TWO NEW FRESHWATER CRABS IN AUSTRALOCARCINUS DAVIE, WITH REMARKS ON TROGLOPLACINAE GUINOT AND GONEPLACIDAE MACLEAY (CRUSTACEA:DECAPODA:BRACHYURA)

P.J.F. DAVIE & D. GUINOT

Davie, P.J.F. & Guinot, D. 19960720: Two new freshwater crabs in *Australocarcinus* Davie, with remarks on Trogloplacinae Guinot and Goneplacidae Macleay (Crustacea:Decapoda:Brachyura). *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* **39**(2): 277-287. Brisbane. ISSN 0079-8835.

Two new species, A. kanaka and A. palauensis, of the previously monotypic Australocarcinus Davie are described from New Caledonia and Palau Islands, respectively. The three species are separated on dentition of the anterolateral margins. Direct development of the young without free-living stages is confirmed for A. kanaka. Australocarcinus is placed in the previously monotypic almost completely freshwater Trogloplacinae Guinot which is restricted to the tropical West Pacific. Their apparent closest relatives are marine, mostly deepwater Chasmocarcininae Serène (Gonoplacidae). Trogloplax has strong troglobitic adaptations and is presumed to have evolved from an Australocarcinus-like ancestor. The Trogloplacinae is separated from the Chasmocarcininae by structure of the antennular region, and differences in length and shape of the male gonopods. Brachyura, Goneplacidae, Australoplax, Trogloplax, freshwater.

P.J.F. Davie, Queensland Museum, P.O. Box 3300, South Brisbane, Queensland 4101, Australia; D. Guinot, Laboratoire de Zoologie (Arthropodes), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, 61 rue de Buffon, Paris cedex 05, France; 15 April 1996.

Trogloplax Guinot, 1986, was erected for a freshwater troglobitic crab from New Britain. Its type, *T. joliveti*, has a remarkable appearance, blind, and with many adaptive features for a cave environment. Because of its many peculiar features Guinot (1986) erected the Trogloplacinae, in the Goneplacidae for it.

Australocarcinus Davie, 1988, was established for a north Queensland estuarine species, A. *riparius* Davie, 1988, also peculiar in the combination of morphological characters, in particular the unusual sternal plate, gonopods, and putative direct development of the young.

While *Trogloplax* shared the unusual structure of male sternite 8, its strong troglobitic adaptations made it difficult to be sure if the two genera were closely related. Close comparison shows the 2 genera to be related in the Trogloplacinae. We provide a redefinition of the subfamily and better understanding of its relationships within the Goneplacidae.

Two additional species of Australocarcinus are described herein. One comes from a freshwater stream in northern New Caledonia and the second, from Palau, was discovered by P.J.F.D. amongst an unidentified collection of grapsids on loan from the USNM to Michael Türkay at the Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt. Also a large population of A. riparius from a freshwater rainforest stream in north Queensland is reported. This discovery, the records from freshwater of the New Caledonian species and the confirmation of direct development, show that trogloplacines are a very old freshwater group, probably derived from a marine ancestor, with at least *A. riparius*, showing estuarine tolerance. Jamieson & Guinot (1996) examine the ultrastructure of the spermatozoan of *A. riparius* and discuss possible generic relationships.

Abbreviations used in the text: c.b.=carapace width; G1,G2=male first and second gonopods; MNHN, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris; QM, Queensland Museum, Brisbane; SMF, Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt; USNM, United States National Museum, Washington.

Measurements given in the text are of the carapace breadth (measured at the widest point) followed by length. Leg segments were measured in a straight line to give maximum dorsal length, and so are not always the maximum possible length.

SYSTEMATICS

Family GONEPLACIDAE MacLeay, 1838 subfamily TROGLOPLACINAE Guinot, 1986

Trogloplacinae Guinot, 1986:307; 1987:25; 1988:22; 1994:167; Guinot & Geoffroy, 1987:18.



FIG. 1. Australocarcinus kanaka sp. nov., ♀ paratype, 11.1 x 9.5 mm (QMW21389), dorsal view. Scale line in mm.

DIAGNOSIS (emended from Guinot, 1986). Carapace rounded; sometimes poorly calcified; anterolateral margin cristate, entire or toothed; front with or without shallow median indentation, without latero-external notch. Eyes relatively small. Antennules folded completely into fosset. Antenna lying in orbital hiatus. Buccal frame quadrangular; third maxilliped wide, together almost completely closing buccal cavity; exopod broad, with flagellum. Sternal plate very broad, with all sutures interrupted; large part of sternite 8 exposed; a supplementary transverse suture in middle of sternite 8, parallel to suture 7/8, forming a supplementary plate. Sterno-abdominal cavity deep. Male abdomen with segments 3-5 fused. Abdominal locking mechanism in normal position. Vulvae of female very large, occupying position near extremity of sternal suture 5/6. Penis very long, lying in covered channel on sternite 8, only uncovered next to the coxa of P5: finally protruding as long soft papilla. Chelipeds with minor heterochely and heterodonty. G1 stout, moderately tapering, with an apical aperture; G2 about as long as G1, with flagellum about same length as peduncle.

REMARKS. The Trogloplacinae belong to the Heterotremata showing a coxosternal disposition

of the male sexual opening (Guinot, 1978, 1979a).

Australocarcinus Davie, 1988

Australocarcinus Davie, 1988:259.

TYPE SPECIES. *Australocarcinus riparius* Davie, 1988, by original designation.

DIAGNOSIS. Carapace smooth, glabrous, regions poorly defined; anterolateral margins convex, with or without rounded teeth, posterolateral margins subparallel, posterolateral facet delimited. Frontal margin shallowly sinuous, formed of 2 rounded lobes, moderately deflexed, without preorbital lobes or teeth; fronto-orbital border c. 0.5-0.6 times carapace width. Orbits small, unarmed, with slightly raised rim. Eyestalks short, moveable, with well developed corneas; completely retractable within orbit. Chelae robust, similar but one slightly larger. Legs long, slender, hirsute, second pair longest. Male abdomen with segments 3-5 fused, segment 3 expanded laterally, subequal in width to segment one, neither covering sternum between last pair of legs. Sternal segment 8 in male with a closed, invaginated channel carrying penis, such



FIG. 2. Australocarcinus kanaka sp. nov., 9 paratype, 11.1 x 9.5 mm (QMW21387), showing hatched megalopae under the abdomen. Scale line in mm.

that appears to be formed of 2 discrete plates; female of normal form. G1 stout, straight, tapering to simple apex; G2 as long as first, slender, narrowed in width over distal half, ending in simple apex.

Australocarcinus riparius Davie, 1988 (Fig. 7)

Australocarcinus riparius Davie, 1988:260, figs 1-3.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. QMW18234, $12\delta\delta$ (8.3x6.7-12.9x10.2 mm), $2\varphi\varphi(10.2x8.2, 11.3x$ 9.5mm), McIvor River at Isabella-McIvor road crossing, 15°07.2'S, 145°04.4'E, freshwater, under rocks in pools in drying river bed, fringing rainforest, DO₂ 0.9 ppm, altitude c. 30m, 18.11.1992, P. Davie & J. Short.

DESCRIPTION. See Davie (1988).

HABITAT. Freshwater and estuarine. The collection site at the McIvor River, is within rainforest at about 30m altitude, and they were found under rocks in pools in the drying river bed. Previous records were from estuaries where it is apparently common in salinities up to 20 p.p.t. (Davie, 1988).

DISTRIBUTION. This record extends the distribution 400km further north along the eastern Australian coast, from the Murray River, near Cardwell, and Hinchinbrook Island.

Australocarcinus kanaka sp. nov. (Figs 1-4, 6, 8)

MATERIAL EXAMINED. HOLOTYPE MNHNB25279, & (11.1 x 9.5 mm), Col d'Amoss, near Ouégoa, New Caledonia, in freshwater stream, 100 m altitude, 13.11.1993, R. Raven. PARATYPES QMW21387, 9 with megalopae (11.1 x 9.5 mm), data as for holotype. QMW21388, 3 (10.5 x 9.0 mm), 299 (12.0 x 10.0; 13.1 x 10.8 mm), data as for holotype. OMW21389, \Im (11.1 x 9.5 mm), \Im (6.4 x 5.5 mm), Col d'Amoss, near Ouégoa, New Caledonia, in freshwater stream, 100 m altitude, 13.05.1984, G. Monteith and D. Cook. QMW20577, & (3.6 x 3.1 mm), Ouekoula, near Ouémou, upper drainage of the Ouémou River (draining to the west coast), small trickles originating from seeps, 230 m altitude, 13.07.1993, P. Bouchet. MNHN-B25280, 299 (11.2 x 9.2; 12.4 x 10.4 mm), Pangou, upper drainage of the Ouaième River (draining to the east coast), in wet mosses in splash zone of very small stream forming rapids, 300 maltitude, 13.07.1993, P. Bouchet. MNHNB25281, 3 (8.7 x 7.3 mm), Ouéné, near Pangou, upper drainage of the Ouaième River, seepage and small trickle, under dense secondary vegetation, 400 m altitude, 14.07.1993, P. Bouchet, MNHNB25282, 3 & ♂ (3.5 x $3.0; 4.0 \times 3.6; 7.5 \times 6.2 \text{ mm}$, $2 \Im \Im (7.2 \times 6.3; 8.4 \times 7.2)$ mm), Koumac, 3 Creeks, New Caledonia.

DESCRIPTION. Carapace subrectangular; greatest width behind exorbital angles; 1.16-1.17 times broader than long. Carapace convex anteriorly, flat from side to side posteriorly, slightly convex anteriorly. Regions poorly defined, cardiac and metagastric regions defined



FIG. 3. Australocarcinus kanaka sp. nov. A-C, F, Q paratype, 11.1 x 9.5 mm. D, E, G, & paratype, 6.4 x 5.5 mm (QMW21389). A, right side of carapace in dorsal view. B, dactylus of third maxilliped showing stout comb-like setae. C, third maxilliped. D, sternum of male. E, male abdomen. F, right chela. G, sternites 7 and 8 of male showing fissure to form a supplementary plate.



FIG. 4. Australocarcinus kanaka sp. nov., δ holotype, 11.1 x 9.5 mm, QMW20577. A, gonopod 1. B, gonopod 2. Scale line = 0.5 mm.

by shallow grooves; posterolateral facet delimited from behind last anterolateral tooth. Lateral margins subparallel, or slightly divergent posteriorly; straight. Anterolateral margins regularly convex; cristate; with two teeth behind the exorbital angle. Exorbital angle effaced. First anterolateral tooth blunt, placed about halfway along anterolateral margin. Second anterolateral tooth blunt; similar in size to first in small male but less obvious on holotype. Front moderately deflexed; with or without shallow median emargination; lateral angles rounded; no pre-orbital teeth; lateral margins diverging postcriorly; fronto-orbital border c. 0.5 times width. Carapace surface evenly punctate, smooth, naked, long feathered setae restricted to side walls. Upper orbital border smooth; moderately concave. Lower orbital border straight, slightly concave at inner end; smooth. Inner angle of lower orbital border effaced, reaching about halfway up basal antennal segment; lower orbital border continuous with lower edge of outer orbital tooth as a slightly raised rim. Antennal flagellum entering orbit c.1.5 times length of orbit. Orbital hiatus open. Basal antennal segment short, not touching front; unarmed. Inter-antennular septum narrow.

Third maxilliped with merus and ischium subequal. Merus slightly wider than long; outer margin straight; antero-external angle not produced, broadly rounded; about equal in length to ischium. Suture between merus and ischium horizontal. Ischium quadrate; inner margin smooth. Palp articulating at inner distal margin of merus; relatively long, dactylus armed with long, stout, comb-like bristles apically. Exopod moderately broad.

Chelipeds subequal, right slightly the larger on holotype; large and robust; borders granulate; row of longer feathered setae on anterior and posterior borders; carpus with a broad spine at inner angle. Outer surface of palm smooth, with coarse punctations. Outer surface of palm naked. Inner surface of palm smooth. Immovable finger moderately long; flattened on outer surface; with a broad, shallow, longitudinal groove, bearing close cropped setae; length cutting edge c. 0.4 times length propodus. Ventral border of chela straight. Dorsal surface of dactyl smooth, rounded; distal third of dactyl with broad groove like fixed finger. Fingers pointed, slightly curved inwards; a narrow gape between cutting margins proximally.

Walking legs relatively long, compressed, slender; second pair the longest. Longest leg c. 1.8-1.9 times maximum carapace width. Merus of third leg c. 3.7-4.25 times as long as wide (paratype and holotype respectively); carpus c. 2.7-2.8 times as long as wide; propodus c. 2.5-2.7 times as long as wide; dactylus c. 1.5-1.6 times length of propodus. Dactyli cylindrical, densely covered in felt of setae; terminating in acute chitinous tips. Anterior margin of merus with a subdistal shoulder; unarmed terminally. Leg segments smooth; fringed with short, feathered, setae, longer on infero-distal borders of propodi.

Male abdomen moderately broad; 5 free segments; 3-5 fused; 1 and 3 widest, subequal. First segment not covering entire width of sternum between 4th pereiopods; narrow. Segments 3-5



FIG. 5. Australocarcinus palauensis sp. nov., 9 holotype, 7.1 x 5.8 mm (USNM). A. carapace in dorsal view. B, third maxilliped. C, left chela. D, abdomen. Scale line = 1 mm.

tapering, basally bulbous. Segment 6 c. 2 times wider than long. Telson longer than penultimate segment; c. 0.8 times longer than wide; apically rounded. Male gonopods Fig. 4. Sternum broad.

HABITAT. Freshwater habitats - in a freshwater stream; in small trickles originating from seeps; in wet mosses in splash zone of very small stream forming rapids; and in seepage and small trickles under dense secondary vegetation. Recorded up to 400m above sea level.

ETYMOLOGY. A noun in apposition for the native peoples of the islands of the South West Pacific.

Australocarcinus palauensis sp. nov. (Figs 5, 8)

MATERIAL EXAMINED. HOLOTYPE USNM, ♀

(7.1 x 5.8 mm), Addeido River, in small fast tributary, Babelthuap Id., Palau Islands, 05.03.1946, D.S. Frey.

DESCRIPTION. Carapace subrectangular; greatest width behind exorbital angles; 1.22 times broader than long. Carapace convex anteriorly, flat from side to side but slightly convex towards the margins. Regions poorly defined, cardiac and metagastric regions indistinctly defined; posterolateral facet not defined. Lateral margins subparallel; straight. Anterolateral margins regularly convex; cristate; without teeth. Exorbital angle effaced. Front moderately deflexed; bilobed; lateral angles rounded; no pre-orbital teeth; lateral margins diverging posteriorly; fronto-orbital border c. 0.55 times carapace width. Carapace surface evenly punctate, smooth. Dorsal surface naked, long feathered setae on sidewalls. Upper orbital border smooth; moderately



FIG. 6. Australocarcinus kanaka sp. nov., megalopa (QMW21387). Pleopodal membrane still attached around base of telson. Scale line = 0.5 mm.

concave. Lower orbital border shallowly sinuous; smooth; inner angle effaced, reaching about halfway up basal antennal segment; continuous with lower edge of outer orbital tooth as a slightly raised rim. Antennal flagellum entering orbit; both flagellums missing. Orbital hiatus open. Basal antennal segment short, not touching front, unarmed. Inter-antennular septum narrow.

Third maxilliped with merus and ischium subequal. Merus wider than long; outer margin slightly convex; antero-external angle not produced, broadly rounded; c. 0.85 times length of ischium. Suture between merus and ischium horizontal. Ischium quadrate; inner margin smooth. Palp articulating at inner distal margin of merus; relatively long, dactylus armed with long, stout, comb-like bristles apically. Exopod moderately broad.

Chelipeds slightly unequal, right slightly the larger; large and robust; borders granulate; row

of long feathered setae on anterior and posterior borders; carpus with a broad, blunt spine at inner angle. Outer surface of palm smooth, with coarse punctations; naked. Inner surface of palm smooth. Immovable finger moderately long, flattened on outer surface; without obvious longitudinal groove. Length cutting edge c.0.4 times length propodus. Ventral border of chela slightly concave at base of fixed finger. Dorsal surface of dactyl smooth, rounded. Fingers pointed; slightly curved inwards; a narrow gape between cutting margins proximally, fingers with teeth poorly differentiated, but large, backwardly directed molar near base of dactyl.

Walking legs relatively long; compressed; slender; second pair the longest, c. 1.8 times maximum carapace width. Merus of third leg c. 3.5 times as long as wide; carpus c. 2.6 times as long as wide; propodus c. 2.4 times as long as wide; dactylus c. 1.4 times length of propodus. Dactyli cylindrical, densely covered in a felt of setae; terminating in acute chitinous tips. Anterior margin of merus with subdistal shoulder; unarmed terminally. Leg segments smooth; fringed with short, feathered setae, longer on infero-distal borders of propodi.

HABITAT. From a fast flowing tributary of the Addeido River suggesting a freshwater habitat.

ETYMOLOGY. For the Palau Islands.

REMARKS ON AUSTRALOCARCINUS DAVIE

The three known species are very similar but differ conspicuously by the dentition of the anterolateral margins. *Australocarcinus riparius* has 4 large, prominent blunt teeth; *A. kanaka* has only 2 low, hardly projecting, blunt lobes; and *A. palauensis* has no anterolateral teeth, but simply a continuous crest. The telson of *A. kanaka* is much shorter than on *A. riparius*. *A. riparius* also differs from the other two species by having the outer margin of the merus of maxilliped 3 much more strongly convex.

Davie (1988) speculated that direct development occurred in *A. riparius* because 18 juvenile crabs were collected with the adult female. This reproductive strategy has now been confirmed for *A. kanaka* as one female (QMW21387) has 17 megalopae still attached to its pleopods (Figs 2,6). The megalopae have no obvious yolk reserve. Ovigerous females of *A. riparius* carry about 70 eggs, so there seems to be significant attrition during the developmental stages. The



FIG. 7. Australocarcinus riparius Davie, 1988 (3, 11.6 mm c.b., QMW18234), male. 12) the outer face of scanning electron micrograph of the inside of sternite 8, showing invaginated sternite 8 is composed of two plates separated by a

holotype female of *A. palauensis* also shows large vulval openings and therefore direct development can similarly be inferred for this species.

RELATIONSHIP OF AUSTRALOCARCINUS AND TROGLOPLAX

Many of the most unusual characteristics of monotypic *Trogloplax* (Guinot, 1986, 1987) (type *T. joliveti*), can be attributed to its cavernicolous adaptations. These are common, to a greater or lesser extent, in all cave dwelling arthropods. They are: 1) the soft, poorly calcified carapace; 2) dorsoventral flattening; 3) the reduced narrow eyestalks with the corneas lacking faceting and pigment (Guinot, 1988, fig. 16A-C); 4) the loss of pigmentation of the carapace and pereiopods; 5) exceptionally elongated and thin pereiopods, especially the ambulatory legs; 6) the two long spines of the chelipeds. (Guinot, 1986:165).

Despite strong superficial differences there are fundamental characters that mark a close relationship between *Trogloplax* and *Australocarcinus*: 1) the carapace lacks setae, is rounded, and the anterolateral borders are anteriorly converging and cristate. 2) the buccal cavity is large and quadrangular. 3) the maxillipeds are short and broad, with the exopods relatively broad and with a flagellum. 4) the sternal plate is broad with the sutures 4/5 to 7/8 in which the penis lies.

REMARKS ON THE GONEPLACIDAE

Guinot (1969a,b,c, 1971) showed that the Goneplacidae MacLeay, 1838, sensu Balss (1957) constitutes an heterogeneous assemblage, and must be re-appraised. Evolutionary lineages in the family are the goneplacine (=carcinoplacine) line, a pilumnine line, the panopeine line, and the euryplacine line. The Geryonidae were considered very distant from the Goneplacidae. The Rhizopinae Stimpson, 1858, still needs to have some questions resolved. This subfamily may need to be restricted to the type species, R. gracilipes Stimpson, 1858, which appears to constitute a separate lineage, a little different from the pilumnine line (sensu stricto) because the male G1, while similar, is not of typical pilumnid form with the strongly recurved tip (Guinot, 1969a, fig. 110), but the G2 is effectively the same as in the Pilumnidae. Ng (1987) reviewed the constitution of the Rhizopinae, and it appears that all the genera he included have the G1 with a pilumnine recurved tip, and the short sinuous G2 also typical of pilumnids. We think it possible that the Rhizopinae (sensu Ng, 1987) may still be heterogeneous with Rhizopa itself forming a separate lineage. If this is so, then the name Typhlocarcinopsinae Rathbun, 1909, is

interrupted, and with sternite 8 broadly uncovered. 5) the G1 is stout and straight, and with an apical opening. 6) the G2 is subequal in length to G1, and with the two parts (flagellum and peduncle) subequal in length. 7) the male abdomen has segments 3-5 fused. 8) the sterno-abdominal cavity is deep in the male. 9) there is feeble heterochely and feeble heterodonty. 10) the antenullar flagellae fold transversely into fossae under the frontal margin. 11) a sternal crest surrounds the telson of the male. 12) the outer face of two plates separated by a suture which marks an medial invaginated canal, available for *Typhlocarcinops* and perhaps some other genera. *Typhlocarcinops* has gonopds that are not of the classical pilumnid type (sensu Ng, 1987).

We consider that primitive goneplacid genera of the pilumnine line cannot be separated phylogenetically from the xanthoid Pilumnidae (*Pilumnus*). In the same way the panopeids *Cyrtoplax* Rathbun, 1914, *Tetraplax* Rathbun, 1901, *Glyptoplax* Smith, 1870, and *Cycloplax* Guinot, 1969, etc., cannot be separated phylogenetically from the panopeids like *Panopeus* H. Milne Edwards, 1834, but they form a natural grouping for which the name Eucratopsinae Stimpson, 1871 (= Prionoplacinae Alcock, 1900) may be used.

The Goneplacidae contains the Goneplacinae Miers, 1886, Carcinoplacinae, Miers, 1886, Chasmocarcininae Serène, 1964, Trogloplacinae Guinot, 1986, and the Euryplacinae Stimpson, 1871. Many genera are still not satisfactorily placed in these subfamilies and a revision is urgently needed.

AFFINITIES OF THE TROGLOPLACINAE. The most revealing character in helping to understand the affinities of the Trogloplacinae is the disposition of the penis and gonopore. Typically in coxosternal crabs (*sensu* Guinot, 1978, 1979a), the ejaculatory canal opens on the coxa of P5 and there is a long penis sometimes visible near the aperture on the coxa but with most of its length covered by the complete junction of sternites 7 and 8 and with the distal part long and emerging to enter the base of the G1 (Guinot, 1979a, fig. 51F; 1979b, figs 2,3). Sternite 8 of the Trogloplacinae has an invaginated channel in which the penis lies (Fig. 7).

The Trogloplacinae as Guinot (1986) has already indicated, belongs to the Goneplacidae and is most closely related to the Chasmocarcininae Serène, 1964 (*Chasmocarcinus* Rathbun, 1898) a marine, mostly deepwater group (Serène, 1964a,b). All Chasmocarcininae (*Chasmocarcinus, Camatopsis* Alcock, Anderson, 1899, *Chasmocarcinops* Alcock, 1900, *Hephthopelta* Alcock, 1899, *Scalopidia* Stimpson, 1858) have the penis lying in either an enclosed or open groove in sternite 8 (Felder & Rabelais, 1986), such that sternite 8 has an intercalated plate anteriorly, a fact first observed for *Chasmocarcinus* by Rathbun (1914).

The type species of *Hephthopelta* (*H. lugubris* Alcock) is a female, and not well known, but a new species of *Hephthopelta* we have examined (being described by Davie & Richer de Forges)

has an open suture in sternite 8, such that the penis is visible. Glaessner & Secretan (1987) and Tavares (1992) described exactly such a condition for the fossil American Eocene crab Falconoplax Van Straelen, 1933. This genus had been attributed to the 'Tymolinae' by Glaessner (1969)(= Cyclodorippidae), but Tavares (1992) re-attributed this fossil to the Goneplacidae sensu lato, agreeing with Van Straelen's (1933) original placement. We believe that Falconoplax belongs to the Chasmocarcininae. The first record of a fossil Chasmocarcinus was reported from the Eocene of Antarctica, in shallow water sediments (Feldmann & Zinsmeister, 1984).

Serène (1964a), with some reserve, placed *Megaesthesius* Rathbun, 1909, in the Chasmocarcininae. We exclude it from the subfamily but acknowledge its relationships must be investigated further.

In spite of the similarity in the coxosternal plate between the Trogloplacinae and the Chasmocarcininae, there are some notable differences. The major difference is that in the Chasmocarcininae the basal antennular segment is very swollen and completely fills the antennular fosset, such that the flagellum is excluded and cannot be folded. The gonopods of the two subfamilies are similar in that the G1 is stout and tapering and the G2 is long and not sigmoid, however there are also differences. In the Chasmocarcininae the G2 is noticeably shorter than the G1 and the flagellum is short (length varies a little depending on the species). In the Trogloplacinae the G2 is as long or slightly longer than the G1, and the flagellum occupies about half or slightly more of the length.

Considering the close relationships with the exclusively marine Chasmocarcininae, and the presence of at least one estuarine tolerant species in *Australocarcinus*, derivation of the completely freshwater *Trogloplax* from a marine stock is a logical assumption.

BIOGEOGRAPHY. The trogloplacines are so far only known from the western Pacific (Fig. 8). *Trogloplax* occurs in two freshwater subterranean caves on New Britain, PNG (Guinot & Geoffroy, 1987). The two caves are 250 km apart, and the crabs were found 300m into the caves. *Australocarcinus* is now known from three species in north Queensland, New Caledonia, and Palau; it seems probable that other species will be found on the West Pacific Islands. Although little is known of the reproductive patterns of the trogloplacines, Davie's (1988) supposition of direct development for *Australocarcinus riparius*



FIG. 8. Distribution of Australocarcinus and Trogloplax. Star = A. palauensis sp. nov.; hollow box = A. kanaka sp. nov.; solid circle = A. riparius Davie, 1988; diamond = Trogloplax joliveti Guinot, 1986.

has been confirmed for A. kanaka. If the other species of Australocarcinus and Trogloplax also have direct development, this should reinforce their allopatric distributions and contribute to small area speciation. One factor which could allow genetic interchange over a limited range is the high salinity tolerance exhibited by A. riparius, which allows it to survive under estuarine conditions. Estuarine corridors along the coast between river systems, during flood conditions, could allow dispersal of adults and the maintenance of the species over long distances, as occurs on the NE coast of QLD. Such a dispersal method however is only likely to be of local importance. There seems to be no obvious dispersal or vicariant explanation that conveniently explains the observed distribution patterns of the genera and species of the Trogloplacinae.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the help of a number of people. Michael Türkay, Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, Frankfurt, kindly gave PD access to loan material from the USNM in his care, amongst which was found one of the new species. Clare Bremner and Alison Hill executed Figures 3 and 5 respectively, and Kylie Stumkat took the SEM photograph. Bertrand Richer de Forges of ORSTOM, Noumea, New Caledonia, gave PD hospitality and stimulating discussion during his visit to New Caledonia, and made valuable comments on the manuscript. Philippe Bouchet of the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, collected many specimens from inaccessible places. Peter Ng, National University of Singapore, is thanked for his thorough review of the paper.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALCOCK, A. 1899. An account of the deep-sea Brachyura collected by the Royal Indian Marine Survey Ship 'Investigator'. (Calcutta). 86p.
 - 1900. Materials for a carcinological fauna of India. No. 6. The Brachyura Catametopa, or Grapsoidea. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 69 (Pt. 2, No. 3): 279-456.
- ALCOCK, A.W. & ANDERSON, A.R.S. 1899. Natural history notes from H.M. Royal Indian Marine Survey Ship 'Investigator', Commander T.H. Heming, R.N., commanding. Ser, III, no. 2. An account of the Deep-sea Crustacea dredged during the surveying season of 1897- 1898. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 3: 1-27, 278-292.
- BALSS, H. 1957. Decapoda. Dr. H.G. Bronns Klassen und Ordnungen des Tierreichs Funfter Band (1 Abt, 7 Buch, 12): 1505-1672, figs 1131-1199; (Buch. 13): 1673-1770, figs 1200-1212.
- DAVIE, P.J.F. 1988. A new genus and species of goneplacid (Crustacea: Brachyura) from Queensland, Australia. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 25(2): 259-64.
- FELDER, D.L. & RABALAIS, N.N. 1986. The genera Chasmocarcinus Rathbun and Speocarcinus Stimpson on the continental shelf of the Gulf of Mexico, with descriptions of two new species (Decapoda: Brachyura: Goneplacidae). Journal of Crustacean Biology 6(3): 547-575.
- FELDMANN, R.M. & ZINSMEISTER, W.J. 1984. New fossil crabs (Decapoda: Brachyura) from the La Meseta Formation (Eocene) of Antarctica: paleogeographic and biogeographic implications. Journal of Paleontology 58(4): 1046-1061.
- GLAESSNER, M.F. 1969. Decapoda. Pp. R399-533. In Moore, R.C., (ed.). Treatise on invertebrate paleontology. Part R, Arthropoda 4(2), (Geological Society of America & Univ. Kansas Press: Lawrence, Kansas).
- GLAESSNER, M.F. & SECRETAN, S. 1987. Crabes (Crustacea Brachyura) de l'Eocène du Sulaiman Range (Pakistan). Annales de Paléontologie (Vert-Invert) 73(4): 273-88.
- GUINOT, D. 1969a-c. Recherches préliminaires sur les groupements naturels chez les Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. 7. 1969a, Les Goneplacidae. Bulletin du Muséum National d'-Histoire Naturelle, Paris (2)41(1): 241-265; 1969b, Les Goneplacidae (suite). Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (2)41(2): 507-528; 1969c, Les Goneplacidae

(suite et fin). Bulletin du Muséum National d'-Histoire Naturelle, Paris (2)41(3): 688-724.

- 1971. Recherches préliminaires sur les groupements naturels chez les Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. VIII. Synthèse et bibliographie. Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris Ser. 2, 42(5): 1063-1090.
- 1978. Principes d'une classification évolutive des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. Bulletin Biologique de la France et de la Belgique 112(3): 211-292.
- 1979a. Données nouvelles sur la morphologie, la phylogenèse et la taxonomie des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Nouvelle Série Ser. A, Zool., 112: 3-354.
- 1979b. Problème pratique d'une classification cladistique des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. In, Actes de la VIe Réunion des Carcinologistes de langue française, Nabeul (Tunisie), 4-9 Septembre 1979. Bulletin de l'-Office National des Pêches, Tunisie 3(1): 33-46.
- 1986. Description d'un Crabe cavernicole aveugle de Nouvelle- Bretagne (Papouasie Nouvelle-Guinée), Trogloplax joliveti gen. nov. sp. nov., et établissement d'une sous-famille nouvelle, Trogloplacinae subfam. nov. Comptes Rendus de L'Académie des Sciences, Paris 303, Ser. 3(8): 307-312.
- 1987. Nouvelles découvertes dans des grottes de Nouvelle - Bretagne du Crabe aveugle Trogloplax joliveti Guinot, 1986, et description d'un Crabe d'eau douce cavernicole, Sendleria genuitei sp. nov. Comptes Rendus de L'Académie des Sciences, Paris 305, Ser. 3: 25-30.
- 1988. Les crabes cavernicoles du monde. Mémoires de Biospéologie 15: 3-40.
- GUINOT, D. & GEOFFROY, J. 1987. Crabes cavernicoles de Nouvelle- Bretagne (Papouasie Nouvelle-Guinée). Spelunca 25: 17-24.
- JAMIESON, B.G.M. & GUINOT, D. 1996. The ultrastructure of the spermatozoan of Australocarcinus riparius (Crustacea, Brachyura, Goneplacidae). Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 39(2): 289-296.
- MACLEAY, W.S. 1838. On the brachyurous decapod Crustacea brought from the Cape by Dr Smith. Pp. 63-72. In Illustrations of the Annulosa of South Africa; being a portion of the objects of natural history collected during an expedition into the interior of South Africa, under the direction of Dr Andrew Smith, in the years 1834, 1835, and 1836; fitted out by "The Cape of Good Hope Association for Exploring Central Africa.", Illustr. Zool. S. Africa Invest., (London). 75p.
- MIERS, E.J. 1886. Report on the Brachyura collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Report of the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger 1873-76 Part 49, Vol. 17: 1-362.

- MILNE EDWARDS, H. 1834. Histoire naturelle des Crustacés, comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces Animaux. Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret, (Lib. Roret: Paris).
- NG, P.K.L. 1987. The Indo-Pacific Pilumnidae II. A revision of the genus *Rhizopa* Stimpson, 1858, and the status of the Rhizopinae Stimpson, 1858 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura). Indo-Malayan Zoology 4: 69-111.
- RATHBUN, M.J. 1898. The Brachyura of the biological expedition to the Florida Keys and the Bahamas in 1893. Bulletin from the Laboratories of Natural History of the State University of Iowa 4: 250-94.
 - 1901. The Brachyura and Macrura of Porto Rico. Bulletin of the United States Fish Commission for 1900, 20(2): 1-127, and 129-137.
 - 1909. New crabs from the Gulf of Siam. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 22: 107-114.
 - 1914. A new genus and some new species of crabs of the family Goneplacidae. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 48(2067): 137-154.
- SERENE, R. 1964a. Redescription du genre *Megaesthesius* RATHBUN et définition des Chasmocarcininae, nouvelle sous-famille des Goneplacidae (Decapoda, Brachyura). Crustaceana 7(3): 175-187.
 - 1964b. Gonoplacidae et Pinnotheridae: In Papers from Dr. Th. Mortensen's Pacific Expedition 1914-1916, 80. Récoltes par le Dr. Mortensen. Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening i kjobenhavn 126: 181-282.
- SMITH, S.I. 1870. Notes on the American Crustacea I. Ocypodidae. Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 2: 114-176.
- STIMPSON, W. 1858. Prodromus descriptionis animalium evertebratorum, quae in Expeditione ad Oceanum Pacificum Septentrionalem, a Republica Federata missa, Cadwaladaro Ringgold et Johanne Rodgers Ducibus, observavit et descripsit W. Stimpson. Pars. V. Crustacea Ocypodoidea. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 10: 93-110.
 - 1871. Preliminary Report on the Crustacea dredged in the Gulf Stream in the Straits of Florida, by L.F. de Pourtales [...] Part I.-Brachyura. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard College 2(2): 109-160.
- STRAELEN, V.Van 1933. Sur des Crustacés Décapodes Cénozoïques du Venezuela. Bulletin du Musée Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique 9(10): 1-14.
- TAVARES, M. 1992. Sur la position systématique du genre éocène américain Falconoplax Van Straelen, 1933 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura). Annales de Paléontologie (Vert-Invert) 78(2): 73-81.