and has the carapacial sculpturing far more even and continuous, particularly across the gastric region, than does $M$. spinoculata, in addition to many other characters (see table l). Munidopsis ramahtaylorae Pequegnat and Pequegnat is also close to these two, but lacks all sculpturing on the carapace, and has the rostrum slightly decurved, in addition to the other characters listed in the table. Munidopsis nitida (A. Milne Edwards) is closely related to $M$. spinoculata also, as indicated by $A$. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 75-77), but M. nitida has a pair of gastric spines and epipods on the chelipeds which serve to separate it easily from M. spinoculata.

As stated by Alcock (1901: 271), M. ceratophthalma Alcock from the Andaman Sea (Indian Ocean) is closely related to M. spinoculata; in addition to having the pereiopods with more spines (the only distinguishing feature indicated by Alcock), M. ceratophthalma has the eyespine on the mesial side of the cornea rather than centrally as in M. spinoculata, and the anterolateral spine is more distinct in $\mathcal{M}$. ceratophthalma.

Remarks.--The left fourth pereiopod in the male specimen from P-381 (fig. $52, e$ ) is smaller and less sculptured than the opposite pereiopod, and probably has been regenerated. This may also account for the difference in length of the chelipeds of this specimen; all other specimens examined have the chelipeds equal.

| Character | M- spinoculata | M. Subspinoculata | M. ramahtaylorae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carapace Dorsal surface, gastric region | Irregular sculpturing with setae, obscurely transverse, interrupted; no striae | Regular transverse striae with setae across dorsal midline | Smooth, no sculpturing or striations, setae not in transverse rows |
| Rostrum: Lateral margins | Straight, tapering directly from base to apex | Subparallel proximally, slightly convex distally | Subparallel proximally, convex distally |
| Median carina | Present | Present | Absent |
| Shape dorsally | Morizontal | llorizontal | Convex, downcurved |
| Post-antennal armature | Spine | Lobe or spine(?) | Spine |
| Anterolateral armature | Small tooth or none | Distinct spine | None |
| Abdominal tergites Fourth | l transverse groove with carina anteriorly | 2 transverse carinae with grooves | 1 transverse groove with |
| Fifth | Smooth | with grooves <br> Rounded carina | carina anteriorly |
| Sixth | Smooth | Setae in 2 oblique rows posteriorly smoothly sculptured | Smooth |
| Sternal armature | 2 pairs sharp spines | 1 pair sharp spines | 2 pairs sharp spines |
| Coxae of chelipeds, mesial surface | Armed with 1 sharp spine projecting mesially | Unarmed | Unarmed |
| Eyespine | Length almost equal to diameter of cornea | Length less than half diameter of cornea | Length about half diameter of cornea |
| Antenna, lateral spination | Distinct only on 2nd segment, basal and 3rd segments at most denticulate | Small teeth, no spines on basal and 2nd segment, 3rd segment denticulate | Basal, 2nd and 3rd segments with distinct lateral spines |

Munidopsis spinosa (A. Milne Edwards)
Figures 53, 54

Galacantha spinosa A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 53.--A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894: 270 (key); 1897: 56-60, pl. IV, figs. 14-20.--Young, 1900: 417.--Benedict, 1902: 305 (list).--Doflein and Balss, 1913: 174 (table). NOT Galacantha spinosa var. trachynotus: Alcock, 1901: 277-278 [=Munidopsis trachynotus (Anderson, 1896)].

Munidopsis spinosa: Chace, 1942: 72 (key), 76-77.--Pequegnatand Pequegnat, 1970: 138 (key); 1971: 4 (key).

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: G-131, $787-733 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 27.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, 1 ovigerous $9,32.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML $32: 2705 ; G-870,807-755 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}, 29.7 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $\ddagger, 28.9 \mathrm{~mm},(U S N M) .-$ Off Atlantic coast of Colombia: P-381, $724-597 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, 29.5,25.0 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \rho, 24.7-31.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ (all but largest male with branchial parasite), UMM 32:3149; P-388, 814-1050 m, 1 ovigercus ¢, 28.2 mm , (USNM).--S of Jamaica: P-1224, $878-906 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 26.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ with branchial parasite, $19,30.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ with branchial and abdominal parasite (RMNH). See distribution plot 21.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum narrow, horizontal proximally with strong distal upturn, unarmed laterally; gastric region of carapace with pair of prominent anterior gastric spines and huge median laterally-compressed spine projecting upwards from posterior part; frontal margin unarmed between rostrum and long anterclateral spine; posterior marginal rim armed with line of short spines; second, third and fourth abdominal tergites armed with prominent median spine and 2 spinose transverse carinae; eyes unarmed, epipods on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.
Distribution plot $21 .-$ Munidopsis spinosa (A. Milne Edwards, 1880) collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY.



Figure 54. --Munidopsis spinosa (A. Milne Edwards, 1880). ó, cl. 29 mm , P-381: a, rostrum, eye, antennule and antenna, ventrolateral view; c, dactylus of right third pereiopod, lateral view. $9, \mathrm{cl} .24 .7 \mathrm{~mm}: b$, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad ( $c w / \mathrm{c}=0.85-0.90$ ), transversely convex; cervical groove extending obliquely forward from center of carapace as fairly straight channel to oval depressions posterolaterally on either side of gastric region; anterior and posterior branches proceeding from this point less distinct; postcervical groove present as smooth depression across central third of carapace; groove extending obliquely from just behind and lateral to depression forward to lateral margins. Anterior gastric region with pair of prominent spines; posterior gastric region with huge laterally-compressed spine projecting upward and slightly forward; anterior to this a longitudinal row of short spines on continuation of rostral carina behind anterior gastric spines, and a transverse series of spines; several of gastric and hepatic tubercles spiniform. Ridge behind cervical groove with several small spines; spines also on epibranchial and mesobranchial regions. Cardiac region with median spine on anterior transverse swelling slightly smaller or about same size as anterior gastric spines; several small spines lateral to this and on surface of cardiac region smaller prominent spine directly behind this, and even smaller spine just ahead of posterior marginal depression; metabranchial region covered with spinulate tubercles. Rostrum narrow, roundly carinate, horizontal in proximal half, with distal half projecting upward, similar to anterior gastric spines; lateral margins with basal rim, but no spines. Frontal margin unarmed between base of rostrum and long, sharp, dorsoventrally-compressed spine at anterolateral angle; this spine followed on lateral margin by much smaller prominent spine, and even smaller spine just ahead of lateral notch; carapace slightly broader behind this, with small but prominent lateral spine posterior to notch. Posterior marginal. rim bicarinate: anterior
ridge with line of short spines, posterior line tuberculate.
Abdomen covered with short, blunt spines; second, third and fourth segments with anterior and posterior spinose transverse ridges separated by smooth depression extending across tergite; anterior median spine prominent, much larger than others. Fourth and fifth segments evenly spinose, mesial spines coarser; small medial protuberance on posterior margin of sixth segment.
. Sternum with intersegmental ridges distinct; sternite between chelipeds with several pairs of low tubercles; longitudinal median furrow distinct, deeper anteriorly.

Eyes prominent, movable, unarmed: short eyestalks constricted; cornea dilated, nearly spherical, slightly expanded ventromesially.

Small spot of calcification on membrane between bases of antennule, antenna and eyestalk, but no tooth or spine.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with very slight lateral swelling armed dorsally with small, slender spine; distal margin with long, slightly incurved lateral spine, broad ventral projection with several long setae, and short mesial spine. Second segment of extended peduncle reaching tip of rostrum; long third segment and flagellum reaching to carpus of cheliped.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle broad, immovable; distal margin with triangular ventromesial projection. Second segment broad, with conical ventromesial spine near distal magin, and minute lateral spinule adjacent to dorsolateral lobe on distal margin. Third segment with several small cristate lobes around distal margin. Fourth segment with broad dorsolateral projection on distal margin. Flagellum indecently long, 6 to 10 times carapace length, without setae.

Endopod of third maxilliped with ischium terminating distally in :
sharp point at dorsolateral and ventral flexor angles. Merus with several obscure tubercles on extensor margin and lateral face; ventral flexor margin with broad flattened basal tooth and smaller tooth distal to that.

Epipods present on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.
Chelipeds $11 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ times carapace length. Dactylus more than $1 / 2$ to $3 / 5$ length of manus; fingers slightly compressed dorsoventrally, smooth, opposing margins finely toothed, abutting along entire length dorsally, with ventral excavation; tips narrow, with larger sharp interlocking teeth. Manus approximately $1 / 2$ length of cheliped; palm slightly broader than fingers, inflated, evenly sculptured with low, sparse tubercles on dorsal surfaces, dorsomesial series weakly spiniform, tubercles obscure ventrally. Carpus $1 / 3$ or less than $1 / 3$ length of chela; distal margin with prominent dorsolateral spine, occasionally other smaller dorsal spines or triangular teeth on distal margin; dorsal surface with spiniform tubercles arranged in irregular longitudinal dorsomesial, dorsal and dorsolateral rows. Merus shorter than chela; distal margin with ventromesial, dorsomesial, dorsal and lateral spines, dorsomesial spine largest; other surfaces tuberculate; dorsal margin expanded, forming edge proximally. Ischium tuberculate, but with no prominent spines.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods very similar. Propodus of second pereiopod reaching beyond cheliped; third and fourth pereiopods nearly as long. Dactylus more than $1 / 2$ length of propodus, slightly curved; tip corneous only ae very end, barely discernible; typical teeth and corneous spinules lacking on flexor margin distally; obscure serration proximally; distal third of extensor margin with 2 parallel rows of short setae. Propodus long, slender, slightly broader proximally;
extensor margin with several longitudinal rows of obscure tubercles, coarser and somewhat spinulate proximally; flexor surface smooth, rounded; distal margin with 2 small lobes separated by channel; lateral lobe sometimes with spinulé. Carpus broader, approximately $1 / 3$ length of propodus; flexor margin slightly expanded; distal terminal spine followed by series of 5 or 6 teeth or spinulate tubercles; dorsolateral series similar; lateral and mesial surfaces with scattered low tubercles. Merus of second and third pereiopods approximately same length as propodus, merus of third pereiopod slightly shorter; distal margin with prominent dorsal spine separated by articular lobe from smaller ventrolateral spine; extensor margin slightly expanded, tuberculate; dorsal, lateral and ventral surface tuberculate, tubercles arranged in irregular longitudinal rows; mesial surface smooth. Ischium with severa tubercles, but no prominent spines. Merus of fifth pereiopods with minute obscure tubercles on exposed lateral surface distally.

Protopod of uropod with posterior lobe notched: margin serrate lateral to notch, coarse tooth mesial to notch; surface with transverse series of tubercles anteriorly and posteriorly. Endopod with small spinulate tubercles on lateral exposed surface.

Telson broader than long, roughly trapezoidal, divided into 8 distinct plates; small intermediate plates obscurely divided from lateral plates, making a total of 10 plates. Spinulate tubercles on all but central plate. Posterior margin with small medial notch between plates.

Color.--A beautiful color slide was taken by Dennis M. Opresko of the female specimen from PILLSBURY Sta. 1224 immediately after capture. This dorsal view of the animal shows its striking red and white color
pattern: the rostrum, frontal margin and all carapacial spines are bright red against the white dorsal surface of the anterior half of the carapace; the red color extends in an irregular band from the frontal margin posteriorly to the cervical groove, the central part of which is red. The posterinr half of the carapace is completely red, except for a white depressed area, the postcervical groove, in front of the cardiac region. The eyestalks, antennules and antennae are reddish, with a whitish area on the dorsal surface of the eyestalks; the corneae are colorless. The chelipeds are white dorsally, with red bands laterally and pinkish fingers. The ambulatory legs appear white on extensor surfaces and red beneath, so that in lateral view the propodus is white above with a longitudinal red stripe below. The lateral articular lobe on the distal margin of the merus is red.

A color slide taken by Jon C. Staiger of a specimen collected by the ISELIN shows the abdomen extended. The red color is more orange than in the above picture. The second through the fifth segments are white with red-orange spines, and the sixth segment and tailfan are completely red-orange.

The material examined is preserved in alcohol and is devoid of color except for the golden color of thicker setae.

This is the first report of the coloration of this species.

Size.--ó, cl. 25.0-29.7 mm,
¢, c1. 24.7-32.3 mm, ovigerous ¢, cl. 28.2-32.2 mml

The type specimen is so newhat smaller (total length of the carapace is approximately 22 mm ). Sizes were not givan for the ATLANTIS material (Chace, 1942: 77-79).

Sexual dimorphism.--The only striking sexually dimorphic character observed in this species is the patch of thick, deep-golden (almost brown in preservation) setae on the lateral margins of the telson of males; this tuft, several rows wide, is more prominent in this species than in any of the others examined. Females lack marginal setae in this location.

Habitat. -- The bottom at the 3 stations where this information was recorded consisted variously of sponges, green grey mud, and heavy brown clay.

Type.--The holotype is an ovigerous female, with cl. less than 18 mm . Paratypes are at the MCZ, but present deposition of the holotype was not determined.

Geographic range.--Munidopsis spinosa is now known from scattered locations in the western Atlantic: in the north from the Straits of Florida and throughout the Caribbean, from Cuba and Jamaica south to the coast of Colombia and east to Dominica. The only occurrences reported since the type are those near the north and south coasts of Cuba by Chace (1942: 76-77).

Bathymetric range.--Possible depth range for material in this collection is $587-1050 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range is $724-878 \mathrm{~m}$, which falls within the range previously reported, 609-1006 m (333-550 fm).

Parasites. --The branchial parasites indicated in the list of material examined are bopyrid isopods, probably belonging to the genus Pseudione, and possibly undescribed species. A branchial parasite occurred in one of Chace's specimens from the ATLANTIS collection, but there is no mention in the literature of its identity.

The female specimen from P-1224 carried both a branchial parasite and a large abdominal parasite. The latter is a peltogastrid rhizocephalan, as yet unidentified.

Associates.--At 4 of the 5 stations where $M$. spinosa was collected, other species of Munidopsis were also found: M. abbreviata, M. erinaceus and $\underline{M}$. sigsbei were each captured at 2 of these stations with M. spinosa.

Relationships.--Munidoosis spinosa is another member of the Galacantha species complex, which is discussed in the Relationships section of the account of M. rostrata (A. Milne Edwards). M. spinosa can be distinguished from the latter, its closest western Atlantic relative, by the presence of lateral spines on the rostrum of $M$. rostrata, and by the otherwise spinier nature of $\underline{M}$. spinosa (many more spinulate tubercles on the carapace and more prominent median cardiac spines). In life, the colors of the 2 species are also strikingly different: M. spinosa is white with red spines and splotches, while $\underline{M}$. rostrata is solidly red or red-orange.

Chace (1942: 77) points out that M. diomedeae (Faxon) and M. trachynotus (Anderson) from the eastern Pacific and Arabian Sea, respectively, are closely related to M. spinosa, but that, contrary to Stebbing (1908), M. trachynotus is not synonymous with and can be distinguished from $M$. spinosa by the presence of lateral spines on the rostrum. As Chace notes, these 3 species are usually found in water shallower than 2000 m , while $M$. rostrata is usually deeper and "this may have some bearing on the fact that they show specific morphological characters in different parts of the world, whereas $M$. rostrata has undergone practically nc change in spreading over a similar range." Munidoosis diomedeae
has rugose, rather than spinulate, sculpturing on the posterior half of the carapace, and the median spine on the fourth abdominal tergite is reduced or lacking. These characters serve to separate it from M. spinosa. M. valdiviae (Doflein and Balss) from the eastern coast of Africa, has the rostrum armed laterally, the general armature of the carapace tuberculate, and no large spine behind the anterolateral spine.

Munidopsis squamosa (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Figures 55, 56

Orophorhynchus squamosus A. Milne Ẹdwards, 1880: 58-59.
Elasmonotus squamosus: A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1894: 282 (key); 1897: 00-101, pl. VIII, figs. 4-6.--Young, 1900: 414 (key).--Bourdon, 1972: 820 (as host of Parapleuracryptella elasmonoti, n.sp.).

Munidopsis squamosa: Benedict, 1902: 276 (key), 327 (list).--Chace, 1942: 73 (key).--Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 138 (key); 1971: 4 (key). Munidopsis squamosa: Doflein and Balss, 1913: 173 (list), 178 (table).

Material examined.--Arrowsmith Bank (Yucatan Channel): G-898, 339-366m, $1\}, 5.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32:5303.--S of Dominican Republic: P-1396, $390-395 \mathrm{~m}$, 10 , 4.2 mm , (USNM).

Diagnosis.--Rostrum small, triangular, horizontal; inflated gastric region of carapace with pairs of tuberosities arranged symmetrically; frontal margin with 2 pairs of granulate projections lateral to rostrum between eyes, but no post-antennal spine; anterolateral angle and lateral margins granulate, but without sharp spines; posterior margin armed with granulate tuberosities abdomen unarmed; eyes immovable, fused to carapace, mesial surface with large granulate projection; epipods on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad (cw/cl =: 0.85-0.90), heavily sculptured; gastric region greatly inflated, defined posteriorly by narrow distinct cervical groove, and lateraly by smooth depression at bifurcation of groove and depression between gastric and hepatic regions; metagastric region practically non-existent centrally, consisting prima-


Figure 55. --Munidopsis squamosa (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), ct, cl. 4.2 $\mathrm{nm}, \mathrm{P}-1396$, dorsal view.


Figure 56. --Munidopsis squamosa (A. Milne Edwards, 1880), ơ, c1. 4.2 $\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{P}-1396$ : $\underline{a}$, carapace and abdomen, lateral view, setae omitted; $\underline{b}$, endopod of right third maxilliped; $\subseteq$, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson. Scales in mm.
rily of narrow mesial extensions of mesobranchial regions between cervical and postcervical grooves; latter groove extending across central half of carapace with smooth depression midway between midline and lateral margins, then curving forward and back to lateral margin. Center of gastric region with 4 pairs of squamose granulate tuberosities projecting forward, arranged in longitudinal row, anterior pair largest, spaced slightly wider, second pair smaller than others; approximately 5 similar large tuberosities arranged symmetrically on each side of gastric region and several smaller granulate tubercles; cardiac region with 2 pairs of tuberosities, anterior pair projecting, larger; 2 or 3 large tuberosities lateral to cardiac region and several more on each metabranchial region. Rostrum short, triangular, less than $1 / 5$ carapace length, nearly horizontal, lateral margins minutely denticulate, rounded dorsal carina smooth distally, extending posteriorly as ridge armed with denticles at point even with tips of eyespines, armature increasing in size posteriorly becoming distinct granulate projections on anterior part of gastric swelling and between first pair of gastric tuberosities; surface on either side of midline between eyes slightly depressed, armed with small granulate tubercles. Frontal margin between eyes fused to biolobed granulate projection medial and slightly ventral to, but continuous with eye projection. interolateral angle and lateral margins granulate but not armed with spines. Posterior margin raised, with granulate tubercles on rim. Few short obscure setae scattered over surface of carapace. Forward edge of branchiostegite terminating in sharp triangular spine.

Second, third and fourth abdominal tergites witn rounded transverse こarina; carina with transverse groove distinct acress central half, groove less conspicuous on fourth segment; fifth and sixth tergites
smoother, punctate; pleuron of second segment with granulate sculpturing; third pleuron also sculptured to some extent.

Sternite between bases of chelipeds with anterior margin slightly projected, granulate; similar sculpturing in center of sternite; intersegmental grooves distinct.

Eyestalks immovably fused to frontal margin of carapace; dorsomesial surface of cornea overgrown with large blunt granulate projection reaching approximately $1 / 2$ length of rostrum; small granulate ventrolateral projection from frontal margin of carapace.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle inflated laterally with dorsal margin raised to form a small spinulate crest projecting forward and slightly laterally to slender spines; spine beneath this broader, longer ventromesial projection triangular. Antipenultimate segment of peduncle when extended reaching tip of rostrum; last segment and flagellum reaching beyond rostrum.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle with sharp conical lateral spine and ventromesial projection. Second segment movable with long blunt lateral projection and small mesial granulation. Third segment with long blunt dorsolateral projection and shorter ventrolateral tooth. Distal segment reduced, with small dorsolateral tooth. Antennal flagellum less than $1 / 2$ times carapace length, not reaching beyond tip of cheliped. Carpus of endopod of third maxilliped with small conical tooth near distal end on extensor margin. Merus with 2 sharp teeth on flexor margin and 2 small sharp spines distally on flexor and extensor margins, lateral surface granulate; dorsal angle at distal margin of ischium sharp, ventral angle projected into short spine; mesial margin dentate.

Epipods on chelipeds and first 2 pairs of ambulatory legs.

Chelipeds $1 / 2$ to 2 times length of carapace. Dactylus less than 1/2 length of manus. Fingers straight or slightly angled laterally, toothed opposing margins abutting dorsally along entire length; smooth distally, but dorsal surface granulate proximally. Palm slightly inflated, broader than width of finger but width less than $1 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ length Of chela; dorsal surface squamose; 2 to 4 large granulate projections or tuverosities along slightly expanded dorsomesial margin; sculpturing obscure ventrally; setae arranged sparsely alung lateral and mesial margins, more setae on fingers. Carpus approximately $1 / 3$ length of chela; distal margin with 4 blunt granulate projections: 1 dorsomesial, 1 dorsolateral, 1 lateral and 1 ventrolateral; dorsal surface with approximately ${ }^{\prime}$ large tuberosities; 1 large sharp curved spine on mesial margin; ventral sur. face obscurely tuberculate. Merus shorter than chela; 4 blunt curved projections near distal margin: lateral and ventromesial projections long, dorsal and mesial ones shorter; dorsal surface with 4 or 5 large projections in longitudinal row decreasing in size proximally, several smaller tubercles, and many granules; mesial margin with 2 large curved spines and smaller spine proximally or between latter; 2 large curved spines on ventromesial margin; ventral surface with less distinct tubercles: 3 to 5 more prominent tubercles on ventrolateral surface. Ischium with small blunt dorsal spine.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Daccylus straight with sharp curved corneous tip; 8 to 10 corneous spinules projecting anterlorly fium tubercles on flexur margin, orherwisa dactylus unarmed. Prupodus slightly longer than dactylus, segment expanded distally, rotating plane of flexure so dactylus flexes more medially; propodus slenderer in middle: distal ventral margin with 2 small movable spines emerging from
pair of lobes; slighty compressed laterally with, smooth longitudinal depression on lateral surface; above this on dorsal margin and below on ventrolateral surface an irregular row of tubercles and projections, more prominent proximally; another row of granules mesial to dorsal row distally, becoming prominent projections merging with dorsal row proximally; mesial surface smooth, with obscure tubercles. Carpus with 2 prominent blunt projections on dorsal margin: 1 distal and 1 proximal with 1 smaller blunt spine between; dorsolateral surface with low irregular row of granulate projections. Merus of second pereiopod longest, that of fourth shortest, all expanded distally with prominent dorsal and lateral projections separated by rounded articular knob; dorsal margin expanded, tuberculate behind distal projection, tubercles forming prominent crest on second pereiopod proximally, forming 2 or 3 tuberosities on third pereiopod, and l large projection on fourth pereiopod; lateral surface granulate, fourth pereiopod with additional large tuberosity; ventrolateral margin with irregular row of 5 or 6 tuberosities on second pereiopod, 4 or 5 on third pereiopod, and 3 or 4 on fourth pereiopod: ventral surface smoother, slightly indented; ventromesial margin with row of lew granules; dorsomesial surface slightly indented, granulate, granules more prominent on third and fourth pereiopods. Ischium granulate but without spines.

Merus of fifth pereiopods expanded; exposed surface with granules and protuberances.

Uropod with posterolateral margin of protopod in 3 lobes, posterior Whe with denticles and small notch. Lateral margin of exopod and posterior margin of endopod with denticles at bases of rarginal sctae. Exp.sed surface of endupod with flattened granulate squamde, 5 or 6 stort
movable calcified setae on posterior half.
Telson smooth or obscurely punctate, consisting of 8 distinct plates.

Color.--The specimens examined has been preserved in alcolol for some time and are devoid of any pigment. There are nu records of the color of this species in the literature.

Size. $-\mathrm{o}^{\circ}$, cl. 4.2 mm , and

$$
\xi, c 1.5 .1 \mathrm{~mm} .
$$

The male and female collected by the BLAKE are approximately the same size as the male described here. Ovigerous females have not been reported thus far.

Sexual dimorphism.--The only sexually dimorphic character apparent in the material examined is the fringe of thick setae on the lateral margins of the telson of the male; this dense fringe is typically absent in the female.

Both specimens have the fingers of the cheliped abutting along the entire dorsal margins.

Habitat.--The bottom type or characteristics were not reported for either of the 2 stations at which $\underline{M}$. squamosa was collected.

Types.--The holotype is a male, with cl. approximately 4 mm , MCZ 4756. The female paratype is also housed at the MCZ, No. 9784.

Type locality.--Martinique, BLAKE Sta. $210,350 \mathrm{~m}$.

Geographic range.- Munidopsis squamosa has been collected from only: locations scattered around the Caribbean Sea. In addition to the type
locality and the new locations listed here, $\underline{M}$. squamosa has been collected off St. Lucia (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1897:100).

Bathymetric range.--The possible depth range for material in this collection is $339-395 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range is $366-390 \mathrm{~m}$. The depths recorded previously were approximately $212-350 \mathrm{~m}$, bringing the calculated range to $212-390 \mathrm{~m}$.

Parasites. -- The material examined shows no external evidence of branchial or abdominal parasites. The illustration of the male holotype (A. Miine Edwards and Bouvier, 1897: pl. VIII, fig. 4) shows a swelling of the carapace indicating the presence of a bopyrid isopod. This parasite was described as Parapleurocryptella 1 lasmonoti Bourdon, 1972.

Associates.--Munidopsis platirostris was collected in the same sample with M. squamosa south of the Dominican Republic. Although no other galatheids were taken with $\underline{M}$. squamosa in the sample from Arrowsmith Bank, it is interesting that $\mathbb{M}$. platirostris was the only Munidofsis collected from Arrowsmith Bank by the GERDA.

Relationships.--Munidopsis squamosa is very closely related to M. barbarae (Boone) from the Gulf of Mexico and the Bahamas. Both have epipods on the rirst 3 pairs of pereiopuds, complex, very similar ornamentation on the eyes and similar arrangement of the processes on the carapace. The nature of the sculpturing is different in the two, however: M. squamosa has large granulate tuberosities arranged over the sculptured dorsal surface, whereas in $\underline{M}$. barbarae these projections are spinous on a relatively smooth surface; also, $\underline{M}$. squamosa lacks the sharp postantennal spine present on the frontal margin of M . barbarae. M. Suamosa
has the gastric and other regions of the carapace more inflated. In M. barbarae, the pereiopods lack the tuberosities found in $M$. squamosa. The specimen of $M$. barbarae examined is incomplete, the chelipeds and most pereiopods are missing so it has been difficult to compare these two adequately. It is possible that $M$. barbarae is only a subspecies of $M$. squamosa , but until more material of these apparently rare little species is available, both names will be retained.
M. granulens, also from the western Atlantic (Arrowsmith Bank), is somewhat similar to M . squamosa, but the former has only $l$ pair of gastric protuberances on the carapace, an overgrowth on the cornea rather than a mesial projection, evenly granulose carapace and sculptured abdomen, long granulose chelipeds and lacks epipods on the second pair of ambulatory legs.
A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier (1897: 101-102) mentiuned an affinity between Elasmonotus squamosus and Orophorhynchus marioni from the eastern Atlantic; the latter also has epipods on the first 3 pairs of pereiopods, a general quadrangular carapace with the eyes fused to the frontal margin, and the abdomen smooth. However, the rostrum and frontal nargin of the carapace are quite different in $\underline{M}$. marioni, the chelipeds are much shorter and spination on the pereiopods is different; there are many other characteristics as well which allow easy separation of the 2 species. A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier contended that the two species are very distant which necessitates their placement in different genera, but that they both have incontestable affinities with Munidopsis and in fact represent the most primitive furms of the two genera Elasmonotus and Orophorhynchus which have not yet achieved the definitive degree of differentiation of the two genera. It should be pointed out here that
considerations such as these have led various workers (Benedict, 1902; Chace, 1942) to combine these genera with Munidopsis until a scheme can be divised for separating the species into more consistent generic or subgeneric groupings.

Munidopsis subspinoculata Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971
Figure 57

Munidopsis spinoculata: Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 158 (part).
Munidopsis subspinoculata Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 6 (key), 13-15, figs. 7a, 7b, 8.

Material examined.--S of Jamaica: P-1225, 457-558 m, $1 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, 8.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, Unal 32:5302.

Diagnosis.--Rostrum narrowly triangular, spine-like, horizontal, lateral margins subparallel at base, tapering distally; gastric region of carapace unarmed, with regular transverse striae across dorsal midline: frontal margir with post-antennal lobe, but no distinct spine; anterolateral spine small but distinct; posterior margin of carapace and abdominal segments unarmed; cornea with central spine $1 / 2$ of less than 1/2 diameter of cornea; no epipods on pereiopods; sternum armed with 1 pair of slender spines between chelipeds.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad (cw/cl $=0.80-0.85$ ), transversely convex; gastric region with 5 well-separated transverse striae, each with setae reaching $1 / 2$ distance to preceding stria; anterior striation interrupted behind rostrum, others with lateral interruptions; striae distinct behind cervical and postcervical grooves; lateral striations shorter, distinct, but discontinuous. Rostrum $2 / 5$ to $1 / 2$ carapace length, width at base $1 / 4$ to $1 / 6$ length of rostrum, horizontal; blunt longitudinal carina minutely dentate dorsally; lateral margins of rostrum subparallel at base, gradually tapering distally. Frontal margin of carapace between rostrum and antenna fused to eye; margin forming small


Figure 57. --Munidopsis subspinoculata Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971, ơ, cl. $8.7 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-1225$ : $\mathfrak{a}$, carapace and abdomen, lateral view, setae omitted; $\underline{b}$, anterior sternites and coxae of chelipeds; $\underline{c}$, posterior abdominal tergites, uropods and telson; d, basal segment of antennule, ventrolateral view; $\underline{e}$, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view; $\underline{f}$, dorsal view. Scales in mm.
post-antennal denticulate lobe (but bearing no large spine or tooth in PILLSBURY specimen) ; anterolateral angle with sharp tooth. Lateral margin with tooth just posterior to termination of anterior branch of cervical groove. Posterior margin raised, sculptured with obscure short transverse striae, but otherwise unarmed.

Abdomen unarmed; second, third and fourth segments with 2 transverse carinae: anterior carina sharper, extending laterally almost to pleuronal margins; posterior carina rounded, extending across tergite only. Fifth segment with swelling in same position and with similar shape as posterior carina on preceding segments, but with no anterior ridge; groove delimiting anterior margin of swelling obscure in center. Sixth segment with short setae in row extending from near center of tergite obliquely toward posterolateral margin, followed by shallow depression; similar but obscure rows of setae on anterior part of tergite.

Sternum armed with 1 pair of small sharp spines between coxae of chelipeds.

Eyes colorless; 1 small spine projecting anteriorly from point slightly lateral to center of cornea distally; length of eyespine less than $1 / 2$ diameter of cornea; 1 minute spine on ventromesial surface of cornea projecting from distal margin of eyestalk. Dorsal surface of cornea with obscure band of calcification extending from base of eyestall to distal spine, decorated with several short setae.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle broader proximally with laterai swelling; 2 dorsolateral spines: small dorsal spine just above large distal spine; 1 or 2 jenticles on distomesial margin.

Basal segment of antenna with ventromesial spine and lateral denticulate tooth (no spine) distally. Distal margin of second segment denti-
culate dorsally, with small lateral tooth. Distal margin of third segment denticulate, but otherwise unarmed. Distal margin of fourth segment with dorsolateral prolongation terminating in 1 denticle; distomesial margin of segment denticulate. Flagellum more than twice total carapace length.

Endopod of merus of third maxilliped with approximately 5 small teeth on ventromesial margin, decreasing in size distally; 1 minute tooth on distolateral margin. Ischium with blumt ventral carina terminating in 1 small tooth distally.

No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.
Chelipeds lightly sculptured, setae of various lengths scattered over surface of segments, longer on manus, restricted to transverse striae on proximal segments. Manus dorsoventrally compressed; dactylus less than $1 / 2$ length of propodus. Propodus with single row of anteriorlyprojecting teeth on distolateral margin; tips of fingers sponed, dentate, opposing margins abutting on dorsal surface, gaped ventrally; righr manus with abutting margins straight, left manus with margins sinusoid; dactylus with projection toward fixed finger. Carpus approximately $2 / 5$ length of manus; distal margin with mesial spine, denticulate dorsally; denticle on ventral projection at propodal articulation. Merus not extending beyond rostrum, subtriangular; dorsal margin rounded, with setae on transverse striae; distal margin with large tooth at ventrolateral angle and ventromesial angle; 1 or 2 denticles dorsally, smooth rounded lobe just abuve ventrolateral tooth. Ischium with distodorsal tooch; ventrcinesial prolongation with denticle on mesial margin.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Second pereiopud reaching beyond distal margin of cheliped; third and fourth pereiopods
reaching distal margin of propodus of preceding pereiopod. Tip of dactylus light brown, followed on flexor margín by series of 9 to 12 denticles, decreasing in size proximally; 1 slender corneous spinule projecting from distal edge of each denticle. Distal flexor margin of propodus with 2 movable spines separated by 2 small denticulate lobes; dorsal, lateral and mesial surfaces of propodus flattened or very slightly concave; angles between these surfaces distinct as ridges, dorsomesial ridge sharper; both ridges slightly scabrous; ventral surface rounded. Carpus less than $1 / 2$ length of propodus; angle between dorsal and mesial surfaces acute, armed with small irregular tooth, almost obscure, decreasing in size proximally; distal margin of segment denticulate between 2 spines: 1 at end of each dorsal angle, mesial spine larger; ventrolateral lobe with denticulate distal margin. Merus with ridge on expanded flexor margin terminating distally in sharp triangalar tooth; similar tooth below this beneath articular lobe; lateral surface dorsally oriented in fourth pereiopod, and to lesser degree in third pereinpod; these surfaces with striae and associated setae. Ischium unarmed.

Fifth pereiopods with exposed lateral suriace ur merus lightly sculptured.

Protopod of urofud with posterolateral margin in 3 lobes; posterior lobe with 2 short rows of setae on dorsal surface; nargin posterior to this notched with denticles lateral to notch, and spine mesial ro notch. Excpod and endopod with granular denticles on lateral and posterior margins; similar denticles or small articulated spinules on surfacc of exopod; spinules on endopod slightly larger.

Telson consistin; uf 8 plates; anterolateral and lateral plares with several rows of setae near fissure between thea. Postericr margin
indented medially.

Color. - The specimen examined is preserved in alcohol and is devoid of any color except for brown corneous tips on the dactylus of the ambulatory legs and certain thicker golden setae on the mouthparts, appendages and body surfaces.

Size. --The male examined has the carapace 8.7 mm long. Size ranges reported previously are: $\sigma^{\prime \prime}, c 1.10-11 \mathrm{~mm}$, and ovigerous $\ddagger, \mathrm{cl} .10 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Sexual dimorphism. --The male specimen examined shows moderate development of the "comb" of golden setae on the lateral maryins of the telson. The chelipeds are not broadly gaped.

Habitat. --Bottom type was not recorded in the station data for $\mathrm{P}-1225$.

Types. --The holotype is a male with cl. 11 mm , USNM 138233; the paratype is also a male, cl. 10 mm , USNM 138235. An ovigerous female with cl. 10 mm is the allotype, USNM 138234.

Type locality.--Caribbean Sea off Colombia, ALAMINOS Sta. 70A 10-31, 732 m.

Geographic rante. --Munidopsis subspinoculata is known from widely separated locations in the western Atlantic. Apart from the new location south of Jamaica presented here, and the type locality, this species is reported in the literature as occurring in the southwest Gulf of Mexico (Peque, nat and Pequegnat, 1971: 15).

Bath:metric range. --possible depth range of $M$. subspinoculata based on
all 4 records is $457-823 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range is $558-777 \mathrm{~m}$. Possible range previously reforted was $732-823 \mathrm{~m}$; calculated range was $732-777 \mathrm{~m}$. Thus the new record is the shallowest reported, and extends the bathymetric range almost 200 m .

Parasites. --The specimen examined shows no external eviJence of branchial or abdominal parasties. No mention was made of parasites in reports of the OREGON and ALAMCNOS material.

Associates. --Munidopsis alaminos and M. spinifer also were taken with M. Subspinoculata at the single station where the latter species was collected.

Kelationships.- Munidopsis subspinoculata appears to be most closely related to M. spinoculata (A. Milne Edwards) from the western Atlantic, and to a lesser degree to M . ramahtaylorae Pequegnat and Pequegnat. N. subspinoculata shares, with both of these, all the characters used in the keys to species of MuniJopsis by Benedict (1902), Chace (1942) and Pefuegnat and Pequegnat (1970). See table 1, p. 344, for comparison of these related species. M. subspinoculata differs from M. spinoculata in having the carapace with continuous striations across the dorsal midline of che - astric reion, the lateral margins of the rostrum subparallel proximally and slishtly convex distally, the eyespine less than $1 / 2$ the diameter of the curnea, the coxae of the chelipeds unarmed, only 2 spines on the sternum between the chelipeds, and the fifth abdominal tergite sculptured; ․ Spinoculata, by contrast, has the carapace with no continuous striations across the dorsal midline of the gastric resion, the rostrum with straight lateral marsins tapering directly from base to apex, the
eyespine almost as lons as the diameter of the cornea, the coxa of the cheliped with a sharp spine, 4 spines on the sternum between the chelipeds, and the fourth and fifth abdominal tergites smooth.

Munidopsis transtridens Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 7(key), 15-18, íg. 10.

Material examined.--Straits of Florida: G-859, 1162-1201m, l ovigerous Y, 11.5 mm , UMML 32:5304.-Off British Guiana: P-689, 1373-1446m, $110^{\circ}$, 10.2-13.8 mm, 11 ¢, $9.5-14.7 \mathrm{~mm}, 3$ ovigerou; $\circ, 10.8-13.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, UMML 32 : 5305.

Diagnosis.--Tridencate rostrum; horizontal in most small specimens, slishty recurved in iarger ones; gastric rejion of carapace with 1 pair of spinules; frontal marjin of carapace with slender post-antennal spine; poscerior marsin of carapace and abdominal seyments unarmed; no eyespines; no epipodis on chelipeds or ambulatory lejs; chelipeds usually more than 3 times carapace length in females, more than $21 / 2$ times carapace len,th in males; smaller females and males with chelipeds shorter but much more slender (manus width $1 / 6$ manu len $\mathrm{l}_{3} \mathrm{Lh}$ ); merus of cheliped with 3 or 4 mesial spines proximally.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad $(c w / c l=0.75-0.80)$, transversely convex; dorsal surface fincly tuberculate, short setae associated with tubercles; gastric re_ion slightly inflated, armed anteriorly with 1 pair spinules. Cervical aroove distinct as shallow smooth depression across central third of carapace; posterior branch similar, anterior branch deíinins lateral mareins of bastric region less distinct; smoch area ancerior to postcervical groove not depressed; ardiac region aith beaied or minutely denciculate transverse ridge anceriorly; posterior


Figure 58. --Munidopsis transtridens Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971, $0^{\prime \prime}$, c1. $12.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{P}-689$, dorsal view.


Figure 59. - -Munidopsis transtridens Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971, $0^{\prime \prime}$, cl. $12.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \overline{\mathrm{P}-689:} \mathrm{a}$, lateral view of carapace and abdomen (setae omitted); b, posterior abdominal segments, uropods and telson; $c$, right antennule and basal segments of antenna, ventrolateral view; d, right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view.
boundary of cardiac reyion defined sharplyeby narrow groove, deepest medially, extending obliquely toward posterolateral margin from certer and obliquely forward to lateral termination of posterior branch of cervical groove; cardiac and metabranchial regions with tubercles arranged in transverse rows, rugose laterally; marginal oroove broader mesially, interrupted by several small medial tubercles. Rostrum broad at base, width approximately $1 / 3$ carapace width, horizontal proximally with moderate distal curve upward; lateral margins nearly straight to slightly convex; length of rostrum almost $3 / 5$ carapace length, terminating in 3 sharp teeth with medial tooth much longer, lateral margins minutely serrate; distinct longitudinal carina most distinct distally, extending to anterior gastric region. Frontal margin straight and transverse between base of rostrum and sharp post-antennal spine, sloping at $45^{\circ}$ angle or slightly concave to anterolateral spine. Anterolateral spine followed on lateral margin by 2 evenly-spaced similar spines and 1 larger curved spine behind lateral termination of postarior branch of cervical groove; lateral margin convex between this and posterior margin. Posterior margin unarmed except for beaded or minutely denticulate raised rim; rim broader mesially, with irregular sculpturing of tubercles and rugae and slight medial indentation.

First abdominal tergite smooch. Second sement with sharp transverse carina across tergite, broadening laterally and extending to middle of pleuron; less prominent carina behind this extending across tergite only. Third and fourth tergites with rounded anterior carina and less prominent transverse swelling posterior to chis, posterior swelling quite ubscure on fourch segment, location marked by setae; leading faces of transverse carinae decorated with several serae, longer laterally,
particularly on third to fifth tergites. Fifth tergite with several long setae arranged in irregular transverse rows, but no carinae. Sixth tergite relatively smooth with scattered punctae and setae.

Sternum with intersegmental ridges beaded and decorated with short, thick setae; plare concave anteriorly between chelipeds; distinct median longitudinal furrow between second to fourth pereiopods; plates behind ridges with irregular transverse rows of obscure tubercles and very short sparse setae.

Eyes movable, unarmed; cornea elongate; eyestalk with setae dorsally near base of cornea, and slight lateral expansion.

Slender sharp spine emerging from intersection of bases of eyestalk, antennule and antenna below frontal margin lateral to eye.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with lateral inflation, anterior edge of swelling with small tubercles and 2 large dorsolateral spines near distal margin: ventral spine longer, thicker; distal margin denticulate ventromesially and armed with small mesial tooth. Second and third segments short. Extended flagellum reaching just beyond apex of rostrum.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle broad, with blunt triangular lateral tooth and elongate ventromesial projection. Second segment with sharp conical lateral spine. Distal margin of chird segment serrate, with small dorsomesiad projection or mesial spine. Distal margin of fourth segment with denticulate dorsolateral projection. Antennal flabellum slightly longer than carapace length, reaching just beyond carpus of cheliped.

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped armed distally with small tooth on ventral flexor and extensor margins. Armarure of merus
variable, usually consisting of 2 large sharp teeth on flexor marbin, proximal tooth thickest, and small tooth near distal margin; extensor margin with small distal tooth and several small tubercles; lateral surface with several denticulate tubercles and assoiated setae. Carpus with several irregular tubercles on extensor margin, proximal one most prominent; lateral surface of carpus with obscure row of 3 or 4 short serae.

Pereiopods setose, setae arranged in small groups emerging from evenly-spaced minutely denticulate tubercles. No epipods on pereiopods.

Chelipeds 2 l/2 to slightly more than 3 times carapace length. Manus almost $1 / 2$ cheliped length, unarmed; width of manus approximately $1 / 0$ or less than $1 / 6$ manus length in females and small males, $1 / 3$ to $1 / 4$ manus length in large males. Fingers $1 / 2$ or slijhtly less than $1 / 2$ length of manus; fixed finger of large males arched to form gape proximally; opposing margins toothed; dactylus of males with several teeth expanded into gape; fingers abutting along entire lensth in females, abutting only distally in males; tips spooned; dorsal surface smooth; mesial and lateral margins of manus with irregular longitudinal rows of denticulate tubercles. Carpus less than $1 / 2$ length of manus; 3 small spines on distal margin: lateral spine; dorsolateral spine followed by 1 or 2 smaller spines on dorsal surface; dorsomesial spine followed occasionally by 1 nr 2 spines; mesial margin with lare e apine; spination on dorsal surface of carpus varlable; ventromesial spine of distal margin on articular knob. Merus with large spines near distal margin at each of 4 angles; smailer spine on distal margin beneach dorsal spine or knod; dorsal and mesial spination variable: dorsal row of 6 to 8 spines; 1 to 3 larger spines in dorsomesial row, and 2 to 4 large spines in
ventromesial row un proximal half, decreasing in size proximally. Ischium with sharp spine dorsally and ventromesially near distal termination; distolateral margin denticulate.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Second pereiopod reaching just beyond distal margin of carpus of cheliped. Dactylus slightly longer than $1 / 2$ le gth of propodus; only tip curved, corneous, followed on straight flexor margin by series of approximately 10 blunt triangular teeth, decreasing in size proxir. ${ }^{7}$ ly, armed on leading edge wirh long corneous spinule. Propodus with 2 movable spinules on distal ventral margin, and one approximately $1 / 3$ distance back on flexor surface; dorsal and lateral surface unarmed except for irregular longitudinal rows of denticulate tubercles and associated setae. Carpus with extensor margin expanded, armed distally with sharp spine, often followed on second and third pereiopods by row of 2 or 3 prominent spines and several smaller intermediate teeth, prominent spines becoming more obscure on third pereiopod, seldon present on fourth pereiopod; distal mar'ón with smaller spine lateral to dorsal ones, followed on dorsolateral margin with longitudinal denticulate ridge. Distal maigin of merus with slender dorsal and triangular ventrolateral spine; dorsal spine followed on flexor maryin by row of 5 to 8 spines on second pereiopod, variably decreasing in number on chird and fourth pereiopods; merus becoming proportionately shorter; lateral and vencral surfaces cuberculate and setuse, but not spinous except occasionally on fourth pereicpod. Ischium with short dorsal spine on second and third pereiopods.

Mcrus of fifth pereiopods expanded in distal half, especially near center of segment; lightly sculptured on exposed surface with several obscure teeth on ventral margin.

Protopod of uropod with posterolateral margin scalloped; posterior lobe serrate on either side of marginal notch and with 2 or 3 tubercles on surface above this. Margins and exposed surfaces of endopod and exopod spinulate; spinules arranged in small groups on surfaces.

Width of telson anteriorly same as length, narrower posteriorly; telson composed of 8 plates; lateral plates slightly concave, tuberculate, posterior margin with deep medial indentation.

Color.--The specimens examined are preserved in alcohol and are completely devoid of color except for golden color of thicker setae, particularly on appendages and on the telson of males. The eyes are unpigmented but translucent. The tips of the dactyli of ambulatory legs are pale brown.

Size. --ć, cl. 10.2-13.8 mm, ㅇ, c1. 9.5-13.7 mm, ovigerous $\uparrow$, cl. 10.8-13.5 mm.

The female type specimen is smaller than these examined, with cl. 8 man (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971: 17).

Sexual dimorphism. - The chela is much broader and gaped in the largest male (cl. 13.0 mm ), and there is a "comb" of thick golden setae on the lateral margins of the telson. A male with cl. 12.2 mm has the fingers abuttins without a proximal gape; however, a smaller male (c1. 10.6 mal$)$ has a distinct gape. Males also have the perelopods slightly more spinose than do the females, particularly on the mesial surface of the merus of the cheliped. The females have the manus much more slender, with the opposing margins of the fingers abutting along their entire length, and have very few fine marginal setae laterally on the telson.

Habitat. -- The bottom at G-859 in the southern Straits of Florida cunsisted of dead coral and pteropod shells; at $\mathrm{P}-689$ it consisted of hard brown mud covered with siliceous sponges and branching madreporarians.

Type. --The holotype is a female, cl. 8 mm , USNM 138236.

Type locality.--Southeast Gulf of Mexico, OREGON Sta. 4566, 1280 m.

Geographic range. - - Munidopsis transtridens has been collected from only 2 locations in the southeast Gulf of Mexico (The location reported herein is southern Straits of Florida) and off British Guiana in the western Atlantic. Although it has been collected infrequently, it was abunciant (25 specimens) at the PILLSBURY station off British Cuiana.

Bathymetric range,--Possible depth range for the material examined is 11c2-1446 m; calculated range is 1201-1373m. The previously reported depth fails within this range.

Parasites. --There are no external evidences of branchial or abdominal parasites in any of the material examined. Several specimens irom the PILLSBURY station have small foraminiferans and hydruids attached to various body surfaces.

Associates.--Munidopsis simplex was also collected ar both stations where $M$. transtridens was taken, but no conclusions are drawn from this due to the abundant distribution of the former species.

Relationships. - Munidopsis transtridens is one of the species in the complex of closely related species including $\mathbb{M}$. Serricornis and M. tridens. There is some question whecher 쏘 transtridens is distinct irom
M. tridens, and even whether the latter is distinct from the variable and widespread $M$. serricornis (this problem will be dealt with in a subsequent report, since the GERDA and PILLSBURY collected no specimens which could be assigned to M. tridens).

The original description of Munidopsis transtridens Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971, was based on a small female specimen. A number of di.fferences between this specimen and $M$. tridens were listed, but the sreater quantity of material in the sample from P-689 has shown that these differences are not consistent. The characters used to separate M. transtridens from $M$. tridens were (1) the convex lateral margins of the rostrum, (2) the less recurved rostrum, (3) the less pigmented eyes, ( $-r$ ) the more slender (less robust) and shorter chelipeds (2 times carapace length compared to 2.6 times carapace length in $\mathbb{M}$. tridens), (5) the longer, more spinous merus of the cheliped (length equal to carapace length and with 3 to 4 internal spines compared to shorter than carapace length in $M$. tridens with only 1 mesial spine), (6) the serrate ventromesial ridge on the distal portion of the ischium of the cheliped (compared to an acute spine in this location), (7) 2 lare spines and 2 or 3 denticles on the inner margin of the merus of the chird maxilliped compared to 2 spines and a denticle or 2 fused spines and a denticle, and (8) the ereater depth of 1280 m (compared to $380-47 \mathrm{~m}$ ) of M . transtridens. A male specimen of $M$. tridens collected by the OREGON from Surinam in 3 É $m$ is available for comparison; it exhibits all the characters implied for M - tridens in the above listing except that the cheliped is less than $21 / 2$ times the carapace length and bears a row of 2 large spines and a smaller spine proximally on the ventronesial angle of the merus.

Females collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY do not have all the characters attributed to either species: the rostril margins vary from nearly straight but not parallel to convex as shown in the figure of the type of N. transtridens (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1971:.fig. 10), and from horizontal to slightly but distinctly recurved. The chelipeds are lon, and slender, ranging from $21 / 2$ to 3 times carapace length with the width of the manus approximately $1 / 6$ length. The merus of the cheliped is slightly longer than the carapace length and there are 3 or 4 large sharp spines in a row on the ventromesial angle proximally. The ischium has a ventromesial serrate ridge distally, but also has a sharp spine in that position. The merus of the third maxilliped has 2 large spines proximally on the ventromesial margin, but the distal armature varies from a much smaller spine to a denticle or a spinule and several denticles.

The males from the PILLSBURY sample display a similar conglomeration of the characters previously assigned to one or the other species. The rostral margins are slightly convex on most specimens, but on some they are nearly straight and almost parallel. All of che larger specimens show remarkable sexual dimorphism, which is described in the appropriate section. The merus of the cheliped is broader and shorter proportional tu the length of the whole appendage; it is approximately the same length as the carapace and usually has a row of 3 , occasionally 2 or 4 , large teeth un the ventromesial margin proximally. Two of the smaller males, with cl .12 .2 and 10.5 mm , have the chelfpeds like these of the remale specimens--lung, slender and without the gaping fingers of the male-but the merus is shorter than the carapace length.

The characters of the female described as M. transtridens fall
within the range exhibited by the specimens from 1 PILLSBURY station. There is a possibility that the morphological differences between N . tridens and $M$. transtridens are due to depth, but until more material from shallower water is available, the material from deeper water will be assigned to $M$. transtridens. The most consistent morphological features separating the two species appear to be the longer, more spinous chelipeds of $M$. transtridens, which are more slender in the females and small males than those of M. tridens.

Pequegnat and Pequegnat (1971: 17) point out a similarity between M. transtridens and M. mina Benedict from the Pacific, but they indicate that the latter differs in having straight lateral margins on the rostrum with the dorsal carina less prominent; the merus of the chelired is shorter with fewer internal spines, and the anterior margin of the carpus of the cheliped has a ventwexternal spine which is lacking in transtridens. It is difficult to determine more from the original description and illustration of M. mina, but there is a possibility that this species will prove to be indistinct from $\mathbb{M}$. transtridens, or that both will be relegated to subspecific rank.

Munidopsis crinita Faxon from the eastern Pacific is also a ciose relative of M. trangtridens, and shares the same gastric spination, althou, h the illustration of the former species shows the spines slightly larger; in addition, M. crinita lacks prominent spines on the meri of the abulatory leess, and has the rostrum shorter than that of any of the :estern Atlantic species with tridentate rostra.
3. transtridens is distinguished from other members of the Galachodes sroup in the western Atlantic (M. acuminata, M. 륻́rons and M. serricornis) by the presence of the gastric spines on the carapace.

## Munidopsis serricornis（Lovén，1852）

Figures 61－66

Galathec serricornis Lovén，1852：22－23．
Galathea tridentata Esmark，1857：239\％．－Sars，M．1868：19\％．－Sars，G．0．， 1872：256，283＊。

Galathodes rosaceus A．Milne Edwards，1881：932；1882：40；1883：p1．15， figs．1－ld．

Galathodes tridentata：Go⿺廴⿻コ一（ 1863：．－－Sars，1883：43，pl．1，fig．3＊； 1890：162，pl． 4 （G．tridentatus）．－－A．Milne Edwards and Bouvier， 189；： 279 （key），fig．32，231，233，219，320，324， 325 （table）， 326. －－Norman，1894：155，159．－－Caullery，1896：390．－－A．Milne Edwards and Bouvier，1899：83－85；1900：331－333，pl．31，figs．5－7．－－Apellof， 1906＊。

Munidopsis tridentata：Ortmann，1892，256＊．－－Benedict，1902： 276 （key）， 328 （list）．－－Doflein and Balss，1913：175， 176 （lists）， 177 （table）． －－Chace，1942：74（key），88－89．－－Zariquiey Alvarez，1968： 268 （key）， 269，fig．95a．－－Pequegnat and Pequegnat，1970： 139 （key），158－159， figs．5－1，5－14，table 5－2；1971：5（key）．－－Boschma，1962a：50－52； 1962b： 76 （as host of Cyphosaccus norvegicus）．－－Miayke and Baba， 1970： 95 （list）．－－Samuelsen，1972：91－96，figs．1， 2 （lar al stages）．

Munidopsis ？rosacea：Alcock and Anderson，1899： 19.
Munidupsis（Galathodes）？tridentata：Alcock，1901：250（key），264－265． Munidopsis bahamenimis Benedict，1902： 276 （key），278－279， 317 （118t）， fig．22．－－Doflein and Balss，1913： 175 （list）， 177 （rable）．－－Chace， 1942：74（key），89．－－Pequegnat and Pequegnat，1970：139（key）；1971： $j$（key）．

Munidopsis tenuirostris Benedict，1902： 276 （key），289， 328 （list），fig． 32．－－Doflein and Balss，1913： 176 （list）， 178 （table）．－－Chace，1942： 74 （key）．－－Pequegnat and Pequegnat，1970： 139 （key）；1971：5（key）． Munidopsis（Galathodes）tridentata：Doflein and Balss，1913：158．－－Sel－ bie，1914：81－84，pl．12，figs．1－5．－－Bouvier，1922：48．－－Laurie， 1926：139－140．－－Perez，1927： 287 （sexual dimorphism）．

Munidopsis（Galathodes）serricornis：Balss，1926： 29. Munidopsis serricornis：Christiansen，1972：46，fig．57．．

Material examined．－－GERDA and PILLSBURY collections．Straits of Florida： G－44，570－695 m， $1 c^{\circ}, 13.3 \mathrm{~mm}, 19,5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ with branchial parasite， 2 ovigerous $¢, 9.4,9.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; G-103,824 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{of}, 5.2-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 4$ ¢， $6.2-9.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ， 7.5 mu：wirh branchial parasite， 1 ovigerous $9,8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ with branchial parasite；G－130， $1021 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ovigerous $\uparrow$ ， $10.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{G}-295,833-842 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}$ ， 6．2－9．8 $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{G}-311,787-805 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{of}, 7.5-10.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 2$ ovigerous $\mathrm{f}, 7.2,7.6$ $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{G}-354,805-830 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{o}, 7.0-9.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3$ ovigerous $q, 7.6-9.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 申$, 4．9－9．0 mm，－－Bahamas，NW Providence Channel，G－190， $733-897 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ ©， 10.0 mm．－－Off British Guiana：P－689， $1373-1446 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{c}, 12.8 \mathrm{~mm} .-$ Caribban Sea，S of Jamaica：P－1262， $805-1089 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, 4.7-5.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ with branchial parasite， 2 ， $4.0,6.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 2$ ovigerous $甲, 8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ with bran－ chial parasite，9．7．mm．

ALBATRCBS，ATLANIS and TALISMAN Collections，material previonsly reported．North Coast of Cuba：ATLANTIS Sta．2995，677－1107m， $2 \sim$ ， 9．5 mm，other damaged， $29,8.3 \mathrm{~mm}, 8.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ with branchial parasice， 1 ovigerous $9,10.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ；ATL．$-3472,933 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{q}, 6.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ；ATL．$-3474,897 \mathrm{~m}$ ， $1 \mathrm{c}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ；MCZ 11762－64（reported by Chace，1942：89）．－－E of south－ eastern LSA：ATL．$-3780,458-485 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 16.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 母, 14.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ with ab－
dominal parasite; ATL. $-3781,485-531 \mathrm{~m}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{f}, 5.0-9.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ ovigerous $?$ 9.5 mm ; MCZ 11734-35 (reported by Chace, 1942: 89 as M. bahamensis)..ALBATROSS Sta. $2669,458-530 \mathrm{~m}, 30^{\circ}, 12.1-18.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ (largest is holotype of M. bahamensis Benedict, 1902), $3^{\circ}$ ovigerous $\circ, 13.6-16.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, USNM 20555; ALB. $-2415,805 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{o}^{\prime \prime}, 11.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ (holotype of M . tenuirostris Benedict, 1902), laigerous $9,9.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, USNM 20560.--Cff Cape Bojador, W Africa: TALISMAN Sta. $70,698 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ}, 8.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{MCZ} 6609$ (reported by A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900: 331). See distribution plot 22.

Diagnosis.--Tridentate rostrum, not sharply upturned; gastric region of carapace and abdominal segments without spines; frontal margin with sharp post-antennal spine; no eyespines; no epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs; sides of carapace roughly parallel.

Description.--Carapace longer than broad (cw/cl = approximately 0.75-0.80), transversely convex, slightly convex longitudinally. Gastric region slightly inflated, unarmed. Small specimens with an irregular transuerse row of granules or indistinct tubercles on each side of anterior eastric recion. Cervical groove distinct centrally between meso- and metagastric regions; anterior branch shallow, forming depression around gastric region; posterior branch more distinct, continuous to lateral margias. Postcervical groove distinct as depression across central third of carapace between metagastric and cardiac regions. Posterior to this and on metabranchical regions, surface with widely-spaced interrupted rugae, conspicuous near lateral margins. Smooth area across carapace anterior co raised posterior margin; transverse striation across center of marginal rim. Dorsal surface moderately setose. Rostrum broad at base, lateral margins straight or slightly convex, parallel or slightly conver§ing,


Distribution plot 22.--Munidopsis serricornis (Loven, 1852) collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY.


Figure 61. --Munidopsis serricornis (Lovén, 1852), variation in form
 8.5 mm ; d, ó, cl. 7.5 mm ; e, ovigerous $\%$, cl. 7.8 mm . f, g, ALBATROSS Sta. $241 \overline{5}$ (type material of M . tenuirostris Benedict): $\underline{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{on}, \mathrm{cl} .11 .0 \mathrm{~mm}$; g , ovigerous $\uparrow, \mathrm{cl} .9.3 \mathrm{~mm} . \underline{h}, \underline{i}, G-44: \underline{h}$, ovigerous $q, \mathrm{cl} .9 .6 \mathrm{~mm}$; $\underline{i}$, ¢, cl. $5.0 \mathrm{~mm} . \underset{i}{ }, \underline{k}, G-103: \underset{i}{i}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathrm{cl} .9 .6 \mathrm{~mm}$, deformed, absence of postantennal spines) ; $\underline{k}, c 1.8 .2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (deformed tip of rostrum).


Figure 62. --Munidopsis serricornis (Lovén, 1852). of, cl. 11.0 mm , ALBATROSS Sta. 2415 (holotype of $M$. tenuirostris Benedict) : a, endopod of right third maxilliped, ventrolateral view; $\underline{b}$, right antennular pedunche, ventrolateral view; $\underset{c}{ }$, dorsal view, setae omitted; d, posterior abdominal tergites, uropod and telson. $\sigma^{\prime}, ~ c 1.8 .5 \mathrm{~mm}$, TALISMAN Sta. 70 (specimen from west coast of Africa identified by A. Milne Edwards) : e, right chellped; $\underline{f}$, endopod of right third maxilliped, setae omitted. Scales in mm.


Figure 63. --Munidopsis serricornis (Lovén, 1852), rostra and left
 ATLANTIS Sta. $3780: \underline{\mathrm{b}}, \underline{\mathrm{f}} . \mathrm{o}^{\circ}, \mathrm{cl} .13 .3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-44: \underline{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{g}$. Ovigerous $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{c} 1$. $9.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}-44: \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{h}$. Setae on chelipeds not shown; $\underline{\mathrm{e}}$ and g are quite setose.


Figure 64. --Munidopsis serricornis (Lovén, 185 2). of cl. 11.0 mm , ALBATROSS Sta. 2415 (holotype of $M$. tenuirostris Benedict): a-c, second to fourth pereiopods, lateral view. $\uparrow, c 1.9 .3 \mathrm{~mm}$, ALBATROSS Sta. 2415: d-f, second to fourth pereiopods, lateral view. Right chelipeds: g, G-
 Endopods of right thïrd maxillipeds: i, G-295, on, cl. 7.2 mm ; $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{G}-130$, ovigerous $\uparrow$, c1. $10.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; \underline{1}, \mathrm{P}-1262$, ovigerous $\uparrow$, cl.9.7 mm.



Figure 66. --Munidopsis serricornis (Lovén 1852), $\uparrow$, cl. 14.7 mm , ALBATROSS Sta. 2669 (from type series of $\mathbb{M}$. bahamensis Benedict), dorsal view, setae omitted, fourth pereiopods missing.
terminating in 3 distinct teeth, central tooth longer; rostrum nearly horizontal, with slight upturn distally; rounded carina extending from gastric region to termination of central tooth; several setae on lateral margins thicker and longer than most. Frontal margin straight or slightly convex between base of rostrum and sharp conical post-antemal spine; margin sloping more between frontal and anterolateral spine. Anterolateral spine followed on lateral margin by 3 sharp spines, posterior spine broader or larger, located posterior to lateral termination of ĉ̉ervical groove.

Abdominal segments unarmed; transverse ridge followed by shallow depression across second and third tergites; fourth tergite with ridge only; remaining segments relatively smooth.

Sternum unarmed; intersegmental ridges and depressions distinct.
Eyes small, frequently almost hidden beneath rostrum, movable, unarmed. Several setae projecting forward from base of cornea.

Sharp conical spine emergirg below fcontal margin between eyestalk and bases of antenna and antennule.

Basal segment of antennular peduncle with 2 long sharp spines on dorsal surface; distalmost spine thicker and sometimes longer; distal marきin serrate ventromesially.

Basal segment of antennal peduncle broad, with 2 terminal spines: 1 broad lateral tooth, 1 expanded ventromesial tooth. Second segment with sharp distolateral spine and very small ventromesial tooth. Parts of distal margin of third segment serrate with mesial denticle. Fourth segment with dorsolateral denticulate projection on distal margin, smaller lobe ventrolaterally; mesial margin slightly expanded, denticulate. Antennal flagellum approximately $1 / 2$ times carapace length.

Ischium of endopod of third maxilliped, triangular in cross section; mesial margin toothed; distal margin expanded into triangular tooth di each of 3 angles. Merus with small tooth dorsodistally; ventral margin usually with 2 large spines, proximal spine slightly broader; occasionally small rounded denticle distally on ventral margin.

Low, forward-projecting tubercles, minutely dentate, with setae, scattered evenly over most surfaces of pereiopods. No epipods on chelipeds or ambulatory legs.

Length-width ratio quite variable in cheliped; fingers shorter than manus; tips spooned, dentate; opposing margins of fingers ranging from widely gaped in large males to continuously abutting in females and small individuals; proximal expansion of mesial margin on dactylus of individuals with gape. Surface of chela unarmed, smooth except for sculpturing and low tubercles, particularly on slightly raised dorsomesial margin; usually slight longitudinal depression on man posterior to articulation of dactylus, more conspicuous on broader chelae. Carpus slightly more than $1 / 3$ length of manus; distal margin with large sharp mesial spine; elevation on either side of central depression terminating in small tooth; distal ventral margin serrate with small ventromesial tooth. Merus with large spine on distal margin at dorsal, mesial and ventromesial angles; lateral angle usually with rounded protuberance occasionally developed into tooth; usually 1 or 2 large spines posterior to mesial one, several sharp spines proximal to this on ventromesial angle, and several smaller spines along dorsal angle; spination variable.

Second, third and fourth pereiopods similar. Ratio of pereioped and cheliped length variable, but dactylus of second pereiopod seldom exceeding distal margin of carpus of cheliped. Dactylus approximately

1/2 length of propodus; unarmed except for longitudinal row of corneous spinules on flexor margin following curved tip. Lateral surface of propodus sculptured, slight ridge dorsally. Carpus approximately same length as dactylus; extensor margin expanded into toothed ridge with large sharp distal spine; longitudinal hollow and ridge lateral to this. Merus with sharp distodorsal spine followed by 4 to 8 spines on second and third pereiopods; 2 or 3 spines on fourth pereiopod, decreasing in size proximally; large ventral spine near distal margin; lateral surface evenly sculptured, mesial surface almost smooth. Ischium with dorsal protuberance distally, and serrate distolateral margin.

Merus of fifth pereiopods sculptured on lateral face and ventral margin.

Protopod of uropod with posterolateral margin scalloped; posterior lobe with serrations, sometimes obscure on both sides of small central notch. Exposed surfaces of endopod and exopod with setae and small group of movable spines, larger on endopod; lateral margins with small movable spines on exopod, small teeth on endopod.

Telson narrowed posteriorly, consisting of 8 distinct plates, posterior margin with medial indentation.

Color,--Specimens preserved in alcohcl are chalky white with pale corneous colored corneae. Eggs of a recently preserved specimen are orange. In the original description of the species, type material from Sweden is described as bright pink with white eyes. Bouvier, 1922, reported the animals to be cream wite, basing himself on a water color sketch made by M. Borrel, the artist of the Monaco Expeditions, of a freshly caprured specimen. No other published records of color in this species were $\begin{gathered}\text { ind }\end{gathered}$
in the literature.

Size.--で, cl. 4.7-13.3 mm, q. cl. 4.0-10.0 mm, and ovigerous $\uparrow, c 1.7 .2-10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The material examined extends the range for males to 18.1 mm and for ovicerous females to 16.3 mm .

Sexual dimorphism.--Chelipeds of large males usually have the fixed finger arched or the inner margin excavated, forming a gape; females usually have the fingers straight with no appreciable gape between opposing margins.

Males larger than 6.0 mm carapace length have the characteristic fringe of thick setae on the lateral margins of the telson; females and smaller males lack this fringe.

Habitat.--At locations where this species has been collected, the bottom has consisted variously of rock, coral or shell rubble, sand, mud or Globigerina ooze.

Type.--Deposition of the type material is not known.

Type locality.--"VyderUarne Bahusiae," Sweden, (among the V\#der Islands on the Bohuslan coas $\theta$, about 50 fm .

Geographic range.--Munidopsis serricornis has been reported from boch sides of the North Atlantic Ocean, from the Gulf of Mexico, the Caribbean Sea, and from several locations in the northern Indian Ocean. In the literatura it has been recorded from the following localities: eastern Atlantic: Norway (Norman, 1894: 155; Esmark, 1857: 239; Sars:

1868: 19; Boschma, 1962a: 50, 1962b: 76; W of Ireland (Selbie, 1914: 8384) ; Bay of Biscay (Caullery, 1896: 390; A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900: 332); Azores (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1899: 85; 1900: 332; Bouvier, 1922: 48) ; NN and W of Spain (Caullery, 1896: 390; A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900: 331); Canary Islands (Bouvier, 1922: 48); NW and W of Africa (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900 331); Cape Verde Islands (A. Milne Edwards and Bouvier, 1900: 331).--Western Atlantic: N of Cuba (Chace, 1942: 89); E of southeastern USA as M. bahamensis and M. tenuirostris (Benedict, 1902: 278, 289; Chace, 1942: 89).--Gulf of Mexico (Pequegnat and Pequegnat, 1970: 159).--Indian Ocean: E of Africa (Doflein and Balss, 1913: 158); Bay of Bengal (Doflein and Balss, 1913: 158, Alcock, 1901: 265); W of Sumatra (Doflein and Balss, 1913: 158); Arabian Sea (Alcock, 1901: 265); Maldive Atoll (Alcock, 1901: 265); Archipelago of the Seychelles, Saya de Malha (Laurie, 1926: 139).

Bathymetric range.-- Possible depth range for material examined is 570 1446 m ; calculated range is $695-1373$. This species has been reported shallower ( $275 \mathrm{~m}, 200-10 \mathrm{~m}$ and 96 m ) off Norway and in the Azores, and deeper ( 1480 m ) in the Bay of Biscay.

Parasites.--Two records were found in the literature of parasitism in this species by rhizocephalans: Tortugaster fistulatus Reinhard, 1943 reported from Munidopsis bahamensis by Reinhard (1958), the host of which had been reported by Chace (1942); and Cyphosaccus norvegicus Boschma, parasitic on M. tridentata (= M. serricornis) (Boschma, i902). None of the material in our collection has abdominal parasites. The brar:hial parasites were all identified as bopyrid isopods of the genus Pseudione, possibly belonging to undescribed species.

Associates.--Munidopsis serricornis was found at 7 stations; M. latifrons was found with it at 4 of these locations, giving these 2 species a relatively high index of affinity, 0.43.

Relationships.--The 5 species of Munidopsis with tridentate rostra found in the western Atlantic appear to be very closely related judging by their similar morphology. M. serricornis can be distinguished from M. acuminata Benedist which has epipods on the chelipeds, shorter ambulatory legs with several distinct carpal spines, and 1 fairly blunt tooth on the merus of the third maxilliped near the distal end of the ventral margin. The gastric region of the carapace and the abdominal segments are unarmed in $M$. serricornis, while $\underline{M}$. tridens (A. Milne Edwards) and $M$. transtridens Pequegnat and Pequegnat have l pair of gastric spines and M. latifrons (A. Milne Edwards) has a pair of median spines and a pleuronal spine on the second abdominal segment.

The empasis by Benedict (1902) on the acuminate nature of the shelipeds seems unwarranted since the chellpeds are quite variable in this species complex, and certain specimens of $\underline{M}$. serricornis, M. rianscridens and M. latifrons display this feature.
M. modesta Benedict, M. mina Benedict and M. crinita Faxon fron the eastern Pacific, and to a lesser degree, M. Erifida Henderson from the western Pacific, are similar to M. Berricornis. The latter 3 species each have a pair of gustric spine, however, and $M$. modesta has the anterior carapacial margin sloping much more than $\underline{M}$. serricornis.

Discussion.--Wich the help of Dr. L. B. Holthuis, who had access to the original Latin description, it has been determined that Lovén, 1852, Jescribed this species under the name of Galathea serricornis based on
specimens from Norway with white eyes. 'Since this is the only "blind" galatheid found in Norwegian waters, where it is fairly common, although Loven's description of the serrate lateral margins of the rostrum does not really apply to $\mathbf{M}$. serricornis, there is little doubt that he was dealing with the sami species which has been called $M$. tridentata in all recent literature. Balss (1926) was apparently the first of subsequent workers to note this synonymy, but this was ignored by most other authors except recently, by Zariquie: Alvarez (1968) and Christiansen (1972).

The only characters used in recent keys (Chace, 1942; Pequegrat and Pequegnat, 1970 ) to separate $M$.tridentata ( $=\underline{M}$. serricornis), $\underline{M}$. bahamensis and $\mathcal{M}$. tenuirostris are the shapes of the rostra and chelipeds. M. bahamensis was described by Benedict (1902) from a series of large animals ( $3 \circ^{\circ}$, cl. 18.1-12.1 mm and 3 ovigerous $q$, cl. 16.3-13.6 mm ) from 645 m ofi Florida, with robust chelipeds and broad rostra having almost parallel sides. In the sume paper he described a male (cl. 11.0 mm ) and an ovigerous female (cl. 9.3 mm ) from 805 m off Georgia with narrower, more tapering rostra as $M$. ten inostris. Benedict reserved $M$. tridentata for specimens with laterally convex rostra, while Chace distinguished that species from $\underline{M}$. bahamensis by the "rodcrately slender chelipeds and ambulatory legs" if $\underline{M}$. tridentata.

Samples in the RSMAS collection from $450-1217 \mathrm{~m}$ show a range of variation of these characters which include the extremes expressed by the holotypes of $M$. bahamensis and $M$. tenuirostris. In all cases, large individuals have broader rostra and more robust chelipeds than smaller animals in the same sample. The nature of the rostral margins varies, also, as in demostrated in figures 61 and $63(c-d)$. Benedict
(1902) separated tenuirostris from tridefitata in his key on the basis of the presence of 2 spines on the inferior margin of the merus of the third maxilliped of $M$. tenuirostris and 3 spines in that of the latter 2 species; however, in his description of $M$. tenuirostris he states that there are "two slender spines and a short conical one" in that location. In this group of specimens there is some degree of variation in the armature of this appendage, as Chace (1942) pointed out several times. Since it is impossible to separate these animals into distinct taxa based on these characters, and since examination has revealed no other characters which can be used to define the species morphologically, it is deemed advisable to call all this material $M$. serricornis.

It should be noted that all the larger specimens (except $10^{\circ}$ from near British Guiana) have come from the Straits of Florida and points north, whereas samples with only small specimens (cl. 10.0 mm and less) have come from the Straits of Florida, Cuba, and south of Jamaica, with the exception of those from ATLANTIS Sta. 3781.

Remarks.--Both the form of the cheliped and the nature of the rostrum show an interesting range of varlation. These are depicted in figures 61-66. Samples from GERDA stations 44, 103, 295 and 311 contained specimens which exhibit a wide range of variation and several combinations of the extremes of rostrum and cheliped shape and size. The type material of Benedict's M. tenuirostris (figures 6l:f,g; 62: a-d; and 64: $a-c$ ) has been illustrated for comparison with his $M$. bahamensis (figures 65,66 ). It should be noted that the rostrum of the female paratype of $M$. tenuirostris (figure $61, g$ ) is not particularly long or tapered, characters upon which Benedict based the new species.

Figure 62, e and f show the third maxilliped and left cheliped of a specimen collected by the TALISMAN from West Africa and identified by A. Milne Edwards as M. tridentata. Unfortunately the rostrum was broken off presumably near the distal end in the single specimen. The margins are fairly straight however, and slightly converging. There were no outstanding characteristics which distinguish this individual from most of the western Atlantic specimens.

Examination of a quantity of material from Norway identified as M. Serricornis revealed no characters significantly defferent from those of the specimens collected by the GERDA and PILLSBURY.
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The following list contains data for the stations of the expeditions of the GERDA and FILLSBURY mentioned in the dissertation. The station number, position, depth in meters, bottom, date and the species of Munidopsis taken are listed for every station at which Munidopsis were collected.

The following abbreviations are used to indicate the bottom type or characteristics: alc., alcyonarians; biv., bivalve; bl., black; br., brovn; bran., branching; brk., broken; cl., clay; congl., conglomerate; cc., coral; cud., covered; dd., dead; in., fine; frg., fragments; gn., green; gy., gray; hd., hard; lg., large; madrepo., madreporarian cural; m., mud; pter., pteropod; pum., pumice; r., rock; riky., rocky; sed., sediment; sh., shell; sil., siliceous; slp., slippery; slt., silt; sn., sand; sul., solitary; st., stone; stky., stky., sticky; Thal., Thalassia; thk., thick; veg., vegetable; wh., white; yl., yellow.

STATION DATA

| Sta. | Position |  | Depth (m) | Bottorn | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Sta. | Position | Depth (m) | Bottom |  | Date | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AITS OF FLORIDA: | GERD |  |  |  |  |
| 221 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 24^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 604-586 | pter.ooze |  | JAN 64 | erinaceus |
| 222 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 24^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 824 | pter.alc. |  | JAN 64 | abbreviata |
| 223 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 24^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 897-915 | dd.grass <br> co.pter. |  | JAN 64 | sigsbei |
| 225 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 24^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 805 |  |  | JAN 64 | abbreviata |
| 226 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & 24^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 802-805 | pter. | 23 | JAN 64 | $\frac{\text { abbreviata }}{\frac{\text { longimanus }}{\text { sigsbei }}}$ |
| 295 | $\begin{aligned} & 25^{\circ} 13.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | -842-833 |  |  | APR 64 | $\frac{\text { latifrons }}{\text { serricornis }}$ |
| 301 | $\begin{aligned} & 26^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 648-622 | sea urch. | 5 | APR 64 | abdominalis |
| 311 | $\begin{aligned} & 25^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 25^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 805-787 | co.frg. | 24 | MAR 64 | latifrons |
| 354 | $\begin{aligned} & 25^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 25^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 805-830 | dd.co.sp. |  | AUG 64 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { latifrons } \\ & \underline{\text { serricornis }} \end{aligned}$ |
| 365 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 24^{\circ} 12 .^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 672 |  | 15 | SEP 64 | longimanus |
| 368 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 961-1016 |  | 15 | SEP 64 | $\frac{\text { longimanus }}{\text { sigsbei }}$ |
| 370 | $\begin{aligned} & 23^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 23^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 1281 | pter. | 16 | SEP 64 | simplex |
| 372 | $\begin{aligned} & 23^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & {24^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} \div 2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}}^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | 1107-1162 |  |  | SEP 64 | sigsbei |
| 374 | $\begin{aligned} & 23^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 23^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 1208-1241 |  | 17 | SEP 64 | sigsbei, simplex |
| 375 | $\begin{aligned} & 23^{\circ} 54^{\prime} N, 81^{\circ} 2 \bar{\prime} W- \\ & 23^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 1153-1190 |  | 17 | SEP 64 | sigsbei, sirplex |
| 386 | $27^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ}!8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 604 c | co.sp.alc. | 19 | SEP 64 | spinifer |



BAFIAMA ISLANDS:
$679 \quad 25^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $25^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$690 \quad 26^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$
494-503
21 JuL 65 spinifer

SANTAREN CHANNEL:
$815 \quad 24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
618
22 JUN 67 erinaceus polita

STRAITS OF FLORIDA:
$830 \quad 25^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $25^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$

342 cinders
7 JUL 67 erinaceus
$859 \quad 23^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-$
dd.co.
$24^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
1162-1201 pter.sh.
$360 \quad 24^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $24^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 755-724$

29 AUG 67
sigsbei, simplex transtridens
abbreviata
29 AUG 67 sigsbei

STATION DATA

| Sta. | Position | Depth (m) | Bottom | Date | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | GERDA |  |  |  |
| 870 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 24^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 807-755 | sp. | 30 AUG 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { abbreviata }}{\text { erinaceus }} \\ & \text { polita,spinosa } \end{aligned}$ |

ARRONSMITH BANK (YUCATAN CHANNEL) :

880
$21^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
339-366
10 SEP 67 squamosa
NORTHWEST PROVIDENCE CHANNEL:

BAHAMA ISLANDS:
$2^{\prime} 4^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $24^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 1555-157's sp. 28 SEP 67 simplex

ARRONSMITH BANK (YUCATAN CHANNEL):

SANTAREN CHANNEL:
$10!5 \quad 23^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ $23^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 525-516$ co.alc. 15 JUN 68 abdominalis

STRAITS OF FLORIDA:

$25^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 12^{\prime \mathrm{N}}$ 659-706 m.rk. 26 SEP 67 erinaceus $21^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 586-92 \quad 28 \mathrm{JAN} 68$ platirostris

STRAITS OF FLORIDA:
$23^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ~ $23^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 83^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 1455-1442 pter. 1 FEB 68 sigsbei, simplex $23^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 1391-1415$ pter.br.m. FEB 68 simplex $23^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 1400-1395$ pter.br.m. 1 FEB 68 sigsbei, simplex $24^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 512 \quad 2$ FEB 68 erinaceus politá, robusta
$24^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ}, 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 231-221 rky. 3 FEB 68 platirostris $24^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 920 \quad$ co. 5 MAR 68 sigsbei

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24^{\circ} 12.5^{\prime} N, 82^{\circ} 50.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 622 \text { pter.gy.m. } 28 \text { APR } 68 \text { robusta } \\
& 23^{\circ} 51.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ}+2.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 1080-1089 \text { pter.m. } \\
& \text { sol.co. } 30 \text { APR } 68 \text { sigsbei }
\end{aligned}
$$

STATION DATA



| Sta | a. Position | Depth (m) | Bottof | Date | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PILLSBURY |  |  |  |  |  |
| (N of Golfo de Triests): |  |  |  |  |  |
| 753 | $\begin{aligned} & 11^{\circ} 18.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 22.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 1^{\circ} 31.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | $384-607$ |  | 26 JUL 68 | erinaceus |
| $75^{\prime}$ | $11^{\circ} 36.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 42.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 684-1574 |  | 26 JUL 6S | erinaceus |
| (S of Curacao): |  |  |  |  |  |
| 755 | $\begin{aligned} & 11^{\circ} 43.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 11^{\circ} 46.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 53.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | $796-1006$ |  | 26 JUL 68 | armata |
| (N of Golfo de Venezuela): |  |  |  |  |  |
| 770 | $12^{\circ} 55.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 71^{\circ} 46.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ - |  |  |  | sigsbei, simplex |
| OFF ATLANTIC COAST OF COLOMBIA: erinaceus |  |  |  |  |  |
| 776 | $12^{\circ} 13.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 72^{\circ} 50.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-$ |  |  |  | longimanus |
|  | $12^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 72^{\circ} 42.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 408-576 |  | 29 JUL 68 | polita, riverni ramahtaylorae |
| 781 | $11^{\circ} 30.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 26.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  | erinaceus |
|  | $11^{\circ} 34.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 20.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 567-531 |  | 30 JUL 68 | polita, riveroi |
| 782 | $11^{\circ} 57.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 32.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $12^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2269-2626 |  | 30 JUL 68 | rostrata |
| $78^{\prime}$ | 11. ${ }^{\circ} 26.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  | erinaceus |
|  | $11^{\circ} 26.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 57.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 567-715 |  | 31 JUL 68 | polita |
| OEF TOBAGO: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 844 | $11^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 14.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  | rostrata |
|  | $11^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 11.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1464-1848 |  | 1 JUL 69 | simplex |
| 846 | $11^{\circ} 37.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 37.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $11^{\circ} 38.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 37.51 \mathrm{~W}$ | 659-1126 |  | 2 JUL 69 | sigsbei |
| 847 | $11^{\circ} 37.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 59.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  | abbreviata |
|  | $11^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 01.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 733-1281 |  | 2 JUL 69 | longimanus |
|  |  |  |  |  | sigsbei |
| 850. | $.11^{\circ} 45.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 29.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $110^{\circ} 46.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 29.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 800-924 |  | 3 JUL 69 | abbreviata |
| E OF GRENADINE ISLANDS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 851 | $12^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 05.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |  |  |
| OFF ST. VINCENT: |  |  |  |  |  |
| STl 1 | $13^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 6 \mathrm{l}^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ | bl.stliy.cl. |  |  |  |
|  | $13^{\circ} 19.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 28.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 2628-2681 \mathrm{fn}$.slt.sh. 5 JUL 69 similis |  |  |  |  |


| Sta. Position | Depth (m) Bottom Date |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## PILISBURY

$87613^{\circ} 13.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 04.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \cdot 231-258$ pum.st.seds. 6 JUL 69 platirostris
OFF MARTINIQUE:
$\begin{array}{lll}892 & 14^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 54^{\prime} .2^{\prime} \mathrm{K}- & \text { congl.rks.sn. } \\ 14^{\circ} 19.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 4^{\prime}+5^{\prime} \mathrm{W} & 1116-1354 \text { barnacle sh. } 7 \text { JUL } 69 & \begin{array}{l}\text { sigsbei } \\ \text { simplex }\end{array}\end{array}$
OFF ST. LUCIA:
$904 \quad 13^{\circ} 45.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 05.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 589-439$
9 JUL 69 longimanus
OFF GUADELOUTPE:
$919 \quad 16^{\circ} 05.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 19.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $16^{\circ} 05.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 19.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 683-733 hrd.cl. 12 JUL 69 exinaceus
$920 \quad 16^{\circ} 05.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 18.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ $1^{\circ} 06.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 22.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 531-733 \quad 12$ JUL 69 erinaceus
$92316^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$
bradleyi $16^{\circ} 06.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 22.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 476-686$

14 JUL 69 erinaceus polita, riveroi
OFF DOMINICA:
931 15 ${ }^{\circ} 31.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 12.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $15^{\circ} 32.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 13.1 \%: 146-494$ JUL 65 platirostris

OFF GUADELOUPE:
$94^{\prime}+16^{\circ} 32.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 36.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$
J $6^{\circ} 34.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 37.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 360-421$
17 JLL 69 spinifer
$946 \quad 16^{\circ} 43.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 57.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $16^{\circ} 45.1^{\prime} N, 61^{\circ} 50^{\circ}$. E'W $^{\prime}$ 733-833

17 JUL 69 abbreviata
OFF MONTSERRA? AND NEVIS:
$954 \quad 16^{\circ} 55.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ}+3.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ $16^{\circ} 58.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ \prime 4} 46.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 686-1043 \quad 18 \mathrm{JLT} 69$ sigsbei
: W O OF ANGUILLA:
$988 \quad 18^{\circ} 29.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\mathrm{c}} 24^{\prime} .6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ $18^{\circ} 31.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 686-724. 23 JUL 69 alaminos
$989 \quad 18^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 23.7^{\prime} \mathrm{w}-$ $18^{\circ} 34^{\prime} N, 63^{\circ} 21.6$ 664-706

23 JUL 69 erinaceus
$S$ UF ACKLINS ISLAND, BALLAMAS:
$1133^{2} 20^{\circ} 51.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-\quad \mathrm{sp}$. 20ㅇ‥ $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 18^{\circ} .6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 2745-2751 sol.co. 12 JAN 70 bermulezi


| Sta. Position Depth (m) Bottom | Date | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PILLSBURY |  |  |
| STRAITS OF FLORIDA: |  |  |
| $130925^{\circ} 44.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 50.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 311$ | 5 DEC 70 | erinaceus |
| OFF HONDURAS: |  | erinaceus |
| $135514^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 32 \mathrm{~W} \quad 450-576$ | 31 JAN 71 | ramahtaylorae |
| ATLANTIC OCEAN, N OF VIRGIN ISLANDS: |  |  |
| $137620^{\circ} 45.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 65^{\circ} 00.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ - soft br. <br> $20^{\circ} 46.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 64^{\circ} 58.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 5179-5184$ ooze | 3 JUL 71 | bermudezi |
| S OF DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 1396 & 18^{\circ} 04.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 44.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 18^{\circ} 03.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 42.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 390-395 \end{array}$ | 10 JUL 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { platirostris } \\ & \text { squamosa } \end{aligned}$ |
| ST. CROIX BASIN, VIRGIN ISLANDS: |  |  |
| $140117^{\circ} 51.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 65^{\circ} 04.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |
| S OF CAICOS BANK, BAHAMA ISLANDS: |  |  |
| W Of great inagua |  |  |
| $142921^{\circ} 19.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 45.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-$ |  |  |
| MA Yaguana passage, bahama island : |  |  |
| $143822^{\circ} 27.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 10.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 770-742$ | 23 JUL 71 | serratifrons |
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[^0]:    Distribution plot 15.--Munidopsis rostrate (A. Milne Edwards, 1880) collected by the PILLSBURY.

