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# On the Propagation, Structure, and Classification of the 

 Family Sphaeromidae.By H. J. Hansen.
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## I. Introductory remarks.

Three years ago H. F. Moore ("Rep. Porto Rican Isopoda", in the U. S. in Comm. Bull. for 1900, vol. ii, p. 172, 1901) wrote on the Sphaeromidae: attempt is made to furnish a key to the genera, owing to the extreme ifusion that exists in this family, and it is doubtrul if the following species are properly assigned generically. The dissimilarity of the sexes frequently misled authors into placing them in widely separated genera, t, while this has not been done in the present case, the limitations of genera are so indefinitely established that the author has not been able satisfy himself of the generic affinities of the species described.". may be added that Moore, in reality, refers both his species to genera to ch they do not belong. But his critical remarks quoted are correct, and rey an idea of the state of things; other authors have complained in a her similar way, and the extreme difficulty in arriving at some clearness probably been felt by every carcinologist who has attempted to name or foribe a number of animals belonging to the family Sphaeromidae.

During a stay in Messina and Siracusa in 1893 I collected especially ine animals of various orders and classes; of Sphaeromidae I gathered a ge number of specimens, most of them belonging to the genera Sphaeroma ic) and Cymodoce (Leach). In attempting to name the material of Cymodoce, joon felt that the first thing to be done was to separate the adult males., ch proved to belong to three species, then to refer immature males and females to their respective adult males. The literature oould not help but, fortunately, the number of specimens of nearly all stages of all cias was so rich that the task could be carried through. During this mination I observed that the adult females had neither eggs nor young the marsupium, but that the brood could be discerned through the skin of ventral surface of the thorax; the young occupied internal pouches, had been shown by Leichmann to be the case in Sphaeroma rugioauda (Leach). thermore, I observed that in the same adult females of cymodoce the proximal $f$ of the maxillipeds is strongly expanded, forming large ciliated plates found in immature specimens of males, and that the end of the mandibles light coloured, while it is dark brown or black in other specimens; a sequent dissection showed that the three anterior pairs of mouth limbs and distal half of the maxillipeds in egg bearing specimens of Cymodoce have in strongly reduced that the animals cannot eat, while the proximal half of maxillipeds has been exceedingly expanded; in Sphaeroma the mouth parts similar in both sexes and in young animala.

These facts and other features were discovered ten years ago, but a ablication was postponed. During a stay in London in 1902 I looked through he large collection of Sphaeromidede in the British Luseum, wrote numerous ates, and figured some details; most of the specimens examined being types co-types for speoies established by Leach, Say, White, Miers, and Haswell, is persual has bean of great importance for my study. The next year I igan to work out a revision of the genera of Sphaeromidae. The U. S. stional Museum, and especially Dr. Chas. Chilton in New Zeland, favoured me loan or present - with a good number of forms, for which I am most grateful. have drawn more than a hundred figures, but seeing that further material ist be procured, and farr that for this reason and other obligations, years ist pass away before I can finish a more detailed paper, I think a preliminary stract of the main results, together with brief diagnoses of the genera, id notes on reference of species, may be useful to ny fellow-students. arly every year new speoies are described and new genera established; re latter are, in most cases, imperfectly defined, and the species are quently referred to genera to which they do not belong. Though most eliminary communications - to put it very mildly - contribute more to de swelling of the literature than to advancement of science, I hope yet at this paper may be considered by zoolagists as an exception from the rule.

During the preparation of this paper I received further aid from other des. From the authorities of the Zoological Museum in Berlin I obtained me forms of much interest; Professor E. L. Bouvier, Director of the tomological Department of the Museum in Paris, lent me an important typical ecimen; $4 r$. A. Vire, the ardent explorer of the cave-fauna in France, has esented me with two valuable forms; Dr. Joh. Thiele, at the Berlin Nuseum, $1 d$ especially my friend Dr. W. T. Calman, at the British Museum, answered leries on certain structural features in various animals. I beg the thorities of the Zoological Museums in London, Washington, Berlin, and all le gentlemen named, to accept ny sincere thanks for their aid.

The number of forms seen by me is very large. Twenty-eight genera ot tuaiadtas counting mere synonyms) have been established by earlier thors; of these I have been able to examine material preserved in spirit all but three; of one (Ancinus) of these three I saw an exsiccated ecimen, and the two genera not seen by me seem to be of slight importance. at I have seen numerous new species is a matter of course; many of them re been inspected, but not being able to give illustrations here, I establish few as possible describing in all only two new species as types for new teresting genera and adding some remarks on an old quite imperfectly known $r \approx \mathrm{~m}$. For various reasons. I cancel two genera; some of those still maintained. e of slight value, but I did not think it proper to withdraw more than solutely necessary. I must establish seven new genera, six of which are pes of importance. Most of the species hitherto established are enumerated, I did not wish to mention every species of Sphaeroma and Cymodoce scattered the vast literature. The enumeration is undertaken in order to refer speaies to the genera to which they really belong; a persual of my notes such genera as Sphaeroma, Cymodoce, Nasa, Cassidina will convey an idea
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the extreme confusion as to classification nearly the whole literature. ther frequently the desoriptions - especialiy when accompanied with ilgures species unknown to me are sufficient for reference, but in several aases is must be doubtful; in too numerous cases - especially when the species question differ as to shape of the proximal joints of the antennulae or the end of abdomen from the type of that genus to which they have been terred in the literature - it is unfortunately impossible to say anything the real relationship, because figures and especially descriptions are too -oomplete.

Ly in very few cases titles of papers are given; if such references to serature had been inserted everywhere in the systematic "notes" the bulk this paper would have been very much increased. The synonymy of several cies of Sphaeroma and Cymodoce is extremely intricate, and is omitted. is scarcely necessary to say anything on my treatment of characters and ussification; every student who will take the trouble to read the three howing chapters and look through the diagnoses of sub-families, groups, itions, and genera may easily perceive the principles of classification. it may be sdded that in Isopoda - and in other orders of Arthropoda islike a modern tendency manifesting itself in splitting up orders into ery large number of families; wishing to procure a view of the relationships, rllected an earlier occasion Cirolanidae, Aegidae, etc., as sub-families the Cymothoidae (sens. lat.), and today I cancel the family Limnoriidae, erring it as a sub-faimily to the family Sphaeromidae.

## II. On the Propagation.

Riven among a very large material of Sphaeroma (Bosc) and Cymodoce wh) It is next to impossible to find a single specimen with eggs or ng in the marsupium, though it is generally easy to find numerous specimens $h$ the marsupium wall developed. It is, in my opinion, a testimony of the of study of the family that this curious feature has been obverked by all authors excepting Leichmann, who observed and explained it one species of Spheeroma, but did not examine any other form of the 11y. I shall now give a very brief abstract of some selected points of chmann's paper, adding a few remarks, and then proceed to my own urvationsf on numerous other genera of the family; it may, however, be d that some interesting questions I am certainly able to point out, for want of sufficient material, not to solve in any satisfactory way.

Leichmann published a preliminary note in "Zoologischer Anzeiger" for - the chief paper, "Beitr\&ge fur Oaturgeschichte der Isopoden", in Hotheca Zoologica", 1891. He studied specimens of Sphaeroma rugioaude oh) gathered Hear Danfzig. He describes and figures the marsupial 1lae as so small that the lamellae from the two opposite sides do not $\frac{h}{2}$ each other with their margins. This statement is quite incomprehensible. examined specimens of the same species from the coasts of Denmark, even Vordingborg at the Baltic, and in animals carrying brood the lamellae the two hatves almays overlap each other considerably.
erroneous determination is excluded, as S. rugicauda is the only species the Spheerominse known from the Baltic and even from Denmark; furthermore, S. serratum (Fabr.) and in the other species of the genus in its restrioted 2se (see below) I have always found the lamellae overlapping each other. Leichmann has made the important discovery that the eggs are enolosed and reloped, not in the marsupium itself, but in four pairs of pouches; the onings to these pouches are rather large transverse slits found on the for surface of thorax at some distance from the mesial line between the rnites, the first pair of slits between the second and third, the last $r$ between the fifth and sixth sternites. According to Leichmann these ches are large, elongated, two-branched invaginations of the ventral in of the animal; they proceed upwards and a little inwards, terminating leath the tergites near the mesial line. The eggs are laid in the usual ; from the marsupium they must instantly be transported into the internal ches, because it is impossible to find any specimen with eggs in the supium. The eggs are proportionately large, their diameter being . 44 mm . the young ready for leaving the pouches are exaeedingly large, measuring 4 mm . in length, .65 mm . in breadth, and. 22 mm . in depth; the volume such a young one is therefore between four and five times (Leichmann nks five times) larger than that of an egg; the mother measures only mm . in length and 2.9 mm . in breadth. Leichmann states that the larvae foriz lively movements within the pouches a long time before they leave m, which takes place through the eight slits. He has observed that ierally two larvae slip out, not simultaneously, but shortly after each ier; they remain a short time, rarely more than an hour, in the marsupium. frequently a considerably longer time passes away before the birth of two next larvae, so that the entire act takes up some days. This tract may be sufficient; the question as to the nutrition of eggs and vae is omitted in this preliminary paper.

In nearly one third of the genera of the family adult females are nown to me; of a fem genera I have seen only a single female with the supium well developed; but, at least without dissection, no brood could detected. Marsupial plates I have seen in representatives of the two 11 sub-families, and in all sections of the large sub-family Sphaerominae one, viz*, Cassidinini. Their number is always three pairs; they belong the second, third, and fourth pairs of legs. In theee genera- Exospheeroma ebb.), Isocladus (Mifers), and Zuzara (Leach) - all belonging to the ibranchiate Sphaerominse, they are so small that they are far from ohing each other from the two opposite aides; in all other genera they rlap each other at least somewhat, and generally considerably, or somees very much along the mesial line. In the forms with brood of the tion Cassidinini seen by me the marsupial lamellae are wanting; this lous feature is discussed in the sequel.

Of the sub-family Limnoriinae, Limnoria lignorum (Rathke) has been wind. The number of eggs is rather moderate (twnety nine were found in specimen); the eggs are enclosed in the marsupium itself. The volume of h full grown young one is very conaiderably larger than that of an egg;

