## Naxia aurita, Latreille.

Pisa aurita, Latreille, Encycl. Meth., Entom., x., 1825, p. 140.
Halimus auritus, Milne Edwards, Hist. Nat. Orust., i., 1834, p. 341, and Atlas, Cuv. Règne Anim., 1849, pl. xxviii., fig. 3, 3 a-b. Id., Kinahan, Journ. Roy. Dublin Soc., i., 1858, p. ?. Id., Ortmann, Zool. Jahrb., vii., 1893, p. 39.

Halimues levis, Haswell, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, iv., 1880, p. 435, and Cat. Austr. Crust., 1882, p. 6. Id., Baker, Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Austr., xxix., 1905, p. 119, pl. xxi., fig. 1-1a. Id., McCulloch, Rec. Austr. Mus., vii., 1908, p. 54.

The third edition of Cuvier's "Regne Animal" not being available to me, I am indebted to Dr. W. 'T. Calman for a photograph of the plate on which this species is figured. I have compared specimens of Halimus lavis with it and am convinced that that species is synonymous with $H$. auritus. As in other species of the genus there is some variation in the breadth of the carapace and the length of the legs, though I have not seen any examples in which the rostral horns are formed exactly as in the figure, they being generally slightly longer and more divergent. Haswell considered that his species differed from H. auritus in the size of the chelipeds, but I have specimens of $H$. lcevis with these limbs similar to those figured by Mine Edwards.
$N$. aurito was originally described from D'Entrecasteaux Channel, Tasmania, but Mihe Edwards gave its habitat as the Indian Ocean. It has been recognised by both Kinahan and Ortmann from Victoria, and as Il. Levis it has also been recorded from South and South Western Australia.

> Naxia aries, Guêrin.

Halimus aries, Latreille in Guérin, Icon. Règne Anim., iii., 1829-1844, Crust., pl. ix., figs. 2, $2 a-c$ (sine descr.).
Halimus aries, Milne Edwards, Hist. Nat. Crust., i., 1834, p. 341, and Atlas, Cuv. Règne Anim., Crust., 1849, pl. xxviii., figs. 2, $2 a-c$.
Halimus gracilis, Baker, Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Austr., xxix., 1905, p. 124, pl. xxiii., tig. 4, $4 a$.

Hatimus gracilis is apparently identical with $H$. aries; the only difference shown in Baker's and Guerin's figures is in the
length of the legs, but as this character is known to be variable in $N$. tamida, Dana, and other species, it camot be used as a specific character.

## Hyastenus ames, Latreille.

(Fig. 44).
Pisa aries, Latreille, Encycl. Meth., Entom., x., 1895, p. 140.
Chorinus aries, Milne Edwards, Hist. Nat. Crust., i., 1834, p. 315.

Ifyastenus aries, Alcock, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, lxiv. (1. ser.), 1895, 1. 211.

Some confusion having arisen between this and the preceding species, I wrote to the authorities of the Indian Museum asking for their assistance to make the matter clear. The


Fig. 44.

Director, Dr. N. Annandale, very generously forwarded me for examination one of the specimens determined by Alcock as IIyastenus aries, which I have here figured for comparison with Guerin's illustration of the other species. It was taken in the Gulf of Martaban, 20 fathoms.

## Genus Naxia, Latreille.

## Suhgenus Microhalinus, IIaswell.

Microhalimus, Haswell, Proc. Limn. Soc. N. S. Wales, iv., 1880, 1. 435 (M. deflexifrons, Haswell) ; Icl., Cat. Austr. Crust., 1882, p. 7.

The relationship of Microhalimus and Pseudomicippe, IIeller, ${ }^{5}$ has been the subject of considerable discussion. Miers" placed the latter in the Majide, but Ortmann ${ }^{7}$ has shown that it really belongs to the Inachide and is allied to Halimus (=Naxia). Haswell considered Microhalimus to be a subgenus of Halimus, and, having examined his six specimens, I agree with his opinion. Miers ${ }^{8}$ also suggested that Microhalimus was identical with Pseudomicippe, but it differs in lacking the broad anterosuperior orbital lobe, in having a long spiniform process projecting forwards from the basal antennal joint, in having the hepatic region armed with strong spines, and in the penultimate joints of the ambulatory legs being slighty expanded instead of cylindrical. Its general form is much more like that of Nexia than of Pseudomicippe.

I regard Microhalimus as only a subgenus of Naxia because there are some species of the latter genus possessing characters which are almost intermediate between the two. Haswell considered that it differed in having the rostrum deffexed and in lacking prominent spines on the carapace, but $N$. tumida Dana ${ }^{3}$ and $N$. spinosa, Hess ${ }^{10}$ often have the rostrum as much deflexed as M. deflexifions while the armature of the carapace is very similar to that of $N$. tumida. In the structure of the

[^0]orbit and the postorbital spine $N$. tumida is intermediate between Naxia and Microhalimus, and as regards the legs, the penultimate joint is often as little dilated in $N$. aurita Latreille ${ }^{11}$ as in $M$. deflexifrons.

Naxia, Microhalimus, and an allied new genus Zewa, may be distinguished as follows:-
a. Eye-stalks of medium length, not or barely reaching the anterior liepatic spine when laid back. Penultimate joints of ambulatory legs more or less dilated.
$b$ A post-ocular spine separated from the orbit by a more or less distinct interspace ; postero-superior orbital spine present or absent. Penultimate joints of legs usually much compressed and dilated distally.................. ..........................Naxia.
b6. No post-ocular spine, only a postero-superior orbital spine. Penultimate joints of legs very little dilated .........................Microhalimus.
au. Eye stalks long and slender, reaching to or beyond the anterior hepatic spine when laid back. Penultimate joints of legs cylindrical, not dilated ... ........Zeuna.

Naxta (Microhadimus) Drflexifrons, Meqswell.
(Plate $x$, figs. 1—4).
Microhatimus deflexifions, Haswell, Proc. Lime. Soc. N. S. Wales, iv., 1880 , p. 435, pl. xxv., fig. 2, and Cat. Austr. Crust., 1882, p. 7. Id., Whitelegge, Proc. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxiii., 1889, p. 225. Ifl., Fulton and Grant, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xix, (n.s.), 1906, p. 16.
Carapace subpyriform, the regions well defined and the surface uneven but smooth; together with the legs, it is more or less densely covered with hooked hairs, among which are groups of stronger, curled ones. Branchial regions with two short but strong lateral spines followed by a tubercle; a second smaller tubercle may be present anteriorly, above the first spine. A rounded tubercle on the hinder margin of the carapace on the median line, and another just in front of it. A low swelling is present on either side near the groove between the branchial and gastric regions, and the cardiac region may tend to form two

[^1]low tubercles. Gastric region greatly swollen, almost or quite smooth. Two prominent tubercles between the eyes. Rostrum more or less obliquely deflexed, more so in females than males, and formed of two rather thick, widely diverging spines, which are about as long as the distance from their base to the hinder orbital margin. Orbits without an anterior spine; posterior spine present and sometimes preceded by a very small notch. No post-orbital spine. ${ }^{12}$ Eyes of moderate length, and with a small, distal tubercle. Hepatic region with two diverging spines of which the anterior is much the larger and usually has a small tubercle on its front margin; latero-inferior surface with a small tubercle. Basal joint of the antenna much longer than broad with a long denticulate spine at its antero-exterior angle projecting obliquely forward, and visible from above; flagellum stout, nearly twice as long as the rostral horns and wholly visible from above. Merus of external maxilliped with its antero-exterior angle forming a rounded lobe, its front border slightly emarginate; flagellum articulated at the anterointernal angle. Pterygostomial regions each with a prominent tubercle, and there is a smaller one before each anterior angle of the buccal cavern.

Arm of cheliped with obscure tubercles of which the most prominent are two or three on the median line above; a large compressed distal spine or lobe. Wrist with an obtuse crest on the outer surface in the male, rounded in the female. Palm compressed, smooth, moch longer than broad, its edges rounded; fingers rather long and slender though shorter than the palm, curved, finely denticulated and acutely pointed. Ambulatory legs of moderate size, decreasing in length backwards. The penultimate joints only very slightly dilated and provided with long, coarse, curved sete on their lower surfaces; dactyli rather long, curved, with a double row of spinules on their inner margins.

Abdomen of the male consisting of seven segments, of the female, five.

Described from six specimens, one male 12 mm . long being the specimen figured, and five females $14-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

This species is allied to Naxia tumida, Dana, from which it may be readily recognised by having the peuultimate joints of the ambulatory legs much less dilated and the gastric region smooth, not tubercular.

[^2]Hab.-Haswell's original specimens were collected in Port Jackson and are the only ones I have seen. Fulton and Grant recorded the species from Port Phillip, Western Port, and Wilson Promontory, bat the only specimens labelled il. defexitions in Mr. Grant's collection, which was purchased by the Trustees, are really $N$. tumidn, so that those records possibly refer to that species.

## (ienus Znwa, ${ }^{13}$ gene now.

Carapace subpyriform, convex, either tuberculate or smooth, without long spines. Rostrum formed of wo diverging spines. Eye-stalks long, partially retractile towards the sides of the carapace. No true orbit: supraocular eave with a spine at its hinder angle; no true postocular spine, but a flattened one projects forwards from the hepatic region which is not cupped and affords little shelter to the eye when retracted. Basal antemal joint of molerate breadth, its antero-external angle a little produced outwards; Hagellum visible from above. Merus of external maxillipeds it little broader than the ischium, expanded at the antero-external angle, and bearing the palp at the antero-internal angle. Chelipeds of alult male enlarged. The first pair of ambulatory legs the longest. Penultimate joints of all the Jegs cylindrical, not expanded distally. Abrtomen of the male composed of soven distinct sesments.

Type-Z. banfeldi, sp. nov. Also includes l'sendomicippe varicus, Miers.

This genus is allied to Psendomicippe, Heller, from which it differs in the fommation of tho orbits, there boing no large antero-superior lobe as in that genus, while the hinder angle is produced as a shomp spine. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}}$, differs from Naxia, Latreille (Halimus, Auct.) in having no large spines on the carapace and in the penultimate joints of the legs being cylindrical instead of dilated distally.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Zewa banfledid, sp. hov. } \\
\text { (Plate x., tigs. 5-6). }
\end{gathered}
$$

Carapace elongate-triangular, the regions fairly well defined, the surface uneven and rough with large tubercles. A very

[^3]prominent tubercle above each orbit. Gastric region with four strong ones on the median line and two others on either side. Cardiac region surmounted by two pairs of small tubercles, between which and a sharp intestinal one is a still smaller pair. A large tubercle in the hollow between the gastric and branchial regions, while many others are present on the hepatic, branchial, and hinder regions of the carapace. Rostral horns a little de flexed, cylindrical, their length equal to rather more than onethird the rest of the carapace Anterior hepatic (post-orbital) spine directed obliquely forwards, broad distally, followed by a small tubercle; a large infero-lateral and one or iwo posterolateral hepatic tubercles.

Antennal flagella stout, a little longer than the rostral horns and wholly visible from above. Antero-external angle of the merus of the maxillipeds forming a broadly rounded lobe. Pterygostomial regions each with a large external tubercle, and there is another in front of each anterior angle of the buccal cavern.

Anterior segment of the sternum of the male with two raised ridges diverging backwards, ending in small tubercles, and parallel with the margins; each of the three following segments bears several tubercles. Abdomen with a large median tubercle on each segment, the fifth joint narrowest, the last broadly triangular.

Arm of the chelipeds with two or three tubereles on the median line above and a compressel distal lohe. Wrist with an ohtuse crest on its outer surface. Pahm a hatle swoilen, longer than hroad, and smooth; fingers pointed, finely denticulated, slightly gaping posteriorly. All the joints with long, conrs. scattered seta. Walking legs long and slender, decreasing in length backwards; all the joints except the dactyli, are cylindrical and are provided with stiff sete, among which are groups of curled setre on their upper surfaces. The penultimate joints hase also numerous long and strong setie on their lower surfaces. Dactyli lons and curved, with a double row of spinule on their inner margins.

Mab.-Described from a single male specimen, 29 mm . Jons including the rostrum, from Dunk Island, near Cairns, Queensland. It is named after its collector, Mr. E. J. Banfield, tu whom the 'Trustees are indebted for many ratities of both Crustacea and fish.

Zewa varians, Miers.
l'seudomicippe? carians, Miers, Am, Mag. Nat. Hist., (5), if., 1879, p. 12, 口l. iv., fig. 8, also Zool. "Alert," 1884, p. 197, and "Challenger" Rept., Zool., xvii., 1886, p. 68. Kd., Ortmann, Zool. Forsch. Austr., v., 1894, p. 40. Id., Calman, Trans. Limm. Soc., (2), viii., 1900, p. 39, pl. ii., figs. 25-20.

Both Miers and Calman have expressed doubts as to this species being correctly referred to Peutomicippe, though their opinion was not shared by Ortmamn. I consider that it belongs to the same genus as my Zewa banfeldi, differing from Pseadomicippe in the general form of the anterion portion of the carapace and particularly in the structure of the orbits.

I have examined two specimens, the first a female with a carapace, including the rostral horns, 18 mm . long, from Thursday Island; the second is a small male, 10 mm . long, collected at Dank Tsland by Mr. E. J. Banfield. The species is also recorded from Port Denison, Queensland ; Torres Strait; and Shark Bay, Western Australia. Miss Rathbon has kindly reexamined the Port Jacksoti specimen received from this Museum as $P^{\prime}$. varians, ${ }^{1 \pm}$ and informs me that it is really Micippoides lomgimanus, Haswell, so that $Z$. varians is probably contined to the tropics.

Tumulosternem, gen. nov.

> (lig. 45).

Carapace triangular, with tubercles and short tubercular spines; the regions fairly well delimited. Rostrum formed of two short broad spines, which are a little oblique in the male, and more so in the female. Eyestalks short, each retractile against a stout, angular post-ocular spine, which is somewhat excavated to receive it; no preocular spine, hinder angle of supraoculat eave produced outwards. Basal antennal joint broad, bilobed anteriorly and deeply grooved on the lower surface. External maxillipeds deeply sculptured, the merus as wide as the ischium, with a broad external lobe; palp articulated at antero-internal angle. Pterygostomian and hepatic regions with large upstanding flat-topped tubercules, of which the two largest are on the side of the hepatic regions, and are visible from above.

[^4]Legs rather short and thick; chelipels very large in the male with broal, flattened palms.

Abdomen consisting of seven segments in both sexes.

Type. - Micippoides longimanus, Haswell (fig. 45).

When describing $M$. longimanus, Haswell recognised that it was probably distinct from Micippoides, A. Milue Edwards, while Miss Rathbun has recently informed me that she also thinks that it does not belong to that genus. It differs in the form of


Fig. 45. the basal antennal joint and the structure of the orbit, while Micippoides also lacks the flattened tubercles which are so characteristic of longimanus.

Gonatorhynchus tumidus, /Icsecell.
(Fig. 46).
Gonatorhynchus tumidus, Haswell, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, iv., 1880 , p. 437 , pl. xxv.,


Fig. 46. fig. 4, and Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (5), v., 1880, p. 145 ; Id., Haswell, Cat. Austr. Crust., 1882, p. 10. Id., Miers, "Challenger" Rept., Zool., xvii., 1886, p. 25. Id., Fulton and Grant, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xix. (1.s.), 1906, p. 16.

A large female specimen, 33 mm. long, is in the Museum from South-western Australia, which was collected hy Mr. A. Abjornssen. The species is known from Port Jackson and Port Phillip.

Eruma, gen. noz.
( Fig .47 ).
Carapace triangular, smooth, the regions well defined. Rostrum formed of two rather short spines, obliquely deflexed. Eyestalks long, retractile against


Fig. 47. the sides of the caramace when they are partly hidden from above by the two postocular spines; no preocular spine, hinder angle of the supraocular eave produced outwards. Basal antenmal joint broad with the antero-external angle greatly produced, visible from above; a very small spine near the antero-internal angle. Merus of extemal maxillipeds as wide as the ischium, the antero-external angle scarcely expanded; palp articulated at the antero-intenal angle.

Legs rather short and thick, the propodus and dactylus of each with a small raised buttress fitting against the overlapping lobes of the preceding joint. Chelipeds not much enlarged in the male.

Abdomen consisting of seven segments in both sexes.
Type.-l'oremiciqua hispida, Baker.

Empar mopidum, Bnker.
( Fig .48 ).
l'aramicipma hespidu, Baker, Tmans. Roy. Soc. S. Austr., xxix., 1905, p. 126 , pl. xxir, fig. 6, 6a.
Two specimens, a male and female, are in the Musemm which were received from Mr. W. Baker as his P'. hispida from South Australia. They differ so much in some important details from his figures that 1 have re-figured them here.

Mr. Baker compared his species with I'. tuberculose, Milne Edwards, which is the type of the genus I'oromicippa and belongs to the subfamily Mainte, but it appears to me to belong
to the Inachine and is closely allied to Gonatorhynchus, Haswell. I have therefore proposed the new genus Eruma for it as above.


Fig. 43.

## Subfamily MAIIN.玉.

Paramicippa tuberculosa, Milue Edwards.
Paramicippa tuberculosa, Mine Edwards, Hist. Nat. Crust., i., 1834, p. 333. Icl., Baker, Trans. Roy. Soc. S. A ustr., xxix., 1905, p. 125. Id., Fulton and Grant, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict. (n.s.), xix., 1906, p. 17.

Micippa parvirostris, Micrs, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (5), iv., 1879, p. 13, pl. iv., fig. 9. Id., Haswell, Cat. Austr. Crust., 1882, p. 23.

Acting on information written on the label of the type specimen of Micippa parvirostris in the British Museum, Fulton and Grant referred to that species as $P$. tuberculosa in their Census of the Victorian Decapod Crustacea, though they did not give their reasons for doing so. Baker also did the same without 22
explanation. I therefore forwarded a specimen of $M$. parvirostris to the Paris Museum for comparison with the type of $P$. tuberculosa, and Professor I. E. Bouvier has very kindly informed me that it is undoubtedly identical with that species.

## Family PARTHENOPIDA.

Ceratocahoinus dilatatus, A. Milne Edvards.
Ceratocarcinus dilatatus, A. Milne Edwards, Nouv. Arch. Mus. Paris, viii., 1872, p. 256, pl. xiv., fig. 2.

A fine female example, dredged near Murray Island, Torres Strait, agrees very well with the figure quoted. Neither the genus nor the species appear to have been previously recognised from Australia.

> Funily PAGURIDÆ.

Paguristes squamosus, sp. nov.
(Fig. 49).
Paguristes barbatus, Whitelegge, Proc. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxiii., 1889, p. 232. Id., Stead, Zoologist, 1898, p. 208 (? not Clibanarius barbatus, Heller).

Campace smootls only towards the centre, otherwise rough with irregular pits and furrows; frontal region hollowed out above with some larger and smaller elevations. Sides of the arapace hairy, while scattered tufts are present on the rougher parts above. Rostrum triangular, projecting well beyond the antero-lateral angles, each of which bears a minute spine; the interspaces between them and the rostrum are excavated and lave thick raised edges. Eye-stalks rather slender, their length equal to about two-thirds the width of the anterior portion of the carapace, a trifle longer than the antemular peduncle. Ophthalmic scales large, bi- or trifid at the tip. Basal portion of antennal acicle broad, densely setose, with $3-4$ external, and 1 internal spine; anterior portion styliform, hairy, with $2-3$ strong spines on its imer and outer horders, reaching almost to the end of the pedumele. Flagellam extending to the tips of the uhelipeds.

Chelipeds subequal, densely covered with hair, especially on the edges where it is long and felted, and completely hides the characters beneath it. Arms with some spines along their lower edges, and two or more above. Tmner border of wrist with about four strong black tipped spines; remaining portions of upper surface densely spiny, the spines changing to


Fig. 49.
more or less squaniform tubercles anteriorly; lower and inner surfaces smooth, a small spine at the lower articulation with the haud. Hand with three strong black-tipped spines in a line with those of the carpus; outer surface with irregular rows of spines, upper surface with squamiform tubercles which have crenulated edges and are fringed with hairs. Fingers with similar tubercles, the upper also with spines; their cutting
edges are crenulate and have broad black horny tips, and meet atong their whole length. Imer surfaces of hands a litile rough, with tufts of sete.

Second and third legs reaching beyond the chelipeds, with long felted hairs along their upper and lower borders. All the legs are similar. Upper border of the merus with a few weak spines which are mosi distinci on the third pair. Carpus with strong spines ahove, and a very distinct groove on the hinder surface which abso extends on to the propodus and dactylns. Anterior faces of the last two joints with lroad squamiform tubercles which have crenulate and hairy margins like those of the chelipeds. Dactylus broad and longer than the penultimate joint, with a black terminal spine, and some smabler ones on the upper and lower edges noar it.

Colour.-Whitish in spirits. In life, pink with pale brownish hairs; the rougher parts more or less tinted with green. Spines on chelipeds madder brown. Eye-stalks green. Antennules, antemme, and hlird maxillipeds with alternating brown and white rings. Abdomen translucent grey and white, caudal appendages white.

I have examined the specimens which Whitelegge doubtfully identified as Pagaristes barbatus, Meller, and find them to be identical with those described above. I consider that they differ from that species in having the upper surface of the hand covered with squamiform tubercles. Neither Heller nor Ortmann mention any such tubercles in their description of $l^{2}$. barbatus, whereas they are so distinct in all that I have seen that it is not likely that they would have been overlooked. Mr. Stead has enabled me to examine the specimens which he recorded as $P$. barbatus, but I regard them also as distinct from that species.

Type. - A male, with a carapace 19 mm . long, from Maroubra, near Sydiey. Others are in the Australian Musem from the same locality which are somewhat larger; Whitelegge's specimens were from several localities in lort Jackson and on the coast near Sydney.

Paguristes imabatus, Meller.
Clibanarius barbatus, Heller, Varh \%ool, bot. Ges. Wien., 1862 , p. 524, and Reise "Novara", Crust., 1865, p. 90, pl. vii., fig. $\overline{\text {. }}$ Id., Miers, Cat, Crast. N. Kealam, 1876, p. 67. Id, Filhol, Miss. l'ile Camplell, iii., 1886, Crust., p. 424. Id., Thomson, Trans. N. Z. Inst., xxxi., 1898, p. 172.
l'uguristes barbatecs, Henderson, "Challenger" Rept., Zool. xxvii., 1886, p. 78. Id., Ortmam, Zool. Jahrb., vi., 1892, p. 279 , pl. xii., fig. 7.

Henderson was the first to indicate that Clibunarius bubutus, Heller, was really a Puguristes when he compared it with his $P$. subpilosus, but Ortmann has since described Japancse specimens, which he identifies as Heller's speeies, and gives his reasons for placing it in Paguristos.

Heller's type was said to have been taken at Auckland, New Zealand, while Miers identified specimens in the British Musemm as C. barbatus from the same locality. Thomson, however, states that these are the only records of its occurrence in New Kealand, and that it has not been again collected there; as many of the "Novara" localities were incorrect, abd as but little reliance can be phaced on Miers' identification, it may be that P'. barbatus is not a New Zealand species.

L have shown (conte) that the specimens from New South Wales, which were identified by Whitelegge and Stead as $P^{\prime}$. ba' batus, are not that species, lut $P$. squamosus. Lacas ${ }^{15}$ recorided a specimen of Heller's species from Port Phillip, Victoria, but the late Mr. F. E. Grant, accotding to his notes, believed that it was really $P^{\prime}$. sulcatus, Baker. If this is correct, as $T$ think probable, the true $l^{\prime}$. barbatus has not yet been recognised from Australia.

## Paguristes rugil, sp, noz.

(Fig. 50).
Proguristes, sp., Whitelegge, Proc. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxiii., 1889, p. 232, sp. 361.
Frontal region hollowed out as in $P^{P}$. squamosus. Sides of carapace hairy, upper parts with scattered tufts. Rostrum elongate triangular, projecting well beyond the antero-lateral angles, each of which forms a minute spinule; the interspaces between them and the rostrum are excavated and have thick raised edges. Eye-stalks slemler, their length equal to the width of the carapace, a trifte longer than the antemular peduncles. Ophthalmic scales large, bi- or trifid at tip. Basal portion of antennal acicle with 2-3 external and 1-2 internal spinules; anterior portion styliform, tomentose, with several strong spines on their inner and outer borders, reaching to the anterior third

[^5]of the peduncle. Flagellum not nearly reaching the tips of the chelipeds.

Chelipeds sub-equal, densely covered with felted hair which is longest on the outer edges and largely hides the characters beneath it. Arms with a row of spiniform tubercles along each lower edge, those of the imer the most prominent ; two spinules


Fig. 50.
are present on the upper anterior margin, and some smaller ones on the ridge hehimd the distal constriction. Inner border of wrist with four strong black-tipped spines, while other smaller ones cover the upher surface; a very large rounded boss over the upper articnlation with the hand. Lower and inner surfaces smooth, only a small spimale at the lower anterior articulation. Hand with three strong hack-tipped spines in a line with those of the wrist; outer surface thickly set with
spiniform tubercles, which become squamiform with crenulate and hairy edges on the upper face. Fingers similar to the hand; they have black tips, and leave a narrow gap between them when closed. Inner face of the hand swollen, with tufts of bristles.

Second and third legs reaching well beyond the chelipeds, with long felted hairs along their upper and lower borders. All the legs are alike, but the armature of the hinder pairs is weaker than that of the front. Upper and lower borders of the merus with some very indistinct tubercles, which are largest in the third pair. Carpus with large spines above, and a very deep sulcus behind which also extends on to the propodus and dactylus. Anterior faces of the last two joints with broad squamiform tubercles which have crenulate, hairy edges, like those of the chelipeds; both are rather longer than in $P$. squamosus, and the dactylus is a little longer than the propodus. It terminates in a black spine and there are some small ones on the edges near the tip.

Colour.-Whitish in spirits, the hairs pale brown.
This species is very similar to $P$. squamosus but is characterised by the large rounded bosses on each wrist. It has also more slender legs and chelipeds than that species, and the eyestalks are longer.

Type and Localities.-A male, with a carapace 11 mm . long, from Watson Bay, Port Jackson. Another smaller specimen from the same locality is also in the museum collection, while Mr. J. Gabriel has also sent me three others which he dredged in Port Phillip, Victoria.

## Paguristes tuberculatus, Whitelegge.

(Fig. 51).
Clibanarius, sp., Whitelegge, Proc. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxiii, 1890, p. 232 , No. 359.
Paguristes tuberculatus, Whitelegge, Mem. Austr. Mus., iv., 1900, p. 169, figs. 11, $11 \alpha$.
The Trustees have received from Mr. C. T. Harrison a fine large male of this species which he collected in the estuary of the Derwent River, Tammania. It is more than twice the size of Whitelogge's specimens, the carapace being 11.5 mm . long, which in the type is scarcely 5 mm . It differs from the type
only in having the dactylus of the third left leg more distinctly hollowed out behind, and the posterior margin prominent and provided with tubercles like that of the propodus. As Whitelegge's figures only show portions of the animal I take this opportunity of giving a complete figure of the Tasmanian specimen.

lig. 51.
The specimen from off Port Jackson which Whitelegge identified as Clibanarias, sp., in a shell of Turvitello goomi, is still in the Museum collection, and is really Payuristes tuberculatus. Four others from near Albany, West Australia, are in the Australian Museum (Coll. A. Aljornssen).

While withdrawing a number of the "Thetis" specimens from their shells for their better preservation, I noticed that
almost every shell had a colony of Polyzoa growing around its mouth. It is possibly a species of Cellepora, and the fact that it is the same on all leaves little doubt that the association of the two animals is not accidental. Similar growths occurred on the shells of specimens from Wreck Bay, New South Wales, 20 fathoms (Coll. O. Hedley).

Paguristes aciculus, Girant.
Paguristes aciculus, Grant, Proc. Limn. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxx., 1905 , p. 319, pl. xi., figs. 3, 3a.
Having re-examined the type of $P$. aciculus I find that several important characters have not been quite correctly described by Gtant. He also makes no mention of a row of minute spinules on the raised margin behind the anterior constriction on the arms of the chelipeds. The merus joints of the anterior ambulatory legs lave spines along their lower margins; they are described as being without spines. The dactyli also have a row of very fine spimules along their supero-internal angles, which, however, are not easily distinguished among the long hairs. The armature of the second ambulatory legs is not nearly so strong as that of the first.

In the figure the legs are drawn as viewed obliguely from above, so that the joints appear more slender than they really are. Tho antennal peduncles are too long, they boing slightly shorter instead of longer than the eye-stalks.

The following is a key to the Australian species of P'aguristes represented in the Australian Museum:-
a. Left cheliped much larger than the right.
b. Hands and fingers with rows of large compound tubercles, interspaces smooth ......tuberculatus.

> bb. Hands and fingers closely covered with very small
> granules
> frontalis.
ac. Chelipeds sub-equal.
c. Dactyli of legs stout, their anterior faces and those of the propodi with squamiform tubercles having hairy edges.
d. Chelipeds and legs with long felted hair which hides the characters beneath it; upper face of hand with squamiform tubercles.
e. Wrist with a large anterior rounded boss...pugil.
ee. Wrist without such a boss squamosus.
$d d$. Hair on chelipeds and legs, though thick and long, not hiding the characters beneath it; upper surface of hand with spines......sulcatus.
cc. Dactyli slender; propodi and dactyli without squamiform tubercles on their anterior faces.
$f$. Spines on hand numerons and evenly distributed over the whole upper surface .aciculus. ff. Spines on hand fewer, upper surface with broad smooth interspaces. $\qquad$ hians.

## Pagurus lacertosus, Menderson.

Eupagurus lacertosus, Henderson, "Challenger" Rept., Zooi., xxvii., 1888, p. 63, pl. vi., fig. 7. Id., Grant in Sayce, Vict. Nat., xviii., 1902, p. 155.
The only record of this species in Australian waters is that of the late Mr. F. E. Grant, who dredged it off Queenseliff, in Port Phillip, together with its variety nana, Henderson. As the typical form is a deep-water species, having been taken in 275 fathoms off New Zealand, Grant's identification needs confirmation. Seven specimens, received from Professor J. Thomson Flynn, were dredged in 40.60 fathoms outside Schouten Island, Tasmania, and others are in the Museum collection from 100 fathoms, five miles east of Cape Pillar, Tasmania. The latter were dredged by Messrs. Hedley and May in 1907, together with many other invertebrates on a firm bottom of sand, pebbles and shells.

Clibanarius virescers, Krouss.
(Plate xi., fig. 2).

P'agurus virescens, Kruass, Südafrik. Crust., 1843, p. 50, pl.í., fig. 3.
Clibanarius virescens?, Dana, Wilkes U.S. Explor. Exped., Crust., i., 1852, p. 466 , pl. xxix., figs. 6a.b.
Clibenarias virescens, de Man, Journ. Limn. Soc., Zool., xxii., 1888, p. 247. Id., Whitelesge, Mem. Austr. Mus., iii., 1897, p. 143. Inl, Grant and McCulloch, Proc. Limn. Soc. N. S. Walles, xaxi, $1: 06$, p. 34.

Clibanarius, sp., Whitelegge, Proc. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxiii., 1890, p. 232, No. 358.

Anterior portion of carapace much longer than broad, almost smooth, but with more or less numerous minute pits ; a few tufts of long setae on the sides and behind the cervical groove. Rostrum triangular, acute projecting a little beyond the antennal angles, which are broad and without terminal spines. Eye-stalks slender, as long as or longer than the width of the anterior portion of the carapace, and a trifle longer than the antemnular peduncles. Ophthalmic scales close together, their outer margins rounded and finely denticulated. Antenna scales with long seta; their bases each with a single external spine, and five or six on the anterior portions, which reach to or slightly beyond the penultimate joints of the peduncles. Flagellum reaching well beyond the chelipeds.

Chelipeds equal and similar, with very long setae. No spines on the arm above, but one or two at their infero-extemal extremities; lower internal margin with a row of spiniform tubercles, the inner and outer surfaces with slightly raised white prominences of varying size, which are largest anteriorly. Wrist with three spines on its upper margin increasing in size forwards; outer surface with or without one or two pointed tubercles and a more or less striking white spot. Hands and fingers with large upstanding spines, white in colour, some with darker tips, Fingers slightly gaping, with large black horny tips.

Legs of the left side shorter than, but otherwise almost simplar to, those of the right. The meropodites have one or two spindles at the infero-xtermal extremities. The carpopodites have each a strong distal spine, and the propodites are only armed with some small denticulations on their lower extremities; that of the hinder left leg is shorter and thicker than the others, and has a distinct, somewhat tubercular ridge separating the upper and outer surfaces. The dactylopodites are usually considerably shorter than the preceding joints, and are tipped with a strong black, curved spine; there are six or seven rather strong spinule in a row along the lower surface.

Colour--Legs, chelipeds and other appendages greenish or olive -brown, the dactgli white or yellowish. Carapace lighter, only the anterior angles brownish. Eyes with a white ring just before the cornea. Antenatal flagellum blue. All the spines and roughnesses of the chelipeds are white or yellowish, as is the greater part of the fingers. The propodites of the legs have a
broad dark terminal band following a small white distal spot; the dactyli may have a median darker band, but this is often enticely wanting.

IIab.--Whe specinen figured is a male from Cairns Reof, off Cooktown, Queensland, with a carapace 12 mm . long. Many other specimens are in the Australian Museum from the following localities: Punafuti, Ellice Group; New Caledonia; Lom Howe Island, south Pacific; Queensland, various localities from Marray Island, Torres Strait to Port Curtis; Port Jackson; Western Australia.

I am indelter to Miss M. J. Rathbun for a copy of Krauss' description and ligures of this species, while she has also examined dastralian specimens for me and agrees that they are C. virescens.

Ccmanamus stmomanes, White。
P'egurus striginutnus, White, Proc. Zool. Soc., 18ti, p. 131.
Pagurus aculeatur, Hihne Edwards, Am, sci. Nat., Kool. (3), x., $1818, \mathrm{p} .62$.
Ctibatarizs strigimanus, Miers, Zool. "Trebus" aml "Terror," Ctust., 1874, p, 3, pl. ii., fig. 4.
 were identical, 1 forwarded a specimen of the former from Western Port, Victoria, to Professor L. E Wowier for comparison with Milne Edwards' ype, which came from the same locaity. He hats kindly looked into the matter, and informs me that the type no longer exists in the Paris Museum, it heing probably lost in the confusion cansed by the war in 1870. He agrees with me, however, that the description of $I^{\prime}$. raculeatus fits the specimen very well, and that the name should be considered a synonym of $P^{\prime}$. strigimanas.

Clibanarios striolatus, Bance (9).
Clibancrius striulatus, Dana, Wilkes U. S. Explor. Exped., Crust., i., $185^{2}$ : p. 463, !l. xxix., figs. 3-3a. Id., Haswell, Cat. Austr. Crust., 1882, p. 159. Itl., Alcock, Cat. Ind. Dec. Crust., pt. ii., 1905, p. 46, pl. iv., fig. 7.
A single damaged specimen from Western Australia in the Australian Museum is either (C. striolatus, Dana, or C'. padacensis, de Man. The former has already been recorded from

Australia by Haswell, while there are Australian specimens of C. padavensis in the Australian Museum from Murray Island, Torres Strait (Coll. Hedley and McCulloch, Aug., 1907) ; Cooktown, Queensland (Coll. Hedley and MeCCulloch, Aug., 1906); Hood Bay, New Guinea ; and New Caledonia.

Chibanamius teniatus, Milue Edwards.
(Plate xi., fig. 1).
Pagurus alibantrius, Quoy and Gaimard, Voy. "Uranie and Physicionne," 1825 , p. 529 , pl. lxxviii., fig. 1 (not Herbst).
Pagurus temiatus, Milne Edwards, Ann. Sci. Nat., Kool. (3), x., 1848, p. 63.
Clibanarius teniatus, Stimpson, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philad., 1858, p. 235. Id., Miers, Zool. "Alert," 1884, p. 265. Id., de Man, Notes Leyd. Mus., xii., 1890, p. 113. Id., Grant and McCulloch, Proc. Linu. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxxi., 1906, p. 34.

Althongh the original figure of this species shows the characteristic colour marking, it is very imperfect in other details, so I take this opportunity of figuring a specimen from Cooktown.

Hub.--The specimen described by Quoy and Gaimard was collected in Shark Bay, Western Australia. Others are in the Australian Museum from North Australia; Mapoon, Gulf of Carpentaria (Coll. C. Hedley, 1903); Cooktown, Queenslaud (Coll. Hedley and McCulloch, 1906) ; Holborn Is., near Pt. Denison (Coll. W. A. Haswell); Rat Is., Pt. Curtis (Coll. McCulloch, 1909) ; Masthead Is., off Pt. Curtis (Coll. F. E. Grant, 1905) ; Port Hacking, New South Wales. The specimen recorded by Whitelegge ${ }^{1 \text { a }}$ from Pleasant Island, Central Pacific, is not this species, but C. eurystermus, Hilgendorf.

## Clibanarius eurystrinus, Ifilgendorf.

Pagurus (Clibanarius) ewrysternus, Hilgendorf, Monatsber. Alkad. Wiss. Berlin, 1878, p. 822, pl. iii., figs. 9-10.
Hab.--I collected six specimens of this species at Murray Island, Torres Strait, in shells of Strombus luhuamus, Liune; it has not been previously recognised from Australia. The chatacteristic dark lines on the carapace and legs are much more striking than in Hilgendorf's figure.

[^6]Chibanamles coradilinus, Milue Edwards.
Pagurus corallinus, Milne Edwards, Ann. Sci. Nat., Zool., (3), x., 1848, p. 63.

Clibanarins corallinus, Borradaile, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1898, p. 463. Id., Alcock, Cat. Ind. Crust., pt. ii., 1905, p. 48, pl. v., fig. i.
Clibanarius cruentatus, Whitelegge, Mem. Austr. Mus., iii., 1897, p. 143. Le., Grant and McCullooh, Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S. Wales, xxxi., 1906, p. 33 (not C. cruentatus, M. Eiw.).

Having examined Whitelegge's Funafuti specimens, and those determined by Grant and myself as $C$. cruentatus, I find they are not that species, but are C. corallinus. The differences between the two are clearly shown in Alcock's splendid paper. Other specimens of $l^{\prime}$. corallinus are in the Museum collection from Murray Island, Torres Strait (Coll. Hedley and McCulloch, Aug. 1906) and from the Solomon Islands.

Ours being the only record of $P^{\prime}$. cruentatus from Australia, that species must be struck off the Australian list.

Clibanarius infraspinatus, Mitgeudor:
(Fig. 52).
Clibanarius injoarpinatus (Hilgendorf), de Man, Journ. Linn. Soc., xxii., 1888, p. 237. Id., Ortmann, Zool. Jahrb.Syst., vi., 1892, p. 290. Id., Alcock, Cat. Ind. Crust., pt. ii., 1905, p. 44.

A fine series of this species was collected by Mr. C. Hedley, at Mapoon, in the Gulf of Carpentaria, which I have compared with specimens from Tavoy, India, received from the Indian Museum. Ortmann has recorded a specimen from Sydney, but it is a tropical species, and this locality is almost certainly incontect; it has not been taken here by any Australian collectors.

As no figure of this species appears to have heen published, I take this opportmity of illustrating my largest specimen from Mapoon. Its carapace is 37 mm . long.


Fig. 52.

Key to Australian species of Clibararius.
a. Dactylus of third $\log$ as long as, or shorter than the propodus.
b. General colour dark red, legs spotted with yellow. Chelipeds and legs thickly hirsute, especially the propodus of third left leg ..........corallinus.
bb. General colour greenish, fingers aud dactyli whitish. Chelipeds and legi normal, not thickly hirsute
...virescens.
aa. Dactylus of third leg longer than the propodus.
c. Inner faces of hands with raised, file-like stridulating surfaces. Redlish, legs with yellow spots
...strigimanues.
cc. Inner faces of hands withont stridulating surfaces. Legs longitudinally handed.
d. Carapace remarkably flattened. Carapace and all its appendages with conspicuous, dark, longitudinal bands
eurysternus.
$d d$. Carapace normal, not remarkably flattened.
$e$. Eye-stalks shorter than the antemular peduncles. Arms of chelipeds with a prominent tubercle or obtuse spine below...............infraspinatus.
ee. Eye-stalks as long as or longer than antennular peduncles.
f. Spines on chelipeds very large, wrist with several strong spines. Carapace as well as legs with striking colour bands ........... .tteniatus.
. $l$. Spines on chelipeds smaller, wrist with only $1-2$ spines. Markings on the carapace indefinite or absent.
g. Hands about twice as long as broad. Rostrum but little more prominent than antennal angles. Eye-stalks as long as front of carapace
...striotatus.
gy. Hands more than twice as long as broad. Rostrum more prominent than antemal angles. Eyestalks longer than front of carapace
...padevensis.

Petrolisthes elongatus, Milue filluards.
Petrolisthes elongatus (Milne Edwards), Miers, Cat. Crust. N. Kealand, 1876, p. 60. Id., Haswell, Cat. Austr. Crust., 1882 , p. 146 (after 11 iers ).
Hab.-According to Miers, this common New Zealand species occurs rarely on the Australian coast. As there does not appear to be any other reference in which a definite Anstralian bocality is assigned to it, I take this opportunity of recording specimens received from Professor $J$. Thomson Vlynn, who collected them in the estuary of the Derwent liver, Tasmania, where the species is very common.
(Fig. 53).
Porcellana boscii (Andouin), de Man, Journ. Limn. Soc., Zool., $\mathrm{xxii} ., 1888$, p. 217.
Petrolisthes rugosus (Mihe Edwards), Miers, Zool. "Alert.," 1884, p. 270.
Petrolisthes boscii, Henderson, Trans. Linn. Soc. (2), v. 1893, p. 427. Td., Ortmam, Zool. Jahrb., x., 1897, p 284.

Hob.--As I' mgosms, Miers has already recorded this species from North Australia. The specimen figured is from Port Hediand, North Western Australia.


Fig. 53.

## EXPLANATMON OF PLATE X.

Fig. 1. Noxik (Microhainux) deflexifrons, Haswell.
,, 2. Naxie (Micohedimus) deferifroms, Haswell. Lower surface of cephalothotax.
,, 3. Noxia Microhatimusi deflecifions, Hanwell. Side view of campace of fomate.
, 4. Navie (Microhnlimht) dexeatrom, Haswell. Side view of carapace of male.
,. 5. Zewe bemfieldi, MeCulloch.
, 6. Zera hantiohd, MeGullooh. Lower surface.

A. R. McCULI,OCH, del,

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE XI.

Fir. 1. Oldonarins tematus, Milne Edwards.
2. Clibanarius virescens, Kuanss.


[^0]:    ${ }_{5}^{5}$ Heller-Sitzb. Akad. Wiss. Wien., xliii., i. 1861, p. 301, pl. i., fig. 3.
    ${ }^{6}$ Miers-Journ. Linn. Soc., Zool., xiv., 1879, p. 661.
    7 Ortmann-Zool. Forsch. Austr, v., 1894, p. 39.
    ${ }^{8}$ Miers-Zool. "Alert," 1884, p. 198, and "Chall." Rept., Zool., xvii. 1886, p. 68.

    - Dana-Wilkes U.S. Explor. Exped., Crust., i., 1852, p. 165, pl. iv., figs. 2a-d.
    10 Hess-Arch. Nat., xxxi., 1865, p. 129, pl. vi., fig. 1.

[^1]:    11 Latreille-see ante.

[^2]:    ${ }^{12}$ I consider that the second posterior orbital spine mentioned by Haswell belongs to the hepatic region.

[^3]:    13 "Zewa," a name for a crab in the Mirian languge, Torres Strait.

[^4]:    ${ }^{14}$ Rathbun-Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., xvi., 1893 , pp. 67 and 92.

[^5]:    15 Lucas-Proc. Loy. Soc. Vict., xxii., ISs6 p. 62

[^6]:    1; Whitelegge-Rec. Austr. Mus., v., 1903, p. 11.

