

CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE ALPHEID SHRIMP OF THE PACIFIC OCEAN Part XVIII: A New Species of the Genus *Alpheus* from the mouth of the Sepik River, New Guinea

by

ALBERT H. and DORA M. BANNER

Division of Crustason

RECORDS OF THE AUSTRALIAN MUSEUM

Vol. 29, No. 11. Pages 261-266. Figure 1

SYDNEY

3rd March, 1975

Price, 50c

Printed by Order of the Trustees

í

^{*} Contributions to the Knowledge of the Alpheid Shrimp of the Pacific Ocean Part XVIII: A New Species of the Genus Alpheus from the mouth of the Sepik River, New Guinea'

By

LIBRARY Division of Crustacea

Albert H. and Dora M. Banner 2

University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii

Figure 1

Manuscript received, 16th January, 1974

Alpheus leptocheles sp. nov.

Holotype

31 mm male from mouth of Sepik River, New Guinea, between Cape Girgir and Kaup. Trawled between 1½ and 10 fms. Collected by R. Eginton on the m.v. *Tagula*, Aug.-Sept., 1965. (Australian Museum reg. No. P.19917.)

Paratypes

Two female specimens from the same locality as the holotype (AM P.19918).

Description

Rostrum acute, longer than broad at base, reaching to middle of visible part of first antennular article, with slight rounded carina reaching posteriorly only to base of orbits. Orbits moderatedly inflated, forming moderately deep rounded grooves between rostral carina and orbits. Orbitorostral margin slightly concave. Carapace densely papillose, less abundant on dorsal surface, entire carapace sparsely publics. Visible part of first antennular article 0.6 as long as second, a little longer than third article; second article 2.4 times as long as broad. Stylocerite reaching almost to end of first antennular article, distal tooth turned slightly outward. Scaphocerite reaching slightly past antennular peduncle, lateral margin straight, squamous portion narrow, attaining level of tip of lateral tooth. Carpocerite stout, reaching to end of antennular peduncle.

¹ Work supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant GB 25020. ² Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology contribution number 458.

Rec. Aust. Mus., 29, page 261.



Alpheus leptocheles sp. nov.—Fig. 1. Holotype: A. anterior region, dorsal view. B. third maxilliped. C, large chela, lateral view. D, large chela, superior view (pollex obscured). E, merus and carpus large cheliped, lateral view. F, carpus, large cheliped, superior face showing proximal teeth. G, small cheliped, lateral view. H, small chela, medial view, enlarged. I, second leg. J. third leg. K, telson and uropods. Paratype, 30 mm female: L, large chela, lateral view. M, scale b.

Entire surface of large cheliped of male finely papillose. Chela 3.7 times as long as broad. Palm with extreme lateral compression, 7.0 times as high as thick area of maximum longitudinal thickness lying above middle with lower half of chela blade-like: both superior and inferior margins thinned to knife edges. Palm highest immediately proximal to dactylar articulation, tapering proximally, 1.7 times as broad immediately proximal to dactylar articulation as at carpal articulation. Dactylus sharply carinate, fingers very slim, curved slightly outward. Superior margin of palm with moderately long forward-sweeping hairs, superior margin of fingers also bearing hairs, but shorter than those of palm. Carpus cup-shaped, 0.15 as long as chela. Lateral margins of proximal region bearing subacute teeth directed proximally. Merus 4.3 times as long as broad, without armature. Lateral face near superior margin bearing deep groove extending from distal end of proximal portion of ischium. Large chela of female 5.8 times as long as broad also with knife-like margins but with margins almost parallel. Surface papillose, similar to that of male; superior and inferior margins bear long. fine forward-sweeping setae. Merus and carpus similar to those of male.

Small chela of male highly compressed, 5 times as long as broad, fingers 2.2 times as long as palm. Both fingers arched and gaping in middle, uniformly tapering to tip, tips crossing when closed. Superior and inferior margins of chela bearing long forward-sweeping hairs. Opposing surfaces of fingers bearing hairs directed forward which intermesh in middle. Medial face of chela much more hirsute than lateral, with dense tuft of hair near articulation of dactylus. Carpus and merus similar to those of large cheliped. Small chela of female nearly 8 times as long as broad, fingers a little less than 1.5 times as long as palm. Palm papillose and bearing along inferior and superior margins very fine forward-sweeping setae. Opposing surfaces of fingers bearing shorter fine setae that cross. Carpus and merus similar to those of male.

Ratio of carpal articles of second legs: 10:23:8:8:8.

Ischium of third leg without spine. Merus 7 times as long as broad, unarmed. Carpus 0.4 as long as merus; superodistal margin slightly projected. Propodus 0.6 as long as merus, bearing on inferior surface a few stiff setae and long hairs but no spines. Superior margin bearing several long hairs. Dactylus spatulate, 0.5 as long as propodus, superior surface with longitudinal ridge which bears patches of very short stiff setae on both sides.

Telson 3.3 times as long as posterior margin is broad. Dorsal pairs of spines small, anterior pair placed anterior to middle.

Discussion

The compressed large chela, the shape of the orbitorostral front and the flattened dactyli of the third legs, among other characteristics plainly put this species in the Brevirostris group of the genus *Alpheus*. As far as we have been able to determine the distal broadening and proximal tapering of the palm of the large chela and its extreme compression are unique to the group. Further characteristics separate this species from previously known species. It can easily be separated from many in the group by the lack of transverse notch behind the dactylar articulation of the large chela, and by the fact that the rostral crest or ridge does not extend posteriorly to well behind the orbital hoods. The description and figures of *A. halesi* Kirk (1887: 194, pl. 6d) leaves ambiguities, but the heavy longitudinal ridge on the cuter face of the large chela plainly separates it from this species. *A. macrosketes* Alcock & Anderton ("correct original spelling" as defined in International Rules of Zoological Nomenclature, Article 32 (a) (ii)) (1894: 153), *A. distinguendus* De Man (1909: 155, pl. 7, fig. 9–14), *A. pustulosus* Banner & Banner (1968: 143, fig. 2), *A. nonalter* Kensley (1968: 172, fig. 15) and *A. stephensoni* Banner and Smalley (1969: 45, fig. 2) have some manner of armature, either spines or teeth on merus of the large and small chelae, and only in *A. distinguendus* is the palm of the small chela of the male shorter than the fingers. In *A. stephensoni* the palm of the small chela of the male is about equal in length to the fingers, not markedly shorter than the fingers as in this species.

The differences between both chelae of the male and female could either be sexual dimorphism or be indicative of separate species. Certainly, sexually dimorphic small chelae are common in this genus, but sexually dimorphic large chelae occur only rarely, e.g., *A. idiocheles* Coutière (*see* Banner & Banner, 1967: 271). If we knew that these were collected from the same environmental niche, we would have no misgivings about pronouncing the differences to be sexually dimorphic. While the collection data were the same for the male as the females, the male was in a separate vial. This may indicate a separate habitat or even a separate trawl haul. If so, then we may have two species. However, because the specimens were so similar in other characteristics and similarly differ from other known species, we presently believe that we are dealing with a single sexually dimorphic species.

The specific name refers to the extremely compressed large chela.

The holotype and paratypes have been placed in the Australian Museum. Sydney.

REFERENCES

- Alcock, A. and A. R. Anderson, 1894. An account of a recent collection of deep-sea Crustacea from the Bay of Bengal and Laccadive Sea. Natural history notes from H.M. Indian Marine Survey Steamer Investigator, Commander C. F. Oldham, R.N., commanding. Series II, No. 14. J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal 63 (2): 141–185, pl. 9. (See alternatively: Alcock, 1901. A descriptive catalogue of the Indian deep-sea Crustacea. Decapoda Macrura and Anomala, in the Indian Museum. Being a revised account of the deep-sea species collected by the Royal Indian Marine Survey Ship Investigator, pp. 1-286, i–v, pls 1–3.)
- Banner, A. H. & Dora M. Banner, 1967. Contributions to the knowledge of the alpheid shrimp of the Pacific Ocean. Part XI. Collections from the Cook and Society Islands. Occ. Pap. Bernice P. Eishop Mus. 23 (12): 253-286, 5 figs.

- Banner, D. M. & C. R. Smalley, 1969. Contributions to the knowledge of the alpheid shrimp of the Pacific Ocean. Part XIII. Two species of the alpheid shrimp, one new, common in the prawn trawls of Moreton Bay, Queensland, Australia. Proc. R. Soc. Qd. 81 (3): 43 50, 3 figs.
- De Man, J. G., 1909. Note sur quelques espèces du genre *Alpheus* Fabr. appartenant au groupe *Brevirostris* de M. *Mem. Soc. zool. Fr.* 22: 146-164, 2 pls.
- Kensley, B. F., 1968. Decapod Crustacea from the southwest Indian Ocean. Ann. S. Afr. Mus. 52: 149–181, 16 figs.
- Kirk, T. W., 1887. On a new species of *Alpheus. Trans. Proc. N.Z. Inst.* 19: 194–195, pl. 6D.

GUIDE TO AUTHORS OF AUSTRALIAN MUSEUM MEMOIRS AND RECORDS

1. Scope

The Australian Museum publishes in its Records and Memoirs the results of original research dealing with material in its collections or with subjects of interest to the Museum, including taxonomic and general zoology, palaeontology, anthropology, mineralogy, and related fields. Contributions of well above average length are published in the Memoirs.

2. Submission of manuscripts

Manuscripts should be sent to the Editor, Records and Memoirs of the Australian Museum. The original and one copy of the text must be submitted; the author should retain one copy. Original artwork should be retained by the author pending final acceptance of the paper for publication; two copies of the illustrations must be submitted with the text. Alternatively, good-quality photographic reproductions of the original artwork may be submitted. Papers will be reviewed by at least one referee before being considered for final acceptance. They must be in final form for publication so that changes at proof stage will be as few as possible.

The manuscript -including tables, headings, indices, legends to figures, and literature cited--must be clearly and neatly typewritten, double-spaced, on one side of bond or other good quality paper, and with 3 cm margins all round. Pages should be numbered consecutively. Tables and legends to illustrations should be on separate pages at the end of the manuscript. The entire manuscript should be securely fastened together.

3. Presentation

Papers should be arranged as follows:

- (i) The title, which should be concise and specific. The higher classification of the group dealt with should be indicated in the title of zoological papers; in palaeontological papers the position of a local formation in the world scheme should be indicated.
- (ii) The author's name and professional address.
- (iii) A summary not exceeding either 3 per cent of the text or 200 words and intelligible to the reader without reference to the main text.
- (iv) A list of contents may be included if the paper is very long.
- (v) Introduction.
- (vi) The main text of the paper.
- (vii) Acknowledgments.
- (viii) References (see below).
- (ix) Index (in the case of very long papers).

The approximate position of tables and figures should be indicated in pencil at the left-hand margin.

Only the names of genera and species should be underlined. Unless indicated elsewhere in the text, or where nomenclature follows a generally accepted standard (which should be cited), the authority should be cited when any specific name is used for the first time.

In taxonomic papers the short form (taxon, author, date, page) should be used in synonymies and the full reference taken to the end of the paper. In synonymies a period and dash (.—) should separate the name of the taxon and the name of the author except in the case of the reference to the original description. Where new species are described the location of the type material must be indicated and Article 73 and associated recommendations of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature should be followed. Dichotomous keys with contrasting parts of couplets adjacent to each other are recommended. In these only the first part of the couplet should be numbered and the beginning of the second indicated with a dash at the left-hand margin. Keys must not use serially indented couplets.

4. Tables

Tables should be typed on separate sheets and numbered in arabic numerals. Headings should be self-explanatory. Material in the text should not duplicate that in the tables. Duplication of information in tables and graphs should generally be avoided.

Tables should have the very minimum number of horizontal and vertical lines. Very large or complex tables should be submitted in a form suitable for direct preparation of line blocks; such tables should not exceed 14 cm x 20 cm and numbers and letters should be as large as practicable.

5. Hlustrations

Line drawings, maps and graphs are regarded as "figures" and are to be numbered consecutively; these numbers will be used in the final printed copy. Photographs are regarded as "plates"; they should be numbered, but the numbers eventually assigned to them will follow the series in the volume of the Records: references to plate numbers in the text should therefore be carefully checked at proof stage.

Figures should be drawn in black indian ink on bristol board, good quality paper, tracing linen, or faintly blue-lined graph paper. Related diagrams should be grouped to form a single figure suitable for eventual reduction to a size not exceeding $14 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}$. Parts of figures should be labelled a, b, c, etc. (e.g., fig. 1a, 1b). The name(s) of the author(s), the number of the figure and the intended reduction should be clearly marked on the back of the illustration and the orientation of all illustrations indicated.

Photographs should be best-quality, glossy, with moderately high contrast, and mounted on white board.

All legends to illustrations should be submitted on pages separate from the text and not attached to the ar work

6. References

References should be arranged alphabetically and chronologically. Titles of journals should be abbreviated according to the World List of Scientific Periodicals (4th ed.). Titles of all references must be given in full. It will be assumed that the list of references has been checked for accuracy by the author. The following examples may be of assistance:

Gibb, J. A., 1966. Tit predation and the abundance of Ernarmonia conicolana (Heyl.) on Weeting Heath

Norfolk, 1962-63. J. Anim. Ecol. 35: 43-53, 5 tables, 2 figs.

Mayr, E., E. G. Linsley, and R. L. Usinger. 1953. Methods and principles of systematic zoology. McGraw-Hill, New York. Pp. ix, 328, 14 tables, 45 figs.

Schöne, H., 1961. Complex behaviour. In T. H. Waterman (ed.), The physiology of Crustacea. Vol. 2: 465-520, 22 figs. Academic Press, New York.

7. Proofs

Only page-proofs are sent to authors for correction. Proofs should be returned with the least possible delay, and only essential corrections should be made. Authors are requested to pay particular attention to checking of numerical matter, mathematical symbols, lists of names, and references.

8. Free copies

Authors receive 50 copies free of charge. Additional copies may be ordered at the time proofs are returned

9. Correspondence

All correspondence should be addressed to the Editor, The Australian Museum, P.O. Box A285, Sydney South, N.S.W. 2000, Australia.

G 71398 D. WEST, GOVERNMENT PRINTER