# DESCRIPTION OF A NEW SPECIES OF THE genus alpheus Fabr. FROM THE BAY OF BATAVIA. By J. g. de man. 

[From the Proceedings of the Zoologrcal Society of Londos; 1909.$]$
[Published October 1909.]


With the compliments of
Dr. .I. G. de $M A N$,
Ierswhe, Zoretend. Itollemt.
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> Description of a new Species of the Genus Alpheus Fabr. from the Bay of Batavia. By J. G. de Man *.

(Plate LXX. $\dagger$ )

## Alpheus ehlersit, sp. n.

Syn.: Alpheus macrochirus de Man, in Archiv fur Naturg. 53 Jahrg. (Berlin, 1888), p. 519.

A re-examination of the two specimens of Alpheus from the island of Edam, Bay of Batavia, described by me (l. c.) under the name of A. macrochirus Richters, not only proved that they had been wrongly referred to that species, but also that they are the representatives of a hitherto unknown form. This new species, which I have the pleasure to dedicate to Professor Ehlers of

[^0]Gottingen, who kindly enabled me to stady the two specimens, apparently belongs to the group "insignas" of Coutière and is most closely related to A. paracrinitus Miers, to A. paracrinitus Miers, var. bergalensis Cout, and to A. lanceloti Cout., three species inhabiting the Maldive and Lacadive Archipelagoes, though the first of them was originally discovered at Goree Island, Senegambia.

The larger specimen is 16.5 mm . long, the other 15 mm .
Rostrom acute, reaching to the distal fourth of the visible part of basal antennular article; rostral carina obtuse, extending backward to the base of the roumed, unarmed, orbital hoods, from which it is separated by rather deep, though narrow grooves. On each side of the rostrum, the frontal margin (Pl. LXX. fig. 1) bears a rounded prominence, nearly as in A. superciliaris, but glabrous and with the outer margin more oblique. Antennal and antennular peduncles with spines and appendages nearly as in A. paracrinitus bengulemsis (Coutiore, Alpheide Mald. and Lacead. Archip. 190), pl. lxxxii. fig. 37 ). Second antennular article once and a half longer than wide distally, a little longer than the visible part of the lst and of the 3rd, which are of equal length; stylocerite acuminate, reaching to the second fourth part of median article. Carpocerite surpassing the antennule almost by the whole length of S3d article; the terminal spine of the scaphocerito, the outer margin of which is slightly concave, is slightly curved inward and reaches ahmost to midway between the extremities of both portuncles; the terminal spine exceeds by a little more than one thim of its length the tip of the scale, which is a little shorter than tho inner: peduncle. Basicerite with a small spine on the lower sille, not visible from above. Telson (Pl. TXX. fig. 2) nearly as in A. paracrinitus var. bengalensis, but the outer angles of the slightly prominent posterior margin obtuse. The length of the telson equals in both specimens $3 \cdot 1$ times the width of the posterior margin ; the greatest width anteriorly is, in the larger specimen, 1.93 times, in the other just twice the width of posterior margin ; in both specimens the spinules of the upper surface, which are $0 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, are situated as in the var. bengalensis of A. paracrinitus, the anterior pair anterior to the middle, the proportion between the length of the telson and the distance of that pair from the posterior margin being, in the larger specimen, $1 \cdot 73$, in the other 1.85 ; the proportion between the distances of both pairs of spinules from the posterior margin is, in the larger specimen, $\mathbf{1 \cdot 6}$, in the other $1 \cdot 7$.

Meropodite of larger chelipede twice as long as wide; upper margin unarmed at its extremity, infero-intermal margin with a small acute tooth at the apex and with seven small movable spimules, 0.117 mm . Jong, inserted from the proximal extremity to the distal third. Chela 8.4 mm . long, one thind longer than the carapace, $2 \cdot 8$ times longer than high, and somewhat compressed, its thickness being in proportion to the height as $2 \cdot 3$; upper and lower borders of the palm (Pl. [AX. fig. 3) neaty parallel, lower border
rounded, slightly concave at the base of the immobile finger, though not emarginate or notched ; upper borcler also rounded, but presenting, just behind the truncate distal extremity of the palm, a marrow groove, rumning obliquely inward, though not continued on to the imer surface of the palm; this groove (Pl. LXX. fig. 3) runs parallel with the oblique anterior end of the elliptical area. The dactylus, a little longer than the immobile finger, measures almost one thind of the palm and ahnost one fourth of the whole length of the chela; the palm is sparsely though distinctly punctate, the inner surface anteriorly, like that of the immobile finger, hairy, the outer surface of the latter longitudinally grooved. But for the oblique groove on the upper border, the larger chela much resembles that of A.paracrinitus var. bengalensis.

Meropodite of smaller chelipede like that of the larger, but the infero-internal margin, though also with a small acute tooth at the extremity, with only four or five movable spinules. Chela 5.55 mm . long, the larger chela once and a half as long as the other; the smaller chela (PI. LXX. fig. 4), the fingers of which are about as long as the palm, is 3.7 times longer than high, the palm twice at long as high, with the upper border entire and roundet, like the lower.

Meropotite of 2 nd legs in the larger specimen 6 times, in the other 6.85 times, longer than wide. In the larger specimen the Ist carpal segment, $0 \cdot 3$ times longer than thick, is just twice as long as the 2nd, the 2nd twice as long as the 3rdand as the 4 th, which we of equal length, and the 5th a little shomer than the 2nd; the chela, the fingers of which are a little longer than the palm, is almost twice as long as the 5 th segment. In the other specimen (Pl. LXX. fig. 5) the 1 st carpal segment, $6 \cdot 3$ times longer than thick, appears 244 times longer than the 2nd, and the Oul, which is slightly shorter than the 3rd and the 4th taken together, is as long as the 5th; the chela, finally, the fingers of which are slightly longer than the palm, is 1.8 times longer than the 5 th segment.

The proportions of the 5th pair (PI. LXX. fig. 6) are: Carpus 1 ; meropodite $1 \cdot 18$; propodite $1 \cdot 07$. Meropodite $5 \cdot 2$ times, carpus 6 times, proporlite 7 times longer than wile, these members with rather long seta, and the propodite with the usual bristles: dactylus, as in A. paracrinitus, tapering, acuminate, 4 times as long as wide at its base, slightly curved, simple, without any trace of a secondary claw, and measuring just tro-fifths of the propodite.

Unfortunately the legs of the 3rd and 4th paiss are absent in both specimens, except one leg of the 4th pair in the younger inclividual; the meropodite is quite unarmed, the propodite carries 6 spinules, and the simple dactylus agrees with that of the 5th legs.

Alpheus paracrinitus Miers differs by the upper border of the larger chela being entive, without a groove, by the different shape of rostrum and frontal margin, by the shorter stylocerite, and probably by other characters; A. lanceloti is a more different species; and A. macrochious Richters, finally. differs at first sight, by the fattened, triangular rostrum. by the longitudinal groove
on the upper border of the larger chela, there being here no transverse groove, by the stouter shape of the smaller chela, by the dactyli of the 3rd and following legs being armed with two accessory claws, etc.

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE LXX. <br> Alphers ehlersii.

Fig. 1. Frontal and antemal region of the larger specimen, $\times 2 \boldsymbol{2 3}$.
Fig. 2. Telson of the same, $\times 23$.
Fig. 3. Larger chela and carpus of the larger specimen looked at from the inner side, $\times 8 \frac{1}{2}$.
Fig. 4. Smaller chelipede of the same, $\times 8 \frac{1}{2}$.
Fig. b. Second leg of the younger specimen, $\times 11$.
Fig. 6. Fifth leg of the larger specimen, $\times 23$.


ALPHEUS EHLERSII de Man.


[^0]:    * Communicated by R. I, Pocock, F.L.S., F.K.S.
    + For explanation of the Plate see $p, 666$.

